

Scandinavian Freedom NEWS



More Carbon
CO2 Is Good,
Less Is Bad

PAGE 3

There Is No
Climate
Emergency

PAGE 4

"97% Consensus"
— What
Consensus?

PAGE 6

Can You Guess
How Much CO2
is Mankind
Responsible For?

PAGE 12

Open letter to the
Danish Ministry
of Defence

PAGE 16

Northern Light
Convention


15th-17th June 2023 in Bornholm, Denmark
40+ International Speakers

PAGE 31




"There is no time
in history where
the people who
were censoring
speech were the
good guys."

- Robert F.
Kennedy, Jr.



Hey Stupid!
If you don't
use your
umbrella...
mine won't
work...



The Most Powerful
Governments In
The World Can't
Solve Homelessness!

But They Can **Change**
The Earths Temperature
If You **Pay More Taxes...**

46 'Climate Change Denying' Statements Made By Former IPCC Scientists

- by Cap Allon at Electroverse, 30 Mai 2023, [LINK](#)

Dr Robert Balling: The IPCC notes that "No significant acceleration in the rate of sea level rise during the 20th century has been detected." This did not appear in the IPCC Summary for Policymakers.

Dr Lucka Bogataj: "Rising levels of airborne carbon dioxide don't cause global temperatures to rise... temperature changed first and some 700 years later a change in aerial content of carbon dioxide followed."

Dr John Christy: "Little known to the public is the fact that most of the scientists involved with the IPCC do not agree that global warming is occurring. Its findings have been consistently misrepresented and/or politicized with each succeeding report."

Dr Rosa Compagnucci: "Humans have only contributed a few tenths of a degree to warming on Earth. Solar activity is a key driver of climate."

Dr Richard Courtney: "The empirical evidence strongly indicates that the anthropogenic global warming hypothesis is wrong."

Dr Judith Curry: "I'm not going to just spout off and endorse the IPCC because I don't have confidence in the process."

Dr Robert Davis: "Global temperatures have not been changing as state of the art climate models predicted they would. Not a single mention of satellite temperature observations appears in the IPCC Summary for Policymakers."

Dr Willem de Lange: "In 1996 the IPCC listed me as one of approximately 3000 'scientists' who agreed that there was a discernible human influence on climate. I didn't. There is no evidence to support the hypothesis that runaway catastrophic climate change is due to human activities."

Dr Chris de Freitas: "Government decision-makers should have heard by now that the basis for the long-standing claim that carbon dioxide is a major driver of global climate is being questioned; along with it the hitherto assumed need for costly measures to restrict carbon dioxide emissions. If they have not heard, it is because of the din of global warming hysteria that relies on the logical fallacy of 'argument from ignorance' and predictions of computer models."

Dr Oliver Frauenfeld: "Much more progress is necessary regarding our current understanding of climate and our abilities to model it."

Dr Peter Dietze: "Using a flawed eddy diffusion model, the IPCC has grossly underestimated the future oceanic carbon dioxide uptake."

Dr John Everett: "It is time for a reality check. The oceans and coastal zones have been far warmer and colder than is projected in the present scenarios of climate change. I have reviewed the IPCC and more recent scientific literature and believe that there is not a problem with increased acidification, even up to the unlikely levels in the most-used IPCC scenarios."

Dr Eigil Friis-Christensen: "The IPCC refused to consider the sun's effect on the Earth's climate as a topic worthy of investigation. The IPCC conceived its task only as investigating potential human causes of climate change."

Dr Lee Gerhard: "I never fully accepted or denied the anthropogenic global warming concept until the furor started after NASA's James Hansen's wild claims in the late 1980s. I went to the [scientific] literature to study the basis of the claim, starting with first principles. My studies then led me to believe that the claims were false."

Dr Indur Goklany: "Climate change is unlikely to be the world's most important environmental problem of the 21st century. There is no signal in the mortality data to indicate increases in the overall frequencies or severities of extreme weather events, despite large increases in the population at risk."

Dr Vincent Gray: "The [IPCC] climate change statement is an orchestrated litany of lies."

Dr Mike Hulme: "Claims such as '2500 of the world's leading scientists have reached a consensus that human activities are having a significant

influence on the climate' are disingenuous ... The actual number of scientists who backed that claim was only a few dozen."

Dr Kiminori Itoh: "There are many factors which cause climate change. Considering only greenhouse gases is nonsense and harmful."

Dr Yuri Izrael: "There is no proven link between human activity and global warming. I think the panic over global warming is totally unjustified. There is no serious threat to the climate."

Dr Steven Japar: "Temperature measurements show that the climate model-predicted mid-troposphere hot zone is non-existent. This is more than sufficient to invalidate global climate models and projections made with them."

Dr Georg Kaser: "This number [of receding glaciers reported by the IPCC] is not just a little bit wrong, it is far out by any order of magnitude ... It is so wrong that it is not even worth discussing."

Dr Aynsley Kellow: "I'm not holding my breath for criticism to be taken on board, which underscores a fault in the whole peer review process for the IPCC: there is no chance of a chapter [of the IPCC report] ever being rejected for publication, no matter how flawed it might be."



Dr Madhav Khandekar: "I have carefully analysed adverse impacts of climate change as projected by the IPCC and have discounted these claims as exaggerated and lacking any supporting evidence."

Dr Hans Labohm: "The alarmist passages in the IPCC Summary for Policymakers have been skewed through an elaborate and sophisticated process of spin-doctoring."

Dr Andrew Lacis: "There is no scientific merit to be found in the Executive Summary. The presentation sounds like something put together by Greenpeace activists and their legal department."

Dr Chris Landsea: "I cannot in good faith continue to contribute to a process that I view as both being motivated by pre-conceived agendas and being scientifically unsound."

Dr Richard Lindzen: "The IPCC process is driven by politics rather than science. It uses summaries to misrepresent what scientists say and exploits public ignorance."

Dr Harry Lins: "Surface temperature changes over the past century have been episodic and modest and there has been no net global warming for over a decade now. The case for alarm regarding climate change is grossly overstated."

Dr Philip Lloyd: "I am doing a detailed assessment of the IPCC reports and the Summaries for Policy Makers, identifying the way in which the Summaries have distorted the science. I have found examples of a summary saying precisely the opposite of what the scientists said."

Dr Martin Manning: "Some government delegates influencing the IPCC Summary for Policymakers misrepresent or contradict the lead authors."

Steven McIntyre: "The many references in the popular media to a 'consensus of thousands of scientists' are both a great exaggeration and also misleading."

Dr Patrick Michaels: "The rates of warming, on multiple time scales, have now invalidated the suite of IPCC climate models. No, the science is not settled."

Below is an enlightening list of comments made by former IPCC contributors after cutting ties with the politicized body — so scientists no longer subject to professional repercussions.

Dr Nils-Axel Morner: "If you go around the globe, you find no sea level rise anywhere."

Dr Johannes Oerlemans: "The IPCC has become too political. Many scientists have not been able to resist the siren call of fame, research funding and meetings in exotic places that awaits them if they are willing to compromise scientific principles and integrity in support of the man-made global-warming doctrine."

Dr Roger Pielke: "All of my comments were ignored without even a rebuttal. At that point, I concluded that the IPCC Reports were actually intended to be advocacy documents designed to produce particular policy actions, but not a true and honest assessment of the understanding of the climate system."

Dr Paul Reiter: "As far as the science being 'settled,' I think that is an obscenity. The fact is the science is being distorted by people who are not scientists."

Dr Murry Salby: "I have an involuntary gag reflex whenever someone says the science is settled. Anyone who thinks the science is settled on this topic is in fantasia."

Dr Tom Segalstad: "The IPCC global warming model is not supported by the scientific data."

Dr Fred Singer: "Isn't it remarkable that the Policy-makers Summary of the IPCC report avoids mentioning the satellite data altogether, or even the existence of satellites — probably because the data show a slight cooling over the last 18 years, in direct contradiction of the calculations from climate models?"

Dr Hajo Smit: "There is clear cut solar-climate coupling and a very strong natural variability of climate on all historical time scales. Currently I hardly believe anymore that there is any relevant relationship between human CO2 emissions and climate change."

Dr Richard Tol: "The IPCC attracted more people with political rather than academic motives. In AR4, green activists held key positions in the IPCC and they succeeded in excluding or neutralising opposite voices."

Dr Tom Tripp: "There is so much of a natural variability in weather it makes it difficult to come to a scientifically valid conclusion that global warming is man made."

Dr Gerd-Rainer Weber: "Most of the extremist views about climate change have little or no scientific basis."

Dr David Wojick: "The public is not well served by this constant drumbeat of alarms fed by computer models manipulated by advocates."

Dr Miklos Zagoni: "I am positively convinced that the anthropogenic global warming theory is wrong."

Dr Eduardo Zorita: "Editors, reviewers and authors of alternative studies, analysis, interpretations, even based on the same data we have at our disposal, have been bullied and subtly blackmailed."

The global warming theory is being unraveled by the same researchers formerly charged with its promotion. But with the baton continually passed to the next generation of duped university graduates—thoroughly schooled by AGW Party doctrines—the same climate yarns are forever repackaged and re-distributed to the next generation of naive citizenry.

An endless cycle of 'control by ignorance', of 'The Science says' preachings.

Regardless of said narrative, however, natural forcings continue to dominate as they were always destined to do.

The Sun is shutting down, relatively; a meridional jet stream flow is now a common phenomenon; and cloud-nucleating Cosmic Rays are influxing.

The upshot of all these forcings...?

Swings between extremes, and, ultimately, global cooling.

More Carbon Dioxide Is Good, Less Is Bad

- by Gregory Wrightstone at CO2 Coalition, 11 Mai 2023, [LINK](#)

People should be celebrating, not demonizing, modern increases in atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂). We cannot overstate the importance of the gas. Without it, life doesn't exist.

First, a bit of history: During each of the last four glacial advances, CO₂'s concentration fell below 190 parts per million (ppm), less than 50 percent of our current concentration of 420 ppm. When glaciers began receding about 14,000 years ago – a blink in geological time – CO₂ levels fell to 182 ppm, a concentration thought to be the lowest in Earth's history.

Line of Death

Why is this alarming? Because below 150 ppm, most terrestrial plant life dies. Without plants, there are no animals.

In other words, the Earth came within 30 ppm in CO₂'s atmospheric concentration of witnessing the extinction of most land-based plants and all higher terrestrial life-forms – nearly a true climate apocalypse. Before industrialization began adding CO₂ to the atmosphere, there was no telling whether the critical 150-ppm threshold wouldn't be reached during the next glacial period.

Contrary to the mantra that today's CO₂ concentration is unprecedentedly high, our current geologic period, the Quaternary, has seen the lowest average levels of carbon dioxide since the end of the Pre-Cambrian Period more than 600 million years ago. The average CO₂ concentration throughout Earth's history was more than 2,600 ppm, nearly seven times current levels.

Beneficial CO₂ Increases

CO₂ increased from 280 ppm in 1750 to 420 ppm today, most of it after World War II as industrial activity accelerated. The higher concentration has been beneficial because of the gas's role as a plant food in increasing photosynthesis.

Its benefits include:

- Faster plant growth with less water and larger crop yields.
- Expansion of forests and grasslands.
- Less erosion of topsoil because of more plant growth.
- Increases in plants' natural insect repellents.

A summary of 270 laboratory studies covering 83 food crops showed that increasing CO₂ concentrations by 300 ppm boosts plant growth by an average of 46 percent. Conversely, many studies show adverse effects of low-CO₂ environments.

For instance, one indicated that, compared to

today, plant growth was eight percent less in the period before the Industrial Revolution, with a low concentration of 280 ppm CO₂.

Therefore, attempts to reduce CO₂ concentrations are bad for plants, animals and humankind.

Data reported in a recent paper by Dr. Indur Goklany, and published by the CO₂ Coalition, indicates that up to 50 percent of Earth's vegetated areas became greener between 1982-2011.

Researchers attribute 70 percent of the greening to CO₂ fertilization from fossil fuel emissions. (Another nine percent is attributed to fertilizers derived from fossil fuels.)



Dr. Goklany also reported that the beneficial fertilization effect of CO₂ – along with the use of hydrocarbon-dependent machinery, pesticides and fertilizers – have saved at least 20 percent of land area from being converted to agricultural purposes – an area 25 percent larger than North America.

The amazing increase in agricultural productivity, partly the result of more CO₂, has allowed the planet to feed eight billion people, compared to the fewer than 800,000 inhabitants living a short 300 years ago.

More CO₂ in the air means more moisture in the soil. The major cause of water loss in plants is attributable to transpiration, in which the stomata, or pores, on the undersides of the leaves open to absorb CO₂ and expel oxygen and water vapor.

With more CO₂, the stomata are open for shorter periods, the leaves lose less water, and more moisture remains in the soil. The associated increase in soil moisture has been linked to global decreases in wildfires, droughts and heat waves.

Exaggeration of CO₂'s Warming Effect

Alarm over global warming stems from exaggerations of CO₂'s potential to retain heat that otherwise would radiate to outer space. As with water vapor, methane and nitrous oxide, CO₂ retains heat in the atmosphere by how it reacts to infrared portions of the electromagnetic spectrum.

However, the gas has saturated to a large extent

within the infrared range, leaving relatively little potential for increased warming.

Both sides of the climate debate agree that the warming effect of each molecule of CO₂ decreases significantly (logarithmically) as the concentration increases.

This is one reason why there was no runaway greenhouse warming when CO₂ concentrations approached 20 times that of today. This inconvenient fact, despite its importance, is rarely mentioned because it undermines the theory of a future climate catastrophe.

A doubling of CO₂ from today's level of 420 ppm – an increase estimated to take 200 years to attain – would have an inconsequential effect on global temperature.

Pennsylvania's solar-powered fossil fuels

CO₂ being liberated today from Pennsylvania coal was removed from the atmosphere by the photosynthesis of trees that fed on sunlight and carbon dioxide and then died to have their remains accumulate in the vast coal swamps of the Carboniferous Period.

Pennsylvania Marcellus and Utica shale hydrocarbons being exploited today

were also the likely hydrocarbon source of shallower reservoirs producing since the late 1800s.

The source of those hydrocarbons was algae remains that gathered on the bottom of the Ordovician and Devonian seas.

Like the coal deposits, the algae used solar-powered photosynthesis and CO₂ (the algal blooms were likely fueled by regular dust storms) to remove vast amounts of CO₂ from the air and lock it up as carbon-rich organic matter.

The provenance of these hydrocarbons spawns two novel ideas. First, there is a strong case that these are solar-powered fuels.

Second, the sequestering of carbon during the creation of the hydrocarbons lowered atmospheric concentrations of CO₂ to sub-optimum levels for plants. Therefore, the combustion of today's coal and gas is liberating valuable CO₂ molecules that are turbocharging plant growth.

The plain fact of the matter is that the modest warming of less than one degree Celsius since 1900, combined with increasing CO₂, is allowing ecosystems to thrive and humanity to prosper.

Additional information on CO₂'s benefits and related topics are available at CO2Coalition.org, [LINK](#) which includes a number of publications and resources of interest.

There Is No Climate Emergency

A global network of over 1500+ scientists and professionals has prepared this urgent message. Climate science should be less political, while climate policies should be more scientific. Scientists should openly address uncertainties and exaggerations in their predictions of global warming, while politicians should dispassionately count the real costs as well as the imagined benefits of their policy measures.

Natural as well as anthropogenic factors cause warming

The geological archive reveals that Earth's climate has varied as long as the planet has existed, with natural cold and warm phases. The Little Ice Age ended as recently as 1850. Therefore, it is no surprise that we now are experiencing a period of warming.

Warming is far slower than predicted

The world has warmed significantly less than predicted by IPCC on the basis of modeled anthropogenic forcing. The gap between the real world and the modeled world tells us that we are far from understanding climate change.

Climate policy relies on inadequate models

Climate models have many shortcomings and are not remotely plausible as global policy tools. They blow up the effect of greenhouse gases such as CO₂. In addition, they ignore the fact that enriching the atmosphere with CO₂ is beneficial.

CO₂ is plant food, the basis of all life on Earth

CO₂ is not a pollutant. It is essential to all life on Earth. Photosynthesis is a blessing. More CO₂ is beneficial for nature, greening the Earth: additional CO₂ in the air has promoted growth in global plant biomass. It is also good for agriculture, increasing the yields of crops worldwide.

Global warming has not increased natural disasters

There is no statistical evidence that global warming is intensifying hurricanes, floods, droughts and suchlike natural disasters, or making them more frequent. However, there is ample evidence that CO₂-mitigation measures are as damaging as they are costly.

Climate policy must respect scientific and economic realities

There is no climate emergency. Therefore, there is no cause for panic and alarm. We strongly oppose the harmful and unrealistic net-zero CO₂ policy proposed for 2050. If better approaches emerge, and they certainly will, we have ample time to reflect and re-adapt. The aim of global policy should be



'prosperity for all' by providing reliable and affordable energy at all times. In a prosperous society men and women are well educated, birthrates are low and people care about their environment.

Epilogue

The World Climate Declaration (WCD) has brought a large variety of competent scientists together from all over the world*. The considerable knowledge and experience of this group is indispensable in reaching a balanced, dispassionate and competent view of climate change.

From now onward the group is going to function as "Global Climate Intelligence Group", [LINK](#). The CLINTEL Group will give solicited and unsolicited advice on climate change and energy transition to governments and companies worldwide.

** It is not the number of experts but the quality of arguments that counts*

World Climate Declaration plus all signatories in pdf, [LINK](#)

World Climate Declaration AMBASSADORS

- Nobel Laureate Professor Ivar Giaever Norway/USA
- Professor Guus Berkhout / The Netherlands
- Dr. Cornelis Le Pair / The Netherlands
- Professor Reynald Du Berger / French Speaking Canada
- Barry Brill / New Zealand
- Viv Forbes / Australia
- Dr. Patrick Moore / English Speaking Canada
- Jens Morton Hansen / Denmark
- Professor László Szarka / Hungary
- Professor Seok Soon Park / South Korea
- Professor Jan-Erik Solheim / Norway
- Stavros Alexandris / Greece
- Ferdinand Meeus / Dutch Speaking Belgium
- Professor Richard Lindzen / USA
- Henri A. Masson / French Speaking Belgium
- Professor Ingemar Nordin / Sweden
- Jim O'Brien / Republic of Ireland
- Professor Ian Plimer / Australia
- Douglas Pollock / Chile
- Dr. Blanca Parga Landa / Spain
- Professor Alberto Prestininzi / Italy
- Professor Benoît Rittaud / France
- Dr. Thiago Maia / Brazil
- Professor Fritz Vahrenholt / Germany
- The Viscount Monckton of Brenchley / United Kingdom
- Dušan Bižić / Croatia, Bosnia & Herzegovina, Serbia and Monte Negro

Another Climate Scientist With Impeccable Credentials Breaks Ranks: “Our Models Are Mickey-Mouse Mockeries Of The Real World”

- by Cap Allon, *Electroverse*, 28 Apr 2023, [LINK](#)

Dr. Mototaka Nakamura received a Doctorate of Science from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), and for nearly 25 years specialized in abnormal weather and climate change at prestigious institutions that included MIT, Georgia Institute of Technology, NASA, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, JAMSTEC and Duke University.

In his book *The Global Warming Hypothesis is an Unproven Hypothesis*, Dr. Nakamura explains why the data foundation underpinning global warming science is “untrustworthy” and cannot be relied on:

“Global mean temperatures before 1980 are based on untrustworthy data,” writes Nakamura.

“Before full planet surface observation by satellite began in 1980, only a small part of the Earth had been observed for temperatures with only a certain amount of accuracy and frequency. Across the globe, only North America and Western Europe have trustworthy temperature data dating back to the 19th century.”

From 1990 to 2014, Nakamura worked on cloud dynamics and forces mixing atmospheric and ocean flows on medium to planetary scales. His bases were MIT (for a Doctor of Science in meteorology), Georgia Institute of Technology, Goddard Space Flight Center, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Duke and Hawaii Universities and the Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology.

He has published 20+ climate papers on fluid dynamics. There is no questioning the man’s credibility or knowledge base.

Today’s ‘global warming science’ is akin to an upside down pyramid which is built on the work of a few climate modelers. These AGW pioneers claim to have demonstrated human-derived CO2 emissions as the cause of recently rising temperatures and have then simply projected that warming forward. Every climate researcher thereafter has taken the results of these original models as a given, and we’re even at the stage now where merely testing their validity is regarded as heresy.

Here in Nakamura we have a highly qualified and experienced climate modeler with impeccable credentials rejecting the unscientific basis of the climate crisis claims — the AGW Party’s worst nightmare.




Climate scientist Dr. Mototaka Nakamura’s recent book, *Confessions Of A Climate Scientist: The Global Warming Hypothesis Is An Unproven Hypothesis*, blasts global warming data as “untrustworthy” and “falsified”.

Data Falsification

“When arguing against global warming the hardest thing I find is convincing people of data falsification, namely temperature fudging. If you don’t pick your words carefully, forget some of the facts, or get your tone wrong then it’s very easy to sound like a conspiracy nut job.”

But now we have Nakamura.

The good doctor has accused the orthodox scientists of “data falsification” in the form adjusting historical temperature data down to inflate today’s subtle warming trend.



Nakamura writes, “The global surface mean temperature-change data no longer have any scientific value and are nothing except a propaganda tool to the public.” And while climate models are useful tools for academic studies, “The models just become useless pieces of junk or worse (as they can produce gravely misleading output) when they are used for climate forecasting.”

Climate forecasting is simply not possible, Nakamura concludes, and the impacts of human-caused CO2 can’t be judged with the knowledge and technology we currently possess. The models grossly simplify the way the climate works.

As well as ignoring the sun, the modelling also drastically simplifies large and small-scale ocean dynamics; aerosol changes that generate clouds (cloud cover being one of the key factors determining whether we have global warming or global cooling); the drivers of ice-albedo (“Without a reasonably accurate representation, it is impossible to make any meaningful predictions of climate variations and changes in the middle and high latitudes and thus the entire planet”); and water vapor.

Climate forecasts also suffer from arbitrary “tunings” of key parameters that are simply not understood.

Nakamura On CO2

“The real or realistically-simulated climate system is far more complex than an absurdly simple system simulated by the toys that have been used for climate predictions to date, and will be insurmountably difficult for those naive climate researchers who have zero or very limited understanding of geophysical fluid dynamics,” continues Nakamura.

“The dynamics of the atmosphere and oceans are absolutely critical facets of the climate system if one hopes to ever make any meaningful prediction of climate variation.”

Additionally, solar input is modeled as a “never changing quantity,” which is absurd.

“It has only been several decades since we acquired an ability to accurately monitor the incoming solar energy. In these several decades only, it has varied by one to two watts per square meter. Is it reasonable to assume that it will not vary any more than that in the next hundred years or longer for forecasting purposes? I would say, No.”

You can read Mototaka Nakamura’s book for free on Kindle.

Arm yourself with the facts, and then spread them—facts such as the three collated below (all lifted from the book):


- “[The models have] no understanding of cloud formation/forcing.”
- “Assumptions are made, then adjustments are made to support a narrative.”
- “Our models are mickey-mouse mockeries of the real world.”

Solar Forcing

Solar output isn’t constant, IPCC — and the modulation of cloud nucleation is a key consequence.

During solar minima, the sun’s magnetic field weakens and the outward pressure of the solar wind decreases. This allows more Cosmic Rays from deep space to penetrate our planet’s atmosphere. These CRs have been found to nucleate clouds (Svensmark et al), with clouds known to play a crucial role in Earth’s climate system.

As Roy Spencer, PhD. succinctly writes: “Clouds are the Earth’s sunshade, and if cloud cover changes for any reason, you have global warming — or global cooling.”



The cold times appear to be returning, in line with historically low solar activity.

NASA has warned that this next solar cycle 25 will be, “the weakest of the past 200 years”

Read the newspaper online in pdf and click the many 'LINK's
www.scandinavianfreedom.news

BREAKING – Just 0.3% of Scientists agree Humanity is causing Climate Change; NOT 97% as falsely spread by the UN

- by The Exposé, 21 Apr 2023, [LINK](#)

You have likely heard that 97% of scientists agree on human-driven climate change. The overwhelming majority of scientists take no view on the question of whether climate change is man-made, for it is beyond our present knowledge to answer.

Only 0.3% of science papers state humans are the cause of climate change. And when surveyed, only 18% of scientists believed that a large amount – or all – of additional climate change could be averted.

There is no scientific evidence or method that can determine how much of the temperature change since 1900 was caused by humans. We know that temperature has varied greatly over the millennia. We also know that for virtually all of that time, global warming and cooling were driven entirely by natural forces.



“97% Consensus” – What Consensus?

- by Gregory Wrightstone, Executive Director CO2 Coalition, 31 Oct 2023, [LINK](#)



You have likely heard that 97% of scientists agree on human-driven climate change. You may also have heard that those who don't buy into the climate-apocalypse mantra are “science deniers.” The truth is that a whole lot more than 3% of scientists are sceptical of the party line on climate. A whole lot more.

The many scientists, engineers and energy experts that comprise the CO2 Coalition are often asked something along the lines of: “So you believe in climate change, then?” Our answer? “Yes, of course we do: it has been happening for hundreds of millions of years.” It is important to ask the right questions. The question is not, “Is climate change happening?” The real question of serious importance is, “Is climate change now driven primarily by human actions? That question should be followed up by “is our changing climate beneficial or harmful to ecosystems and humanity?”

There are some scientific truths that are quantifiable and easily proven, and with which, I am confident, at least 97% of scientists agree. Here are two:

1. Carbon dioxide concentration has been increasing in recent years.
2. Temperatures, as measured by thermometers and satellites, have been generally increasing in fits and starts for more than 150 years.

What is impossible to quantify is the actual percentage of warming that is attributable to increased anthropogenic (human-caused) CO2. There is no scientific evidence or method that can determine how much of the warming we've had since 1900 that was directly caused by us.

We know that temperature has varied greatly over the millennia. We also know that for virtually all of that time, global warming and cooling were driven entirely by natural forces, which did not cease to operate at the beginning of the 20th century.

The claim that most modern warming is attributable to human activities is scientifically insupportable. The truth is that we do not know. We need to be able to separate what we do know from that which is only conjecture.

What is the basis for the “97% consensus” notion? Is it true?

Hint: You can't spell consensus without “con.”

If, indeed, 97% of all scientists truly believed that human activities were causing the moderate warming that we have seen in the last 150 years, it would be reasonable for one to consider this when determining what to believe. One would be wrong, however.

Science, unlike religion, is not a belief system. Scientists, just like anyone else, will say that they believe things – whether they believe them or not – for social convenience, political expediency or financial profit. For this and other good reasons, science is not founded upon the beliefs of scientists. It is a disciplined method of inquiry, by which scientists apply pre-existing theory to observation and measurement, so as to develop or to reject a theory, so that they can unravel as clearly and as certainly as possible the distinction between what the Greek philosopher Anaximander called “that which is and that which is not.”

Abu Ali ibn al-Haytham, the natural philosopher

of 11th-century Iraq who founded the scientific method in the East, once wrote:

“The seeker after truth [his beautiful description of the scientist] does not place his faith in any mere consensus, however venerable or widespread. Instead, he subjects what he has learned of it to inquiry, inspection and investigation. The road to the truth is long and hard, but that is the road we must follow.”

The long and hard road to scientific truth cannot be followed by the trivial expedient of a mere headcount among those who make their livings from government funding. Therefore, the mere fact that climate activists find themselves so often appealing to an imagined and (as we shall see) imaginary “consensus” is a red flag. They are far less sure of the supposed scientific truths to which they cling than they would like us to believe. “Consensus,” here, is a crutch for lame science.

What, then, is the origin of the “97% consensus” notion? Is it backed up with research and data?

The earliest attempt to document a “consensus” on climate change was a 2004 paper cited by Al Gore in his allegedly non-fiction book, ‘An Inconvenient Truth’ - Gore attended natural science class at Harvard, but got a D grade for it. The author of the cited paper, Naomi Oreskes, asserted that 75% of nearly 1,000 papers she had reviewed on the question of climate change agreed with the “consensus” proposition favoured by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (“IPCC”): “Most of the observed warming over the last 50 years is likely to have been due to the increase in greenhouse gas concentrations.” None, she maintained, dissented from this line of reasoning.

The Oreskes paper came to the attention of Klaus-Martin Schulte, an eminent London surgeon, who had become concerned with the adverse health effects of his patients from their belief in apocalyptic global warming.

Professor Schulte decided to update Oreskes' work. However, he found that only 45% of several hundred papers endorsed the “consensus” position. He concluded: *“There appears to be little basis in the peer-reviewed scientific literature for the degree of alarm on the issue of climate change which is being expressed in the media and by politicians, now carried over into the medical world and experienced by patients.”*

'LINK's in the online PDF

The primary paper that is often trotted out in support of the notion of “97% consensus” was written by John Cook and his merry band of climate extremists. Published in 2013, it is the most widely referenced work on the subject of climate consensus and has been downloaded more than 1.3 million times.

Cook runs a climate website that is a smorgasbord of climate fear rhetoric, specialising in attacks – often personal and spiteful in tone – on all who have proven effective in leading others to stray from the dogma of impending climate doom.

The project was self-described as “a ‘citizen science’ project by volunteers contributing to the website.” The team consisted of 12 climate activists who did not leave their climate prejudices at home. These volunteers, many of whom had no training in the sciences, said they had “reviewed” abstracts from 11,944 peer-reviewed papers related to climate change or global warming, published over the 21 years 1991 – 2011, to assess the extent to which they supported the “consensus view” on climate change. As Cook’s paper said:

“We analysed a large sample of the scientific literature on global CC [climate change], published over a 21-year period, in order to determine the level of scientific consensus that human activity is very likely causing most of the current GW (anthropogenic global warming, or AGW).”

The paper concluded:

“Among abstracts that expressed a position on AGW [anthropogenic global warming], 97.1% endorsed the scientific consensus. ... Among papers expressing a position on AGW, an overwhelming percentage (97.2% based on self-ratings, 97.1% based on abstract ratings) endorses the scientific consensus on AGW.”

The paper asserted – falsely, as it turned out – that 97% of the papers the reviewers examined had explicitly endorsed the opinion that humans are causing the majority of the warming of the last 150 years.

When one looks at the data, one finds that 7,930 of the papers took no position at all on the subject and were arbitrarily excluded from the count on this ground. If we simply add back all of the papers reviewed, the 97% claimed by Cook and his co-authors falls to 32.6%.


A closer look at the paper reveals that the so-called “97%” included three categories of endorsement of human-caused climate change (Figure 1). Only the first category amounted to an explicit statement that humans are the primary cause of recent warming. The second and third categories would include most sceptics of catastrophic anthropogenic warming, including the scientists of the CO2 Coalition, who accept that increasing CO2 is probably causing some, probably modest, amount of warming; an amount that is likely rendered insignificant by natural causes of warmer weather. Only by casting a wide net could Cook conclude that there is any type of “consensus.”

Level of endorsement	Description
(1) Explicit endorsement with quantification	Explicitly states that humans are the primary cause of recent global warming
(2) Explicit endorsement without quantification	Explicitly states that humans are causing global warming or refers to anthropogenic global warming/climate change as a known fact
(3) Implicit endorsement	Implies humans are causing global warming. E. g. research assumes greenhouse gas emissions cause warming without explicitly stating humans are the cause

Figure 1: Categories of endorsement – Cook 2013

Agnotology is defined as “the study of how ignorance arises via circulation of misinformation calculated to mislead.” This is how David Legates and his co-authors (2015) describe the Cook paper and similar attempts falsely to promote the notion of broad scientific consensus surrounding the subject of a looming, man-made, climate apocalypse.

They reviewed the actual papers used by Cook and found that only 0.3% of the 11,944 abstracts and 1.6% of the smaller sample that excluded those papers expressing no opinion endorsed man-made global warming as they defined it. Remarkably, they found that Cook and his assistants had themselves marked only 64 papers – or 0.5% of the 11,944 they said they had reviewed – as explicitly stating that recent warming was mostly man-made (Figure 2). Yet they stated, both in the paper itself and subsequently, that they had found a “97% consensus” explicitly stating that recent warming was mostly man-made.



It appears that Cook and his co-authors manipulated the data to present an altogether untrue narrative of overwhelming support for catastrophic human-caused warming.

Note that the official “consensus” position – supported though it was by just 0.3% of the 11,944 papers reviewed – says nothing more than recent warming was mostly man-made. Even if that were the case – and the overwhelming majority of scientists take no view on that question, for it is beyond our present knowledge to answer – it would not indicate that global warming is dangerous.

“If you tell a lie big enough and keep repeating it, people will eventually come to believe it.” – Joseph Goebbels

From the information we have just reviewed, the percentage of scientists who agree with the notion of man-made catastrophic global warming is significantly less than advertised. Several unbiased attempts have been made to assess what the actual number might be. One of the largest petitions concerning climate change was the Oregon Petition signed by more than 31,000 American scientists, including 9,029 holding PhDs, disputing the notion of anthropogenic climate alarmism (Figure 3).




Figure 3: Edward Teller’s signature at www.petitionproject.org

More recently, in 2016, George Mason University (Maibach 2016) surveyed more than 4,000 members of the American Meteorological Society and found that 33% believed that climate change was not occurring, was at most half man-made, was mostly natural, or they did not know. Significantly, only 18% believed that a large amount – or all – of additional climate change could be averted.

Science does not advance through consensus, and the claim of consensus has no place in any rational scientific debate. We ask: What do the data tell us? What does it mean? Can we reproduce the

results? If those promoting man-made climate fear need to resort to an obviously flawed consensus opinion, rather than argue the merits of the science, haven’t they already conceded that their argument cannot be won through open debate?

“Cook’s 97% nonsense [sic] paper shows that the climate community still has a long way to go in weeding out bad research and bad behaviour. If you want to believe that climate researchers are incompetent, biased and secretive, Cook’s paper is an excellent case in point.” - Professor Richard Tol

“Let’s be clear: the work of science has nothing whatever to do with consensus. Consensus is the business of politics. Science, on the contrary, requires only one investigator who happens to be right, which means that he or she has results that are verifiable by reference to the real world. In science consensus is irrelevant. What is relevant is reproducible results. The greatest scientists in history are great precisely because they broke with the consensus.”

There is no such thing as consensus science. If it’s consensus, it isn’t science. If it’s science, it isn’t consensus. Period.” - Michael Crichton



Last year's Nobel Prize winner in physics: - There is no climate crisis

- af Christian Skaug - Document.no,
11. maj 2023, [LINK](#)

American physicist John Clauser, who was awarded the 2022 Nobel Prize in Physics, says that climate pseudo-science has misled people, media and politicians, creating a situation that threatens the global economy and billions of people.

Clauser's statement comes in connection with his election on 5 May to the board of the CO2 Coalition, a non-profit US-based organization that seeks a better understanding of climate change, humanity's role in the Earth's climate system and the limitations of climate models.

Clauser lashes out strongly against what he almost perceives as a madhouse:

«Public perception of climate change reflects a dangerous corruption of science that threatens the global economy and the welfare of billions of people. Misguided climate science has spread in the form of a massive and sensationalised pseudo-science, which in turn has spawned a host of other evils in completely different areas. This pseudo-science has been promoted and expanded by equally misguided marketing people, politicians, journalists, government agencies and environmental activists.»

In reality, there is no climate crisis," he says:

«In my view, there is no real climate crisis. There is, however, a very real problem of providing a decent standard of living for the vast population of the world, and with it an associated energy crisis. This is being unnecessarily exacerbated by what I believe is flawed climate science.»

John Clauser, who won the Nobel Prize for his groundbreaking research on the phenomenon of quantum entanglement, will bring even greater intellectual weight to the organization, which already has many scientists in its ranks, comments CO2 Coalition chairman William Happer.

Clauser has previously criticized the 2021 Nobel Prize in Physics for rewarding work with computer-based climate models, and is himself in the process of developing a climate model that takes greater account of the role of clouds in the climate system.

The process involves the visible light reflected by cumulus clouds, which on average cover half the Earth. Existing models have vastly underestimated this cloud response, which provides a very powerful, dominant thermostatic control of the Earth's temperature.

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) itself admits that the impact of clouds creates the greatest uncertainty in climate modelling projections, but no particular progress has been made in this area, comments the CO2 Coalition.


Clauser joins a number of eminent physicists who have criticised the over-reliance on climate models, which have not been rejected despite their lack of predictive ability and are also mutually contradictory. Other critics include Freeman Dyson, Ivar Giæver, Lennart Bengtsson, Franco Prodi, Steven Koonin and William Happer.

There is little doubt that the time is ripe for a colossal paradigm shift in climate research, but powerful interests are resisting those who want to **make science scientific again**.




Electric cars: A plan that doesn't work to fix a problem that doesn't exist.

There are no conspiracy theories, they are all true. The truth is far stranger than fiction. Wake up... t.me/greatreject





SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT GOALS



GOALS FOR A NEW WORLD ORDER

17 GOALS TO ENSLAVE ALL PEOPLES



The United Nations 2030 Agenda decoded: It's a blueprint for the global enslavement of humanity under the boot of corporate masters

- by Mike Adams, Health Ranger, Sep 2015, [LINK](#)

This week, Michael Snyder published an important article entitled [The 2030 Agenda: This Month The UN Launches A Blueprint For A New World Order With The Help Of The Pope](#), [LINK](#)

That article references [this UN "2030 Agenda" document](#), [LINK](#), that pushes a blueprint for so-called "sustainable development" around the world.

This document describes nothing less than a global government takeover of every nation across the planet. The "goals" of this document are nothing more than code words for a corporate-government fascist agenda that will imprison humanity in a devastating cycle of poverty while enriching the world's most powerful globalist corporations like Monsanto and DuPont.

In the interests of helping wake up humanity, I've decided to translate the 17 points of this 2030 agenda so that readers everywhere can understand what this document is really calling for. To perform this translation, you have to understand how globalists disguise their monopolistic agendas in "feel good" language.

Here's the point-by-point translation. Notice carefully that nowhere does this document state that "achieving human freedom" is one of its goals. Nor does it explain HOW these goals are to be achieved. As you'll see here, every single point in this UN agenda is to be achieved through centralized government control and totalitarian mandates that resemble communism.

Translation of the UN's "2030 Agenda blueprint for globalist government" (controlled by corporate interests)

1 NO POVERTY



Goal 1) End poverty in all its forms everywhere

Translation: Put everyone on government welfare, food stamps, housing subsidies and handouts that make them obedient slaves to global government. Never allow people upward mobility to help themselves. Instead, teach mass victimization and obedience to a government that provides monthly "allowance" money for basic essentials like food and medicine. Label it "ending poverty."

2 ZERO HUNGER



Goal 2) End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture

Translation: Invade the entire

planet with GMOs and Monsanto's patented seeds while increasing the use of deadly herbicides under the false claim of "increased output" of food crops.



Engineer genetically modified plants to boost specific vitamin chemicals while having no idea of the long-term consequences of genetic pollution or cross-species genetic experiments carried out openly in a fragile ecosystem.

3 GOOD HEALTH AND WELL-BEING



Goal 3) Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages

Translation: Mandate 100+ vaccines for all children and adults at gunpoint, threatening parents with arrest and imprisonment if they refuse to cooperate. Push heavy medication use on children and teens while rolling out "screening" programs. Call mass medication "prevention" programs and claim they improve the health of citizens.

4 QUALITY EDUCATION



Goal 4) Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all

Translation: Push a false history and a dumbed-down education under "Common Core" education standards that produce obedient workers rather than independent thinkers. Never let people learn real history, or else they might realize they don't want to repeat it.

5 GENDER EQUALITY



Goal 5) Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls

Translation: Criminalize Christianity, marginalize heterosexuality, demonize males and promote the LGBT agenda everywhere. The real goal is never "equality" but rather the marginalization and shaming of anyone who expresses any male characteristics whatsoever. The ultimate goal is to feminize society, creating widespread acceptance of

"gentle obedience" along with the self-weakening ideas of communal property and "sharing" everything. Because only male energy has the strength to rise up against oppression and fight for human rights, the suppression of male energy is key to keeping the population in a state of eternal acquiescence.

6 CLEAN WATER AND SANITATION



Goal 6) Ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all

Translation: Allow powerful corporations to seize control of the world's water supplies and charge monopoly prices to "build new water delivery infrastructure" that "ensures availability."

7 AFFORDABLE AND CLEAN ENERGY



Goal 7) Ensure access to affordable, reliable, sustainable and modern energy for all

Translation: Penalize coal, gas and oil while pushing doomed-to-fail "green" energy subsidies to brain-dead startups headed by friends of the White House who all go bankrupt in five years or less. The green startups make for impressive speeches and media coverage, but because these companies are led by corrupt idiots rather than capable entrepreneurs, they always go broke. (And the media hopes you don't remember all the fanfare surrounding their original launch.)

8 DECENT WORK AND ECONOMIC GROWTH



Goal 8) Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all

Translation: Regulate small business out of existence with government-mandated minimum wages that bankrupt entire sectors of the economy. Force employers to meet hiring quotas of LGBT workers while mandating wage tiers under a centrally planned work economy dictated by the government. Destroy free market economics and deny permits and licenses to those companies that don't obey government dictates.

9 INDUSTRY, INNOVATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE



Goal 9) Build resilient infrastructure, promote inclusive and sustainable industrialization and foster innovation

Translation: Put nations into extreme debt with the World Bank, spending debt money to hire corrupt American corporations to build large-scale infrastructure projects that trap developing nations in an endless spiral of debt. See the book

Confessions of an Economic Hit Man by John Perkins to understand the details of how this scheme has been repeated countless times over the last several decades.



Goal 10) Reduce inequality within and among countries

Translation: Punish the rich, the entrepreneurs and the innovators, confiscating nearly all gains by those who choose to work and excel. Redistribute the confiscated wealth to the masses of non-working human parasites that feed off a productive economy while contributing nothing to it... all while screaming about "equality!"



Goal 11) Make cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable

Translation: Ban all gun ownership by private citizens, concentrating guns into the hands of obedient government enforcers who rule over an unarmed, enslaved class of impoverished workers. Criminalize living in most rural areas by instituting Hunger Games-style "protected areas" which the government will claim are owned by "the People" even though no people are allowed to live there. Force all humans into densely packed, tightly controlled cities where they are under 24/7 surveillance and subject to easy manipulation by government.



Goal 12) Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns

Translation: Begin levying punitive taxes on the consumption of fossil fuels and electricity, forcing people to live under conditions of worsening standards of living that increasingly resemble Third World conditions. Use social influence campaigns in TV, movies and social media to shame people who use gasoline, water or electricity, establishing a social construct of ninnies and tattlers who rat out their neighbors in exchange for food credit rewards.



Goal 13) Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts

Translation: Set energy consumption quotas on each human being and start punishing or even criminalizing "lifestyle decisions" that exceed energy usage limits set by governments. Institute total surveillance of individuals in order to track and calculate their energy consumption. Penalize private vehicle ownership and force the masses onto public transit, where TSA grunts and facial recognition cameras can monitor and record the movement of every person in society, like a scene ripped right out of Minority Report.



Goal 14) Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development


Translation: Ban most ocean fishing, plunging the food supply into an extreme shortage and causing runaway food price inflation that puts even more people into economic desperation. Criminalize the operation of private fishing vessels and place all ocean fishing operations under the control of government central planning. Only allow favored corporations to conduct ocean

fishing operations (and make this decision based entirely on which corporations give the most campaign contributions to corrupt lawmakers).



Goal 15) Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss

Translation: Roll out Agenda 21 and force humans off the land and into controlled cities. Criminalize private land ownership, including ranches and agricultural tracts. Tightly control all agriculture through a corporate-corrupted government bureaucracy whose policies are determined almost entirely by Monsanto while being rubber-stamped by the USDA. Ban woodstoves, rainwater collection and home gardening in order to criminalize self-reliance and force total dependence on government.



Goal 16) Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels

Translation: Grant legal immunity to illegal aliens and "protected" minority groups, which will be free to engage in any illegal activity -- including openly calling for the mass murder of police officers -- because they are the new protected class in society. "Inclusive institutions" means granting favorable tax structures and government grants to corporations that hire LGBT workers or whatever groups are currently in favor with the central planners in government. Use the IRS and other federal agencies to selectively punish unfavorable groups with punitive audits and regulatory harassment, all while ignoring the criminal activities of favored corporations that are friends of the political elite.



Goal 17) Strengthen the means of implementation and revitalize the global partnership for sustainable development

Translation: Enact global trade mandates that override national laws while granting unrestricted imperialism powers to companies like Monsanto, Dow Chemical, RJ Reynolds, Coca-Cola and Merck. Pass global trade pacts that bypass a nation's lawmakers and override intellectual property laws to make sure the world's most powerful corporations maintain total monopolies over drugs, seeds, chemicals and technology. Nullify national laws and demand total global obedience to trade agreements authored by powerful corporations and rubber-stamped by the UN.

Total enslavement of the planet by 2030

As the UN document says, *"We commit ourselves to working tirelessly for the full implementation of this Agenda by 2030."*

If you read the full document and can read beyond the fluffery and public relations phrases, you'll quickly realize that **this UN agenda is going to be forced upon all the citizens of the world through the invocation of government coercion.** Nowhere does this document state that the rights of

the individual will be protected. Nor does it even acknowledge the existence of human rights granted to individuals by the Creator. Even the so-called "Universal Declaration of Human Rights" utterly denies individuals the right to self defense, the right to medical choice and the right to parental control over their own children.

The UN is planning nothing less than a **global government tyranny** that enslaves all of humanity while calling the scheme "sustainable development" and "equality".

1984 has finally arrived. And of course it's all being rolled out under the fraudulent label of "progress".

Read the newspaper online in pdf and click the many 'LINK's
www.scandinavianfreedom.news

Satanism doesn't mean worshipping a red guy with horns. Satanism means taking that which is righteous and natural, and inverting it. Most of society is satanic, and they don't even know it.

NEVER WORRY about who will be offended if you speak the TRUTH. Worry about who will be misled, deceived & destroyed if you don't.

Can You Guess How Much CO2 is Mankind Responsible For?

Global warming and climate change alarmists harp on about “dangerously high” manmade CO2 output levels. So how much are they? The answer will shock you.

- by Makia Freeman, guest writer at HumansAreFree, 5 Oct 2018, [LINK](#)

You would think manmade CO2 output levels must be sky-high, given all the relentless guilt-tripping propaganda we are fed about how humanity is the cause of global warming. The agenda to push AGW (Anthropogenic Global Warming) or manmade global warming started around the 1980s and has been gaining momentum for decades, fooling many people along the way.

Yet, despite all the publicity it has gotten, it has still failed to make clear a very fundamental point: exactly how much and what percentage of carbon or specifically CO2 (carbon dioxide) does humanity contribute to the atmosphere?

If man is really driving global warming (now conveniently called “climate change”), surely this level must be pretty high or at least significant, right? The answer may shock you ... and give new meaning to the term global warming hoax.

Manmade CO2 Output Levels ... Straight out of the IPCC’s Mouth

One of the difficult things about ascertaining the truth in the climate change debate is that there are so many different sets of measurements. Which one do you trust? How can you tell the truth when one side uses one set of data to prove its point, and the other side uses another set of data to prove its (diametrically opposed) point?

To bypass this dilemma, we are going to get the figures straight of the horse’s mouth so to speak by using data from the IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). The IPCC is not a scientific body as you may imagine but rather a political one with a very clear bias towards promoting AGW and climate change alarmism.

It’s their job to push the AGW agenda onto the public, even though they disguise that with claims that they “provide rigorous and balanced scientific information.”

Here’s what Wim Rost had to say in his article **IPCC ≠ SCIENCE ↔ IPCC = GOVERNMENT**:

“IPCC is government and not science. And the workers of the IPCC prepare the work in accordance with the rules and procedures established by the IPCC.

“In order to be scientific the scientific method has to be adhered. The use of many scientists to fill important parts of IPCC reports does not mean that everything is science. A report is just a report. In this case, a report from the IPCC. And the IPCC is (inter-) government.

“Scientists involved can produce their own

scientific papers about their own specialised part of science, but a small group of writers writes the summaries and the conclusions – for the IPCC. And IPCC is government. ...

“The IPCC’s stated mission is not to discover what accounts for climate change, but to assess “the risk of human-induced climate change.”

“Consequently, there is almost no discussion in

HEY LISTEN, JUST BECAUSE OUR DATA WAS WRONG AND OUR SCIENTISTS WERE LYING DOESN'T MEAN YOU SHOULDN'T LET US TAX YOU FOR EVERY BREATH YOU TAKE AND MILE YOU DRIVE!

YOU KNOW HOW EXPENSIVE GAS IS FOR MY YACHT?

THE EARTH IS POLLUTED BUT THESE GUYS JUST WANT TO SCAM YOU OUT OF MONEY

Did You Know? Al Gore Made Nearly \$330 Million from the Global Warming Scam – Likely to Become the World’s First ‘Carbon Billionaire’, [LINK](#)

its lengthy reports of other theories of climate change. Policymakers and journalists took this to mean the AGW theory was the only credible theory of climate change, and the IPCC’s sponsors and spokespersons had no incentive to correct the mistake.”

CO2 in the Atmosphere


Here are the simple facts. Earth’s atmosphere consists of the following gases at the following levels:

- Nitrogen (N) – 78%
- Oxygen (O) – 21%
- Argon (Ar) – 0.9%
- Trace Gases – 0.1%

So far, so good. CO2 is a gas in such small concentrations that it hasn’t yet entered the picture. So, the next step is to break down the composition of trace gases (which are also the greenhouse gases) in our atmosphere:

- Water Vapor (H2O) – 95% of trace gases / 0.95% of overall atmosphere
- Carbon Dioxide (CO2) – 3-4% of trace gases / 0.03 or 0.04% of overall atmosphere
- Neon (Ne) – 0.1% of trace gases / 0.001% of overall atmosphere

There are also some gases at tiny concentrations, including helium (He), methane (CH4), nitrous oxide



(N2O) and ozone (O3), as well as halogenated gases (CFCs) released by mankind which have damaged ozone.

Water vapor is far and away the largest greenhouse gas, but the IPCC chooses to ignore it! Check out these tables below where you can see that water vapor is excluded from the percentages. The IPCC and other AGW proponents claim they need to exclude water vapor from their calculations because it varies so much from region to region.


Yes, it does vary greatly all over the Earth, but to just exclude the largest greenhouse gas (and a massive driver of temperature too) from your calculations because it’s inconvenient or varies too much is grossly misleading and unscientific.

A pie chart typical of one used by the IPCC and AGW proponents. Water vapor, despite being the overwhelmingly largest greenhouse and trace gas, is simply ignored and omitted.

Humanity’s Contribution to CO2 Levels


To recap: trace gases are 0.1% of the atmosphere, and carbon dioxide makes up 3-4% of these trace gases, so therefore CO2 is 3-4% of 0.1%. For simplicity’s sake, let’s call it 3%, so CO2 comprises 0.003% of the atmosphere.

That’s pretty damn small, but we can’t stop there, because the next question to ask is: how much of this is caused by human activity? The IPCC has conflicting sets of data here, but both are within a small range of each other, either 3.0% (using the 2007 figures) or 3.6% (using the 2001 figures):



Manmade CO2 output levels (IPCC data from 2001)

DONATION
www.scandinavianfreedom.news



No matter which set of data you use, the IPCC data shows that manmade CO2 output levels are ~3%. How do you figure this out? The 2001 data shows the total amount of CO2 going into the atmosphere (119 + 88 + 6.3 = 213.3) and the human portion as 6.3. Divide 6.3 by 213.3 and you get 2.95%.

The 2007 data shows the total amount of CO2 going into the atmosphere (29 + 439 + 332 = 800) and the human portion as 29. Divide 29 by 800 and you get 3.63%.

Manmade CO2: 3% of 3% of 0.1%

So here's the bottom line. According to the IPCC's own data, manmade CO2 output levels are 3% of 3% of 0.1% of the total Earth's atmosphere. That's 0.000009%! That's 9 millionths.

CO2 is measured in ppm (parts per million) because it is such a tiny and insignificant gas, yet somehow, the propaganda has been so successful that it has sprouted into what some state is a US\$ 1.5 trillion industry.

The IPCC Can't Deal with Water Vapor

The IPCC is basically stuck on water vapor. It can't actually measure it, since the variability across the world is so high, H2O vapor changes so quickly, and it takes place above a variety of different landscapes/topographies. There are too many variables to calculate to produce a good model. So it just shuffles it to the side and states it has no "confidence."

Here's exactly what the IPCC says, LINK:

"Modelling the vertical structure of water vapour is subject to greater uncertainty since the humidity profile is governed by a variety of processes ... because of large variability and relatively short data records, confidence in stratospheric H2O vapour trends is low."

It doesn't suit the IPCC's agenda to really dive in and better understand the role of water vapor as the key greenhouse gas driving climate temperature. It's far easier to just pretend it doesn't exist and only focus on the tiny amount of CO2 in the atmosphere instead.

Manmade CO2: A Massive Diversion

The idea that manmade CO2 output levels is a big problem, in the scheme of all of Earth's eco problems, is a giant hoax. It diverts environmentalists' attention away from the true issues that need addressing. Does it make any logical sense to spend so much money, energy and attention on 0.000009% of CO2, when there are very palpable, tangible and dangerous threats to our environment?

What about geoengineering, the aerial chemtrail spraying of barium, aluminum and strontium all over us, and the flora and fauna of the Earth? What about the release of synthetic self-aware fibers that cause Morgellons' Disease, in line with the NWO synthetic agenda? What about unstoppable environmental genetic pollution caused by the release of GMOs?

What about the contamination of waterways with industrial chemicals, pesticides like glyphosate and atrazine, poisons like dioxin and DDT, heavy metals and pharmaceutical residues? Why are people wasting their energy on 3% of 3% of 0.1% when we have real MASSIVE ENVIRONMENTAL issues facing us as a species?

Respected theoretical physicist Freeman Dyson said: *"The possibly harmful climatic effects of carbon dioxide have been greatly exaggerated ... the benefits clearly outweigh the possible damage."*

Final Thoughts

Despite all the politicians, celebrities and soul-for-sale scientists AGW has recruited to its cause, there is no real basis for the fearmongering.

Environmental Effects of Increased Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide

ARTHUR B. ROBINSON, NOAH E. ROBINSON, AND WILLIE SOON

Oregon Institute of Science and Medicine, 2251 Dick George Road, Cave Junction, Oregon 97523 [artr@oism.org]

ABSTRACT A review of the research literature concerning the environmental consequences of increased levels of atmospheric carbon dioxide leads to the conclusion that increases during the 20th and early 21st centuries have produced no deleterious effects upon Earth's weather and climate. Increased carbon dioxide has, however, markedly increased plant growth. Predictions of harmful climatic effects due to future increases in hydrocarbon use and minor greenhouse gases like CO2 do not conform to current experimental knowledge. The environmental effects of rapid expansion of the nuclear and hydrocarbon energy industries are discussed.




At the very top, those pushing the manmade global warming hoax know that it's a scam, so rather than focusing on the facts, they appeal to emotion with fake images of starving polar bears (to arouse anger) and underwater cities (to arouse fear).


The truth is that the green movement has long been hijacked by the very same NWO manipulators who helped to ruin the environment in the first place, through their ownership of oil, chemical and pharmaceutical multinational corporations.

These manipulators rely on the average person being too busy or lazy to check the facts or think critically. They promote scientific illiteracy via their control of the MSM, the educational curriculum and their numerous think tanks.


Finally - if you dare - dig into the birth of the modern environmental movement, and you may be shocked to find how deeply it is steeped in eugenics and depopulation. It's time to realize that those pushing this gigantic scam aren't interesting in saving the environment - but rather depopulating it.



Source: Peer-Reviewed research, LINK



'LINK's in the online PDF



Scientists Uncover the Role of Undersea Volcanoes in Climate Change – But the Media Don't Want to Know

- by Chris Morrison, *The Daily Sceptic*, 7 May 2023, [LINK](#)

The recent doubling of known underwater volcanoes is a very significant geological discovery, but it has been largely ignored in mainstream media. It is the latest example of how the promotion of human-caused climate change has led to the downplaying of any science news that runs the risk of opening discussion about the natural forces surrounding the constantly changing climate. A group of oceanographers led by the Scripps Institute of Oceanography in San Diego identified in total 19,325 new volcanoes, or seamounts, to add to the existing known total of 24,643.

Erupting volcanoes under the sea produce huge quantities of carbon dioxide and must play a part in pushing warming water and nutrients around the surrounding areas, with possible effects on currents and surrounding marine life. Some scientists believe that they play an important part in ocean mixing and have a role in determining long-term climate. The science writer Jo Nova observes that climate modellers take a different view, since they believe all unexplained warming is due to CO2. With a hint of sarcasm, she added: *"The Pacific Ocean cycles are the largest driver of climate on Earth, but we 'know' as only high priests can, that volcanoes we've never studied definitely had no role in it."*

Almost all mainstream media seem to have ignored the story, bar, so far as I can tell from a Google search, The U.K. Sun and Newsweek in the U.S. Since the story broke, the BBC has led its specialist climate page with a variety of clickbait Net Zero stories, noting a recent 'record' single day temperature in Spain, a query as to whether climate change is "killing" Australian wine, the use of kitchen fumes to heat a restaurant, and a suggestion that life in the ocean 'twilight' zone is at risk due to

warming. Keen climate fact-check attackers Reuters and Agence France-Presse (AFP) appear quiet on the matter, as do the Guardian, Washington Post, New York Times and CNN.

Curiously, the BBC's volcanophobia seems a recent condition. In 2015, it ran a story titled 'Underwater volcanoes discovered off Australia'.

regulating short-term weather and longer term climate around the world.

The role of seamounts could cause warming or cooling, we simply don't know. But only climate models – the 'high priests' – have all the answers, and they ignore all the effects of seamounts, particularly the thousands yet to be discovered. The lack of interest in the media about this latest discovery is indicative of how much geology, chemistry, physics and other scientific work is effectively off-limits under the settled climate change guidelines. It is difficult not to conclude that such protection is given, lest the unproven hypothesis of overwhelming human involvement is challenged.

The Daily Sceptic has noted on a number of occasions that over the last seven decades there has been little or no warming in Antarctica. According to a recent paper, sea ice has "modestly expanded" and warming has been "nearly non-existent" over much of the ice sheet. But there has been some warming in

one spot, over on the west side of the continent. Carbon dioxide is well mixed in the atmosphere so it is a valid scientific question to ask why it only warns the surface in this one patch? An alternative explanation might note the existence of a chain of volcanoes in the area. In 2017, scientists discovered 91 volcanoes in the West Antarctica Rift System. It brought the number of volcanoes located in the area to 138. The heights ranged from 300 to 12,600 ft, with the tallest as high as Mount Fuji.

The more we learn about the geography of the planet and the science behind the chaotic processes of the oceans and atmosphere, the less we really comprehend how climate evolves. So we rely on the flawed inputs and outputs of basic climate models to convince us we are heading for climate Armageddon, and only a top-down, collectivist Net Zero solution can save us from our folly.



The story said that a grand total of four extinct seamounts had been identified near Sydney. Volcano expert Richard Arculus is reported to have told AFP that, *"every time we turn the spotlight on the sea floor we see things that we've never seen before"*.

Only 20% of the ocean floor has been mapped by sonar, and these latest discoveries arise from improvements in the gravity data from satellite altimetry. These allow scientist to gain much more information about the topography of the sea floor. Scientists speculate that there could be many thousands of seamounts still to be discovered. Jo Nova reports that the second largest volcano in the solar system is not to be found on the Jupiter moon Io, but 1,000 miles east of Japan. It is the size of the British Isles. In January 2022, a massive seamount explosion 40 miles off the Tonga coast sent tsunami waves crashing around the region.

The Scripps scientists stress that *"seamounts are valuable characteristics of the ocean floor since they provide insight on many of the Earth's geological, oceanographical and ecological cycles and processes"*. In addition they note that ocean floor levels have an important effect on ocean circulation, with large seafloor features such as ridges and plateaus acting as "barriers" that inhibit deep cold water to mix with the warmer waters of the ocean surface. Recent studies are said to suggest that seamounts can have an influence on ocean circulation, which can help scientists better understand the uptake of heat and carbon dioxide in the ocean. Heat transfers between ocean and atmosphere, and the movements from equatorial regions to the poles, are difficult if not impossible to fully plot, but they play a vital part in



← **Matt Landman** May 4 · 🌐

Does This look like a "Theory" to You!????

Australia's Bureau Of Meteorology Caught Cooking The Temperature Books

- by Cap Allon, *Electroverse*, 18 Apr 2023, [LINK](#)

We've long known government agencies tasked with tracking the climate routinely 'adjust' raw temperature data; we know they cool the past, which has the upshot of warming the present; we know they lie; and we know they fight FOIs (Freedom of Information).

We now also know, thanks to a recent FOI request at the Australian Bureau of Meteorology (BoM), that they also ignore WMO guidelines and manufacture artificial warming trends by using electronic probes in place of traditional mercury thermometers.

Independent scientists—that is, those whose wages aren't reliant on the establishment—have long argued that when the BoM changed from traditional mercury thermometers to electronic probes that it would create an artificial 'man-made' warming bias across Australia's temperature record. But the BoM continually argued that the equipment change made no difference.

Electronic probes take a reading every single second of the day, and the highest value in a 24-hour period becomes the maximum reading for that day; electronic probes measure variances that would not be measured by traditional mercury.

In doing this the BoM is also ignoring WMO guidelines which recommend that readings from probes "be averaged over at least one minute". These guidelines are in place because the WMO know that one-second readings give inflated temperatures - an anomalous and momentary 'spike' in the equipment is then logged as that day's official high. Even if the average temperature logged by a probe was the same as a mercury thermometer, the extremes will likely be quite different. The behavior of air, turbulence and variability at 3PM, when maximum temperatures are usually recorded, is different to the pre-dawn minimum hour of day. And again, it is the extremes that the new probes now log as the official daily temperature.

But this isn't even the crux of the matter, at least not for me. If BoM employees want to play around with probes then who am I to argue, each to their own. The issue here is the agency's flat-out refusal to release the traditional thermometer data alongside the new probe data in order for independent comparison, in order to draw two separate datasets.

The BoM clearly felt it had something to hide too, and fought Freedom of Information requests in court to keep this data hidden. There is no acceptable/innocent excuse for this. The BoM don't even try to give one: a publicly-funded agency used public money to hide data from the public - fact.


And finally, after more than three years of fighting in court, a group of Independent scientists led by John Abbot won FOI access, on Easter eve after the matter was taken to the Administrative Appeals Tribunal—but to just a fraction of the parallel mercury data. Upon review of the pages it is obvious why the agency fought so hard to keep it secret.

The scientists got hold of just 20% of the parallel records held for the Brisbane airport site, one of 38 sites originally requested under FOI, but the limited data still reveals a bombshell: **the electronic probe at Brisbane Airport logged temperatures up to**

0.7C warmer than the airport's traditional mercury thermometer.

Add to this the BoM's inexplicable decision (again, they don't even try to give a reason) to reduce the sizes of the Stevenson screens—found to artificially increase temperature readings by up to 1C—and the logical conclusion is that Australia's official climate agency is cooking the temperature books in order to support the anthropogenic global warming narrative.

Even in the face of these dependencies, the BoM insists that there is no 'significant' difference (already backtracking from their original position of 'no' difference then), yet analysis of the data by scientist Jennifer Marohasy has found a statistically significant difference does indeed exist. Over the three-year period for which records have been made available, probes returned temperatures higher than the mercury thermometers placed alongside them 41% of the time and lower 25.9% of the time.



The BoM is not disputing this fact, says Dr Marohasy, they're simply ignoring it. She also points out that the data represented just three of the 14.5 years (January 2008 to July 2022) of parallel data that the bureau held for Brisbane Airport: "It is also just a fraction of the 760 years of parallel data the bureau holds for 38 different locations spread across the landmass of Australia," she added.

The pages released by the BoM included 1094 A8 reports with handwritten daily maximum and minimum temperatures from both probes and traditional liquid-in-glass thermometers recorded from instruments in the same shelter/Stevenson screen.

The BoM does have electronic data, I presume?, but one can only imagine the damning picture those digital files would paint given their omission from the FOI release.

Moreover, the official probe-skewed temperature records for Brisbane still show cooling, with last winter (2022) finishing as the city's coldest winter ever recorded. The official data also shows Australia as a whole experienced a colder-than-average winter of 2022, as well as a colder-than-average spring of 2022 and most recently summer of 2022-23. Even according to the BoM's manipulated data, Australia appears to be cooling.

This isn't the first time BoM methodology has been called into question, either.

In 2017, thanks again to the diligence of Dr Marohasy, the bureau was caught red-handed

regulating temperatures to keep them above a predetermined minimum, including at least two NSW automatic weather stations.

Dr Marohasy continues to question why perfectly good raw data is manipulated to turn a cooling trend into a warming one.

Independent auditor Ken Stewart has studied thousands of records and has consistently demonstrated the BoM has a case to answer, accusing it of frequent data manipulation, which improbably cools the past to warm the present.

The bureau also stands accused of giving Mother Nature an occasional helping hand.

Case in point, Sydney's main weather station at Observatory Hill was recently on the cusp of holding below 32C for an entire year—for the first time since records began 163 years ago. But a strategically placed solar panel 'appeared' pointing directly at the weather station which The Daily Telegraph, among others, say conveniently prevented the site from achieving this historic benchmark. Equally suspicious, after questions were raised the panel 'vanished' just as mysteriously as it appeared.

Australia may be a relatively small player in this vast global deception, but my hopes that exposing the BoM's dishonest data collation might trigger a domino effect. We know the majority of the world's population haven't fallen for the AGW Party's ruse. We see this in ALL public comment sections—that is, where they're permitted (so not the BBC then)—which reveal a highly skeptical average Joe. It should stand that the inclination or 'gut feels' of the masses prevail—right or wrong.

In the free market place of ideas, and if we live in a democracy (ha), anthropogenic global warming does not exist and by extension neither does the climate emergency, of course. The establishment has failed to convince the people of the threat, even after some 40+ years of propaganda and an unimaginably monstrous budget.

The climate simply hasn't played ball for them: the ice caps haven't melted, the polar bears haven't perished, the Great Barrier Reef hasn't vanished, the planet has barely warmed, for crikey-sake. The theory has been proven wrong by each and every dire "tipping point" deadline passing uneventfully by, and the public as a whole is no longer naive or illiterate on this topic for they pose the sense of sight and touch and they have memories — yet the establishment insists on flogging a dead horse. Why?

Because it benefits them, both politically and financially. The Great Reset is rooted in the global warming hypothesis. The likes of the IPCC wouldn't exist without it. They've pushed things way too far to turn back now. Even in the face of a BS-calling public, our governing bodies, ruling elites and their MSM lapdogs are continuing to sell us "the end of the world" but very few are still buying it—only indoctrinated youth and aging complainers with savior complexes.

Global warming is dead; for the handful still preaching its dogma, it's high-time you join the right side of history.

Open letter to Ministry of Defence

20 March 2023

First, my correspondence with the
Ministry of Defence:

To the Ministry of Defence,

- QUESTION 1:
Is Denmark at war with anyone
anywhere in the world?
- QUESTION 2:
Is Denmark at war with Russia?

Kind regards

Mads Palsvig, Msc Law, Bsc Finance, chairman of
Velstandspartiet - Jordan Frihed Kundskab, former
investment banker Credit Suisse, Morgan Stanley
and Barclays Bank

1 April 2023

I repeat my questions sent on 20 March

3 April 2023

From: fmn@fmn.dk
RELEASABLE TO INTERNET TRANSMISSION

Dear Mads,

Thank you for your message of 20 March 2023 and
for your interest in the security situation.

The Ministry of Defence can state that Denmark
is neither at war anywhere in the world nor a party
to the armed conflict between Russia and Ukraine.

That said, Denmark strongly condemns Russia's
unprovoked aggression against Ukraine's sove-
reignty and territorial integrity. The Russian war
crimes and attacks in Ukraine are unreservedly
atrocious and unacceptable.

The Danish government actively supports
Ukraine both politically, through humanitarian
contributions and military donations. Support and
donations are based on requests from and in co-
operation with the national Ukrainian authorities.

However, this does not make Denmark a party to
the armed conflict between Russia and Denmark. It
is also important to emphasise that the Danish
Defence Intelligence Service assesses that there is no
direct military threat to Denmark.

With kind regards

**FORSVARSMINISTERIET / DANISH MINISTRY
OF DEFENCE**

Center for Sikkerhed og Operationer / Centre for
Security and Operations

Holmens Kanal 9, DK-1060 København K

www.fmn.dk

RELEASABLE TO INTERNET TRANSMISSION



9 May 2023

And my response:

Dear Anonymous persons in the Ministry of
Defence,

Thank you for your letter of April 3, 2023.

First, happy May 9, the day Nazi Germany was
defeated.

With all honor and respect, you unnamed per-
sons represent an institution that has been wrong
on all serious foreign policy issues for the last 400
years. Your policies have so far cost Denmark 91% of
its area. Your answer is very worrying, and gives
rise to a lot of consideration. That is why you are
now receiving this letter.

War is never and has never been in the interest
of ordinary people. War benefits only those who are
part of the military-industrial complex. War is used
to launder money from taxpayers to shady people
behind the military-industrial complex. It has al-
ways been like that. Strangely and remarkably, there
are still grown ups who haven't realized this yet.

I have duly noted that no one in the Ministry of
Defense will sign your letter to me. Before I answer
your letter, I repeat my questions with additions:

- Are we still not at war with Russia?
- Are we still not at war anywhere in the world?
- I want to compliment you, that you do not call
the conflict in Ukraine "war" and "invasion" in light
of the fact that Russia calls it a "special military
operation". Does that mean you agree that it is not
an invasion and a war?

- Danish politicians and media call the situation
in Ukraine invasion and war. But you call it "aggres-
sion" and "armed conflict". So I just can't understand
why Denmark and other western countries make
such racist laws against Russians living here, which
have not been seen since the Nazi era in Germany
against the Jews?

- If Denmark is not a party to the "armed
conflict"/"aggression", why are the bank accounts,
properties, pension savings and securities deposits
of ordinary Russians frozen without any possibility
whatsoever to take the assets back to Russia or to
another country? They don't even get 2 months to
transfer their assets. All their assets were frozen all
of a sudden, simultaneously in all countries in the
West. Completely like a military operation. People's



legal savings, people who have children studying in
the West, people who want to retire in the West,
people who love the "Western ideals" of freedom,
the Constitution and human rights that we believe
we have, at least in theory.

- Why can't I send money to my 82-year-old
mother-in-law in Russia, who survived World War
II, the Germans occupied her village, and whose fa-
ther was in a concentration camp in Austria? Her fa-
mily was one of the biggest landowners in the Stav-
ropol region before the Russian revolution, they had
all their valuables stolen by the Bolsheviks, all were
executed, all grandparents, all uncles and aunts, ex-
cept my mother-in-law's father, who on the way to
Siberia jumped off a horse drawn wagon with his
wife and eldest daughter. They then hid themselves
in the village they had owned until a few years ear-
lier. No one in the village reported them to the Bol-
sheviks, because they were/are a good family of
decent hardworking people.

The stolen values from Russia ended up mainly
in Western banks in Switzerland, Stockholm, New
York and several other Western countries. The
Western banks financed the Russian revolution.
(Read: Professor Anthony Sutton's, Wall Street and
the Bolshevik Revolution, and watch the video "In
the Shadow of Hermes" by Juri Lina, further down
in this newsletter).

There are many points of similarities between
Russia and Ukraine in the 1990s and with Ukraine
today. Today, Westerners are also looting Ukraine.
During WWII my mother-in-law's father ended up
in a concentration camp in Austria, where he com-
plained to the camp commandant about the treat-
ment of the Russian prisoners of war. This resulted
in the camp commandant throwing him out from a
3rd floor window, he broke both legs. Soon after,
local Austrian farmers' wives came to find labor
because their husbands were on the Eastern Front.
Here one of them fell for my mother-in-law's father.
He was allowed to move out of the concentration
camp and into her home. He ended up living with
her until 1948 before it was safe enough to return
home to Russia. Stalin executed 1/3 of Russian sol-
diers who had been prisoners of war, sent 1/3 to
Siberia and 1/3 was allowed to come home.

When WWII started my mother-in-law was left
with her two brothers aged 7 and 9, when her father
went to the front and her mother and all her siblings
over 12 were forced to move far away and work in a
weapons factory. My mother-in-law is a survivor.

- Why can't I send a package to this absolutely
unique woman, my mother-in-law in Russia?

- Why can't you travel directly to Russia to visit your family and friends?

- Why are there such harsh sanctions against people, if it is "merely" an "aggression" and "armed conflict"?

- What does this have to do with ordinary Russians?

It seems more like the Russians are the new Jews everyone agrees to hate. I have noted that school teachers in several places in Denmark teach children to hate Russians. And Danish TV is notoriously Russophobes and makes non-stop programs with racist undertones against Russians. I remember, for example, during the World Cup in football in 2018, how two sports journalists took pleasure in saying that you "could not even get a cup of coffee at the airport in Moscow" ... followed by the classic deeply racist "we in Denmark are much better than everyone else" agreed shaking of their heads and "hahaha". Well how was it in reality? Now it was different, quite the opposite in fact. My son and I flew to Moscow for the World Cup in Football in 2018 and had to fly at midnight and thus arrived at Copenhagen Airport at about 21:30 and all shops and restaurants were closed. You literally could not get a cup of coffee in Copenhagen Airport. But with "die unter menchen" in Russia we arrived @3AM at Moscow Sheremetevo Airport on the way to Sochi to see Portugal and Ronaldo against Uruguay. Everything was open, I repeat everything was open, all the clothes shops, all the bars, all the restaurants, and all the cafes, my son and I went straight to the Irish Pub and had a big Irish breakfast. Thus just another example of institutionalized racism on Danish TV against Russians. The bottom line is that ordinary Russians want a peaceful solution just like ordinary Ukrainians.

- With these extremely harsh sanctions against all completely innocent peace-loving people, why are there no sanctions against Western companies? 96% of all Western companies in Russia are still there and there are no sanctions against them. Why not?

- Why don't the western companies that "deal with the enemy" have their bank accounts frozen?

- Do you agree with my analysis that the world's financial center is being moved to China? (Much more on that below).

- Do you agree with my analysis that the Western culture is under attack? The family must be destroyed, religion is bad unless it is satanic, unrestrained immigration of only young men from countries we have just carpet bombed is a good idea, never women, children and elderly men? I wonder why not?

- Do you agree with my analysis that these young men may be used by the military-industrial complex to create chaos at a given time, if, for example, the population gets fed up with the introduction of dictatorship in the form of CBDC (central bank digital currency), 15 min cities, insects as food, forced vaccination and censorship? This is not a criticism of immigrants, who I believe generally behave in an exemplary manner and it is my opinion that they love Denmark. I simply believe that some of the most recent arrivals may be "hand-picked" sleeper agents. Is this something you are aware of?

- Do you agree with my analysis that a Chinese type of surveillance state with a social credit score is being tried to be introduced everywhere in the West with the same health passport that Adolf Hitler introduced in Nazi Germany?

- What is the difference between Denmark, together with the USA and England, attacking Libya "to save the civilian population" and Russia entering Ukraine in an SMO/"aggression"/"armed conflict" to save the Russian-speaking civilian population?

- When are you allowed to interfere in the internal affairs of other countries, and when are you not allowed? I don't quite understand NATO's "rule

based" New World Order they are talking about? May you or may you not enter a country "to save the civilian population"?

- If a population group in a country wants independence, then the state can do two things, either crack down hard and handle it as an internal matter or allow a referendum as for example how they did in Slovakia with a 51% majority leaving Czechoslovakia or Crimea with a 97% majority. Which one does Denmark think is the best? Should there always be a heavy crackdown on any demands for independence or should there be the possibility of referenda, as for example we have seen in the Faroe Islands and in Scotland.

- Why did Denmark, together with the USA and England, bomb Libya's water supply with uranium depleted bullets in 2011?

- How do you save the civilian population by flying hundreds of kilometers into the desert and bombing a "Great Man Made River" with uranium depleted bullets?

- How do you save the civilian population from an evil dictator by bombing the factory that made spare parts for The Great Man Made River, which Libya had paid Western companies USD 65 Billion to make? A river that brought the cleanest drinking water from under the desert up to the port cities of Tripoli and Benghazi. A river that was used to irrigate farmland that the population could be allocated for free.

- Where is Libya's 125 tons of gold? Where are Libya's international savings of USD 150 billion? Who has seized those funds?

- Am I right that all wars are only to loot and launder money?

- Or is it also religiously motivated? Motivated by the religion of certain deep state actors, who are not Christian, but worship "the other one". A religion that is about spreading as much human tragedy and degradation as possible? That would explain the motivation, for example, when you think of Dresden, Libya, Iraq and Syria.

- How is it going in Libya today? Did you "spread freedom" and "democracy"? Or open slave markets? Ethnic cleansing of black Africans? Is there a civil war? Was the consequence unrestrained immigration of young men via Libya to Italy and Spain precisely as Gaddafi had warned would happen, if you removed him?

- Why didn't Danish TV Channel DR show the millions of Libyans who demonstrated with green flags in support of Gaddafi?

- Why is there censorship of Russia Today and other Russian media? If we have the moral high ground, we have nothing to hide, right? Presidential candidate Robert F Kennedy says:

"There is no time in world history when the people who introduced censorship were 'the good guys'".

- So why censorship? Why this fear of "Russian disinformation"? Won't the truth always win? Why must the Danish population put up with one-sided information? Do we not have freedom of speech in Denmark?

- I can understand from Lisbeth Quass from DR that "intelligence sources" have ordered a broadcast about "Russian disinformation". You can find the correspondence with her in a previous newsletter, let me know if you can't find it. What will Danish intelligence sources use such a broadcast for? More censorship?

- The information I share with you below cannot be found in Danish mainstream media, why not? We are talking about scientific studies, first-hand witnesses from people who unjustly risk being fired for whistle-blowing, court cases and statistics from public authorities. Why are all these facts that I

bring below hidden?

- Where is the line between one-sided information and propaganda?

- Do you think it is ok that Julian Assange is in prison for reporting on American war crimes? (more on these below).

- If someone could get hold of USD 150 billion and 125 tons of gold, would they have a motive to spread certain "news" and claims in the media they own? Would they have a motive to bribe politicians and journalists?

- Is Ukraine a rich country? On minerals? On farmland? On children?

I have many, many more questions for you, but will leave it at that.

Looking forward to your answers.

Below is important information for you.

I have divided it into nine categories:

- **Climate**
- **Coup d'états**
- **Covid19**
- **Economy**
- **Food**
- **LGBT+**
- **Media**
- **New World Order**
- **War**

If, when you have read it more closely, you want to know more, you can hire me as a consultant. I will not accept an offer of permanent employment, unless it is as the department head. Let me know if you can't find my LinkedIn profile and I'll send you a link.

I asked you again, if we are at war, because things change quickly, in 2022 Prime Minister Mette Frederiksen said: "There will be no EU army". This was said in our Parliament the "Folketing", and may have had a decisive influence on Denmark abandoning its exemption from a cooperation on the defense area. Now the EU wants an EU army with 5,000 men (to begin with) and at the same time they want the right of veto to be taken away from individual countries. Denmark could thus be forced to send Danes to war against the will of the Danish people.

I am pleased that on 3 April 2023 we were not at war "anywhere in the world" or "party to the armed conflict between Russia and Ukraine". I sincerely hope Russia sees it that way too. When England announced that they would send uranium depleted bullets to Ukraine, former President Medvedev suggested that a tsunami weapon should be used against England. This weapon sends a 100 meter high tidal wave inland with radio-active material, thus killing everyone in its path.

And there are now open talks of nuclear war from both sides. Then maybe you can understand my concerns regarding what you do. The uranium depleted bullets that England sends will now contaminate Ukraine, with Europe's best agricultural land, into a nuclear-contaminated hell, just like Libya, Serbia, Iraq and many other places where NATO enters into "Special Military Operations", "aggressions" and "armed conflicts" officially to "save the civilian population" and "spread democracy". I will spare you videos of Iraqi children born with birth defects. It's horrible. Trust me. But "unprovoked" aggression, you write. Just watch this short video:

Unprovoked aggression (rumble.com), LINK

If we are to hope for peace, and stop this terrible war with huge loss of young men's lives, then it is necessary to understand what the other party is saying. It should be obvious. But no. Instead, the strictest censorship, not seen since the Second World War, is immediately introduced.

It is almost as if the war must be kept going no matter what. Is it to take the focus away from another major event, where the population has realized that the authorities lied about almost everything they said?

Masks work, the vaccine works, you can't get corona if you're vaccinated, and you don't infect others, there are no side effects, the vaccine is completely safe, it's okay to call a disease with a mortality like influenza (it was influenza, more on that below) for an extremely dangerous disease for society.....

Russia, as I understand it, wants:

1. to stop the Ukrainian government's bombing of the Russian-speaking population of the Donbass and Lugansk regions (cf. the video above)
2. to de-Nazify Ukraine
3. to stop US illegal production of biological weapons in Ukraine
4. to ensure that Ukraine does not become a member of NATO
5. to adhere to the 2014 Minsk agreements, which the West and Ukraine broke

Let me go through the above one at a time:

1. The bombing of the civilian population in Donbass and Lugansk from 2014-2022 is well documented and 15,000 Ukrainian civilians have died as a result of this unreservedly cruel and unacceptable war crime. This crime continues to date. Bombing civilians is a war crime and a violation of the Geneva Convention. As it stands today, realistically, the bombing will not stop until a peace agreement is concluded.

2. It is a little unclear what "de-Nazification" entails, analyzes from Jewish groups, which surprisingly overwhelmingly support Nazi Ukraine, indicate that only approx. 2% of Ukrainians vote for decidedly Nazi parties, but although they may be few in numbers in a country of 40 million, the Azov Battalion and other groups have for 8 years harassed the local population, especially in eastern Ukraine, including torture, rape, murder, extortion and theft. It is very easy to document that this is the case because these Ukrainian Nazis upload their exploits on social media. Here you can see with your own eyes, among other things, torturing their fellow human beings. They do Hitler salutes, have Nazi tattoos and openly support Bandera, who carried out ethnic cleansing of Jews and Poles in Ukraine. For the Russians, who lost 50 times more people than all other allied countries combined, this is totally unacceptable. In the USA and several other countries there is also legislation that prohibits Nazism, so quite paradoxically that the USA, Germany and even several Jewish groups support Ukraine. Also that the same countries voted against a proposal in the UN to ban the glorification of Nazism and neo-Nazism.

3. About 200 countries signed an international convention banning the production of biological weapons 20 years ago. Russia closed all their biological weapons labs, but the US still has about 300 of the 400 illegal biological weapons factories that remain, of which about 50 are in Ukraine.

In the summer of 2019, we held a demonstration against biological weapons factories, in front of the Chinese, UK, US and Russian embassies during opening hours. UK and China had closed their embassies when we came by with a letter for them, we put it in their mailbox, never heard from them. The US had sent soldiers and a man, I suspect was from the CIA, he was extraordinarily obnoxious and we couldn't even deliver a letter to the US embassy, urging them to abide by a convention they had entered into themselves. The Russian embassy was more accommodating and a representative came out and delivered a letter from the Russian embassy in

response to our inquiry. (The letter can be seen attached below).

Since 2020, there have been countless rumors that biological weapons were produced in Wuhan in collaboration with or on behalf of the DoD (United States Department of Defense). Now, with the revelations about Fauci's participation in "Gain of Function - Research" in Wuhan, it emerges that such illegal collaboration has actually taken place. "Illegal" refers not only to the international convention but also to US domestic legislation that specifically prohibits that type of research. See also below Latypova's information that the DoD was behind the production of the corona vaccines. Pfizer and other pharmaceutical companies simply made their production apparatus available to the military industrial complex represented by the DoD.

4. The fact that Russia does not want Ukraine in NATO is a matter of the highest national security for Russia. In the West, there is a lot of talk of a New World Order, a "rule-based" New World Order. A "rule" must be that you comply with "rules"? Or? In 1989, when the Soviet Union collapsed and withdrew from the occupied Eastern European countries, it was strange that Nato did not also dissolve. What should NATO be used for when the Soviet Union no longer existed? NATO was created as a defense against the continued expansion of the Soviet Union. But even more remarkable is that, contrary to direct promises to the representatives of the Soviet Union, Nato continued its expansion not only up to the borders of the Soviet Union, but also included former states of the Soviet Union in Nato. And in numerous other former countries of the Soviet Union, the USA and Nato installed military bases. Imagine the United States being split up, and Russia having military bases in, for example, Florida, Texas, Pennsylvania and Oregon. Russia stance with Ukraine: "This is the red line, no further."

5. The Minsk agreement was to stop the bombing of Donbass and Lugansk, give the regions partial self-governance, but that they remained within Ukraine. There was an overwhelming majority in the Russian-speaking part of the population for a degree of self-government, but that they should continue to be part of Ukraine and not be incorporated into Russia. The Russian-speaking part of the population no longer holds that attitude, as was seen in the referendums in September 2022. Of course, Ukraine adhering to the Minsk agreement should be at least bilingual Ukrainian and Russian. There are also large Hungarian, White Russian, Romanian, Moldovan, Polish, Bulgarian, Jewish, Gypsy, Armenian and Greek minorities, for historical reasons and as a result of the Allied demarcations after WWII. Shouldn't these population groups also be allowed to speak their own languages?

What surprises me a lot is the timing of the armed conflict in Ukraine. Why wait 8 years? Why wait for 15,000 civilians to be killed? Why didn't Russia occupy Lugansk and Donetsk at the same time as entering Crimea in 2014? Why not do it in March 2020, when the whole world was preoccupied with the corona hoax? Why did Russia enter Ukraine exactly when the corona narrative completely collapsed and virtually no one will take the corona booster in the entire West?

Theories

- One theory is that Putin made a deal with the 10 biggest oligarchs. Russia would enter Ukraine, remove Zelensky, remove Azov and other obvious Nazi organizations, close America's illegal biological weapons factories, ensure new elections for parliament, referendum in Donbass and Lugansk on whether they should have self-government but remain in Ukraine. The rumor is that Putin made this deal with 10 oligarchs, one of them being Medvechuk, whose daughters godfather is Putin. Putin wanted to ensure that the oligarchs kept their fortunes, just as he did with the oligarchs in Russia when he came to

power in Russia. A kind of acceptance of the status quo, the oligarchs have stolen the money from the population, but a realpolitik solution is to let them keep the money and look forward. Russia, as few probably remember, in a few days after the implementation of the SMO had troops all over Ukraine including in Kiev, but here they fell into an ambush and lost many soldiers, they retreated and had to leave behind a lot of military equipment and weapons. The theory is that the CIA found out about the deal and offered the oligarchs a better deal: if Ukraine wins, you keep your money, if Ukraine loses, you can move to the West, and you keep your usurped money and funds, which anyway already are kept in western banks. Medvechuk the only oligarch who wanted to honor the deal was arrested and later released and apparently is now in Russia.

- Another theory is that the deep state in Russia is working together with the deep state in the USA on this armed conflict to take the focus away from the corona pandemic. The people of both Russia and the West were treated unusually harshly.

In Russia, conditions were in many ways worse than in many Western countries. Indirect forced vaccination was quite normal, people were simply fired on the spot if they were not vaccinated. For a long period, people could only go out once a day to buy necessities and only at the nearest supermarket. And the authorities called them and people had to prove with a camera that they were at home. There is also money in the war for the deep state in the form of money laundering following the prescription from the taxpayers to the military industrial complex (for example, read more about Sam Bankman-Fried below).

- Here is an interesting theory: Golitsyn:

"The Key to Understanding Today's Unfolding World Revolution" (see below). He was a defector from the Soviet Union and predicted that communism in Eastern Europe would collapse. He warned that the changes would be made on purpose to undermine the West's military preparedness. And when the West was sufficiently weak, Russia and China would suddenly join forces and attack the USA. (See video further down, with a long line of young Chinese men at the US border, there are already half a million young Chinese men in the US). They also faked the hostility between China and the Soviet Union in the 1970s to entice the West to invest in China. You can see that China largely has the economic system that the WEF, WHO, Klaus Schwab, Macron, Trudeau and Rockefeller want. In many ways, Russia also meets the Agenda 2030 ideals with a large part of the population in mega cities, surveillance in every way, taxi driving takes place via an app, so you know who is driving where, central bank digital ruble is about to be introduced. Right now, due to the situation in Ukraine, there is strict censorship in Russia as well. Demonstrations are officially prohibited due to the risk of infection from corona.

The culture of the West is currently being systematically undermined and destroyed with the woke agenda, the climate lie, LGBT+, and now the latest proposal from the UN that pedophilia must be approved (see much more about it below).

According to Golitsyn, the Chinese and Russians move in when the West is completely demoralized. Political power is already strongly centralized in both Russia and China in Moscow and Beijing, and economic power is held by a few private and state-owned companies. Just as the goal is in WEF's and Klaus Schwab's The Great Reset, that "You Will Own Nothing". It was also the theory of the other two socialist theories, Lenin's Communism and Hitler's National Socialism, both wanted to eliminate private property rights.

- And this theory. The deep state in Russia does not want a victory in Ukraine. To support that theory, one can see that the billionaire Prigozhin threat-

ened to take the private army Wagner out of Bakhmut. He simply made a video in front of about 30 dead Russian soldiers, and complained about missing weapons deliveries, he "knew where they were" and "who wouldn't hand them over". The latest reports are that Wagner has received weapons. We will wait and see how it develops. It is very strange that Russia has not long ago taken at least all of Donbass and Lugansk, one thing is that they pulled out of Kiev if they were ambushed, but what about Kharkiv and Kherson? It could indicate that some want to keep the war going. It is just like in the USA, where Biden, Clinton, Obama and the Democratic Party either directly work for China or de facto conduct policies that suggest Golitsyn's predictions above may come true in the long run. Still there are Trump, Robert F Kennedy, Ron Paul and many others who definitely do not want the USA to be the hellhole that almost all cities in the USA with democratic mayors have currently become. So it's complicated, there are good guys and bad guys in all countries.

- And here is some Game Theory. It was not difficult to foresee that the US would blow up Nordstream. Numerous American politicians had said they would. (See more below). What the Americans clearly do not think about is that the 3rd world increasingly considers them to be bullies. (Read Michel Chossodovsky's Globalization of Poverty, John Perkins Confession of an Economic Hitman, and you will see the recipe the deep state uses again and again). One thing is that the Russians could foresee Nordstream, how about the following theory:

The Russians knew how the Americans would act if they entered Ukraine. They knew they wanted to freeze the funds of all Russians. They had already seen how they did a "bank bail in" in Cyprus back in 2009 and stole the money from everyone who had more than EUR 100,000 in the bank. After all, it was "only" mainly Russian oligarchs that had large amounts in Cyprus banks. And nobody likes the Russians, they are the new Jews. They are vilified and made fun of and portrayed as proletarians by the lying media in close collaboration with satanic Hollywood, so the population is sort of primed to believe that it is all right to steal from Russians.

The Russians had also seen how the Canadian government stole money from and closed the accounts of everyone involved in the Trucker demonstrations. They had seen how PayPal closed the accounts, as well as froze USD 2,500 from all customers, if they had a political point of view on social media that was against PayPal's woke Agenda2030 LGBT+ ideology. It probably doesn't come as a surprise that PayPal, MailChimp, SendInBlue, Facebook closed my accounts and I am one strike away from being closed on YouTube. The Russians can probably also see the frothing at the mouth of the central bank in the West to introduce their CBDC (Central bank digital currency), where you will be able to control everything, decide what people can spend their money on, and of course confiscate everything if a citizen does not "behave properly". It was therefore not a stretch for the Russians and the Chinese to guess, that the West could be tempted by all the money sitting in the accounts of Russians. Russians, who love the West, love to travel to the West, love to visit the West's nature, culture, museums and "freedom". A great many Russians have money in the West. Quite ordinary Russians who like to ski in the Alps or go to the theater and see a musical in London. Everyone has now had all their deposits "frozen" without warning. It is not difficult to calculate that it could end in theft with the excuse: "Russia refuses to pay for the reconstruction of Ukraine, therefore we will take your money and deduct it from Ukraine's debt to us. If you have a problem with that you can go to Russia and ask the Russian state to repay you".

One indication that Russia was prepared for, that

the West would freeze, in addition to ordinary people's money, the Russian state's USD 300 billion from banks in the West, was that they "only" got hold of USD 40 billion. The Russians must have "seen it coming" and managed to take action and transfer the money out of the Western Banks.

What's in it for Russia that the West steals their money? Yes, at first glance it looks like a loss for Russia.

But what if it is Russia's and China's goal to remove the USA's monopoly as the world's reserve currency?

Could you imagine anything better for that purpose than the West stealing the money from completely innocent people? People who love the West. How many Russians will ever send money to the West in the future? And what about all the other rich people who happen to have a passport in a country with which the West has or has had a conflict? We are talking about a lot of countries here. If I were a rich man in one of those countries, I would think:

"Maybe I shouldn't have my money sitting in the West after all...". And thus the world's economic center is quietly moving from New York/London to Moscow/Beijing.

Russia popularity has also recently gone up quite a bit for forgiving USD 20 Billion debt to various African countries, so Russia is mighty popular in the 3rd world. A sea of countries is currently applying for admission to the BRICS countries. China is also popular in Africa because they cooperate, they build infrastructure. Their development aid comes in the form of hospitals and roads and not in the form of weapons and bribing individual families who will let American multinationals plunder Africa. For information, the West gives USD 200 billion in "aid" to Africa, but takes USD 800 billion out of Africa per year. The Africans know this well.

Facts

- Before the Ukraine conflict, Russia had earnings from gas of EUR 120 billion and a market share of 33%. Now with all the sanctions, the market share has dropped to 25%, but the price has increased so that Russia now earns EUR 170 Billion.

- Inflation. While all prices in the West have risen significantly, Russia has had a more stable development.

- Russia has quietly said that the ruble is now convertible with gold. Is this the real reason why you are not allowed to buy Rubles in the West?

- The Clinton Foundation received USD 145 million from Russia as payment for Hillary Clinton approving the sale of 20% of the USA's uranium to Russia.

- Hunter Biden and Bill Clinton have received money from China. Hunter Biden may be in jail in a few days.

- Turkey is on Russia's side because Putin warned Erdogan in 2016 that the CIA was preparing a coup against him. A bit backstabbing by the CIA, when Erdogan's son for years had helped the US to smuggle stolen oil out of Iraq via Turkey for money laundering on the world markets. But, thanks to the warning of the impending CIA coup d'état against Erdogan, Turkey turned on a plate in a few days from being Russia's enemy to a friend. The CIA/DoD/Nato plan was to shut the Russian fleet in the Black Sea by controlling the Black Sea by having control in Ukraine, Romania, Bulgaria, Turkey and Georgia. In Georgia they also overplayed their hand and their color revolution did not succeed.

There are different main scenarios, here are the three main scenarios:

1. Ukraine wins and sends all Russian troops out of Ukraine.
2. Russia wins and takes all of Ukraine.
3. Peace talks that divide Ukraine.

For good reasons, I do not know what is going to happen in Ukraine.

My guess is that the deep state in Russia and China don't really care if it turns out to be scenario 1, 2 or 3.

My guess is that at least China, but also the deep state in Russia, collaborates with the masterminds in the West behind the whole Woke, LGBT+, climate hoax, Agenda2030 movement to destroy the West culturally and economically, so the financial and thus the economic power shifts to the East from the West. Once they have the economic power, the political power comes naturally.

Geopolitically, Europe plus Asia is a better place to be than North America because it has a much larger area. I think it is ironic, that Russia with a shorter democratic tradition than the West and China with a communist one party dictatorship helps countries around the world. They have leaders who mostly say sensible things for the benefit of the people, all the while in practice they introduce Agenda 2030 with the population in big cities, central bank digital currency, surveillance state and in China's case social credit score.


While in the West we have the old Greek and Roman democratic traditions, and the human rights of the Vikings, private property rights and innocent until proven guilty. A conscious and culturally deep respect for human rights and the Constitution exist at least in theory in the West. Yet here in the West we see the most draconian encroachments on our freedom with politicians imposing more and more rules as if we lived in the Soviet Union or Communist China. Rules that are deeply anti-human with a state - power and bureaucracy that imposes laws and regulations no normal people would ever agree to, if given a choice. In the West the authorities often act against human rights, the Constitution, ethics and common sense.

Below I will try to demonstrate how our culture is being systematically broken down right now. I hope this letter will give rise to self-examination and a renewed look at the world, not least where you get your information from.

I look forward to hearing from you and for your information this letter to you will be shared in our newsletter.

Sincerely,

Mads Palsvig, Msc Law, Bachelor in Finance, chairman of the VelstandsPartiet - Jorden Frihed Kunskab, former investment banker from Credit Suisse, Morgan Stanley and Barclays Bank



"The highest activity a human being can attain is learning for understanding, because to understand is to be free." Baruch Spinoza

Why Smart People Believe Stupid Things - by Gurwinder (substack.com). This article is very important in order to understand why so relatively few academics spoke out against the crimes committed against humanity the last 3 years.

Documentation


Below you will find documentation to support my statements in my letter to the Danish Ministry of Foreign Affairs:

- VIDEOS
- WEBSITES mixed with statements from 1st hand witnesses or state actors
- List of LITERATURE and research topics for the uninitiated into what is really going on
- MEMES and very important additional information

VIDEOS and WEBSITES will be divided into the following subsections for easier clarity:

- Climate
- Coup d'etats
- Covid19
- Economy
- Food
- LGBT+
- Media
- New World Order
- War

'LINK's in the online PDF



VIDEOS

Climate

Climate hoax and excellent profits for the globalists (rumble.com)

(222) Beekeeper Whistleblower Video Proof Aussie Govt MASS KILLING Bees: "The Govt Doesn't Want Us To Eat" - YouTube, once you have killed the bees, according to Einstein all humans will die within 2 years

Coup d'etats

Coup d'etats or regime change for the New World Order (rumble.com)

The CIA - 70 YEARS IN UKRAINE (rumble.com)

Covid19

Covid19 the biggest crime against humanity ever (rumble.com)

DOD is Behind the Vaccines?! Covert Global Martial Law Act (rumble.com)

Economy

Economic system designed for biggest possible division between rich and poor (rumble.com)

Six ideas to shift the tax burden from people to multinational corporations. (rumble.com)

Demonstration i Prag tale af Mads Palsvig (rumble.com)

Food

Food in the New World Order (rumble.com)

LGBT+

LGBT+ to promote pedofilia and a satanic agenda (rumble.com)

The United Nations just lost it's F**king MIND with this | Redacted with Natali and Clayton Morris (rumble.com)

Media

Mainstream medias role in the new world order roll out (rumble.com)

(233) RT.com interviews insider and whistleblower Udo Ulfkotte – Sep 29, 2014 - YouTube, the journalists in the west are working for CIA. 1st hand witness deceased Udo Ulfkotte.

New World Order

New World Order plans for a dystopian future (rumble.com)

It is time to say no to the most evil empire in human history (rumble.com)

War

War, what is it good for? Laundering money and creating human misery. (rumble.com)

(238) (Full) In the Shadow of Hermes by Jüri Lina (2009) - YouTube



WEBSITES

Climate

"If they knew what we have done, they would chase us down the streets...", George Bush Senior



What are the chances, that the trains always derails right on big waterways, where they can pollute the most?



There is no climate crisis. There is a pollution crisis.

Yet the trillionaires behind the so-called climate emergency never once talk about cleaning up our only home: Earth. If they really cared about Earth and our health and well-being, which is directly connected to nature, wouldn't they be worried about the massive pollution that is affecting us all? This alone proves that it's a made up emergency just like the plandemics past and present.



36 train derailments so far this year and counting: Arizona, West Virginia, South Carolina x3, Texas x3, Ohio x5, Oklahoma x2, Michigan, Nebraska, Californian x3, Philly, Alabama x2, Alaska, Louisiana, Florida, Massachusetts, North Dakota, Minnesota, Montana, Pennsylvania, Virginia, Maine, Illinois x2, Kansas...

<https://www.newsweek.com/more-dozen-trains-have-derailed-us-this-year-1780952>, LINK

<https://www.newsweek.com/these-are-worst-train-derailments-us-history-178146>, LINK

Revealed: the US is averaging one chemical accident every two days

Guardian analysis of data in light of Ohio train derailment shows accidental releases are happening consistently



<https://amp.theguardian.com/us-news/2023/feb/25/revealed-us-chemical-accidents-one-every-two-days-average>, LINK

Bureaucrats are always making life as miserable and troublesome as possible for people:

- Ny parkeringsregel træder i kraft 1. maj, (FDM.dk)
- Nye energikrav kan koste 120 mia. kr: Familier ender med usælgelige boliger (finans.dk)
- All UK Airports must close within the next 10 years, beef and lamb will be banned, and construction of new buildings will cease in the name of "Climate Change" according to Government Report – The Expose (expose-news.com)
- Germany is shutting off its last nuclear power plants because shutting down the cleanest source of energy is totally the best way to beat climate change | Not the Bee
- EU Backs Controversial Dutch Plans To Shut Down Farms In Bid To Reduce Nitrogen Emissions | ZeroHedge

Facts about climate change: facts you don't hear on fake mainstream media, because it is not in the globalists who own the medias interest:

- Home - CO2 Coalition.com
- Net Zero Will Lead to the End of Modern Civilisation, Says Top Scientist, dailysceptic.org
- The Climate Con, americanthinker.com
- The Never Never of Climate Change, theepochtimes.com
- The Agenda's "Net Zero" could mean "zero" of anything and everything – The Expose (expose-news.com)
- California: Legal challenge to stop Geoengineering launched, expose-news.com
- Half the World Faces Starvation Under Net Zero Policies, Say Two Top Climate Scientists – The Daily Sceptic
- Climate scientists told to 'cover up' the fact that the Earth's temperature hasn't risen for the last 15 years | Daily Mail Online
- Climate Change Conference: The world's best scientists reveal the truth – The Expose (expose-news.com)
- Just 3% of Australians Are Aware That the Great Barrier Reef is at a Record High, Survey Finds – The Daily Sceptic
- MEP Terheş: Greta Thunberg and Bill Gates are unqualified to lecture the world on climate change - YouTube
- Open letter to UN Secretary-General: Current scientific knowledge does not substantiate Ban Ki-Moon assertions on weather and climate, say 125-plus scientists | Financial Post
- Challenging-Net-Zero-with-Science-digital-CO2-Coalition.pdf (co2coalition.org)
- BREAKING – Just 0.3% of Scientists agree Humanity is causing Climate Change; NOT 97% as falsely spread by the UN – The Expose (expose-news.com)
- The world has woken up to the Climate Scam – The Expose (expose-news.com)
- 1540 Experts Agree There Is No Climate Emergency, armstrongeconomics.com
- IPCC adjusts temperature data to create the impression of catastrophic global warming – The Expose (expose-news.com)
- Zero Emission Vehicle mandate opposed by 94 percent in new poll | Express.co.uk
- Tropical Paradise Islands Are Not Sinking and Shrinking...Most Are in Fact Growing! – Watts Up With That?
- Body Blow To Activists: Whopping 82% Of Berlin's Voters Refused To Support Net Zero 2030 As Referendum Fails | ZeroHedge
- Inconvenient Truth: 32 Climate Predictions

Proven False | Facts Matter - YouTube

- Scientists Uncover the Role of Undersea Volcanoes in Climate Change – But the Media Don't Want to Know – The Daily Sceptic
- Regarding UN Sustainable Development Goals
- Sri Lanka's Collapse Over UN/WEF Ag Policies Should Be A Warning To The World, technocracy.news

An excellent reply by Alexandra Latypova when asked how her company would meet the UN SDG's:

"UN is an unelected, unaccountable organization whose pronouncements have no bearing on our company's bylaws, management principles and corporate governance. We resent the implication that they do. We do not support UN's "Sustainable Development Goals" and related ideology as we believe it is vague, self-contradictory, unimplementable and overall damaging framework designed to promote the interests of wealthy and powerful individuals and corporations at the expense of the working people globally. "Sustainability" is a purposefully undefined but pleasantly sounding nonsense. The 17 "goals" made up by overpaid bureaucrats are designed to obfuscate the reality - the monopolizing of control over the world's resources and subjugation of the people who never consented to be governed in this manner. As an example of absurdity, the core of the SDG program for development and poverty reduction relies on industrial growth – ever-increasing levels of extraction, production, and consumption. Goal 8 calls for 7% annual GDP growth in the least developed countries and higher levels of economic productivity across the board, calling for less and more at the same time. The most recent example of SDG in action is the devastating collapse of the entire country of Sri Lanka precipitated by capricious "sustainability" burdens such as bans on fertilizer and ban on non-organic farming which led to widespread hardship and civil unrest. Widespread protests of farmers are currently ongoing in the Netherlands and other European countries. The hardworking people are pushed to the brink of despair by the SDG inspired "green" nonsense while UN's corporate sponsors like Bill Gates are simultaneously purchasing all arable land in sight. "Sustainable water" agenda comes with Nestle's sponsorship which aims to have all freshwater on Earth owned by corporations. "Health" goals are sponsored by the global pharmaceutical companies and, unsurprisingly, aim at increasing government purchases of drugs, elimination of individual health choices and informed consent as already demonstrated by the global covid-19 policies to date. In summary, we do not support UN and its agenda 2030. We think nobody should. Collectivist utopias have led to devastation both human and environmental every single time they were attempted, and UN's SDG is yet another attempt. We strongly believe in the individual rights to free thought, expression and self-determination, as only truly free individuals can build a just, moral, non-fraudulent society for common good."


Geoengineering:

Geoengineering = man made eco disasters and destruction of the earth food production capability. As New World Order - Globalist Henry Kissinger said: "If you control food, you control people". And you can't control food as long as world is so incredibly abundant.

The UN Discusses Darkening The Skies to Combat Climate Change - DailyClout.io

'LINK's in the online PDF

Coup d'etat's



"I am the source for this quote, which was indeed said by CIA Director William Casey at an early February 1981 meeting of the newly elected President Reagan with his new cabinet secretaries to report to him on what they had learned about their agencies in the first couple of weeks of the administration. The meeting was in the Roosevelt Room in the West Wing of the White House, not far from the Cabinet Room. I was present at the meeting as Assistant to the chief domestic policy adviser to the President. Casey first told Reagan that he had been astonished to discover that over 80 percent of the 'intelligence' that the analysis side of the CIA produced was based on open public sources like newspapers and magazines. As he did to all the other secretaries of their departments and agencies, Reagan asked what he saw as his goal as director for the CIA, to which he replied with this quote, which I recorded in my notes of the meeting as he said it. Shortly thereafter I told Senior White House correspondent Sarah McClendon, who was a close friend and colleague, who in turn made it public."
Barbara Honegger

CIA:

Leaked Wikileaks Doc Reveals US Military Use of IMF, World Bank as "Unconventional" Weapons, mintpressnews.com

The USA Loves To Meddle In Other Countries - YouTube

Kalle det et kupp? CIA's rolle i fargerevolusjoner i Georgia - steigan.no

RFK Jr. Says CIA Is Connected to 2001 Anthrax Attacks | The Gateway Pundit | by Anthony Scott

Bombshell filing: 9/11 hijackers were CIA recruits - The Grayzone

Half the Ukrainian government in 2014 were or had been US Citizens, here is one of them:

Ukraine's Jaresko Says She'd Be Willing to Head New Cabinet - Bloomberg (archive.ph)

Mexican President sends letter to Biden telling him to stop USAID from continuing to illegally finance the opposition in México.

Exclusive: New report unveils how CIA schemes color revolutions around the world - Global Times

Whole World Hates America, Its Reputation is Faltering, Senior Erdogan Ally Says, sputnikglobe.com

CIA tried to overthrow Erdogan in 2016. Putin warned him, Erdogan rounded up the 10.000 officers in the Turkish Army CIA had employed. Not sure what happened to them. In 2016 Erdogan's actively helped USA transport stolen oil from Syria through Turkey, so the Erdogan family was clearly a US asset. For some reason CIA decided to get rid of Erdogan, this was a golden opportunity for Russia to put a wedge in Nato, and potentially get the second largest army in Nato out of Nato or even on their side.

Covid plandemics role in New World Order Dictatorship:

'One Health' – The Global Takeover of Everything?, (childrenshealthdefense.org)

False Flags:

Wernher von Braun: "Aliens will be the last card." – The Tanster

Dr Robert Malone: When Governments deploy psyops on their citizens, 'sovereignty becomes obsolete', youtube.com

Biden releases most JFK assassination records – but withholds thousands, nbcnews.com

Covid 19

"Medical science has made such tremendous progress that there is hardly a healthy human left" ~ Aldous Huxley

Who was behind the pandemic:

The role of the US DoD (and their co-investors) in "covid countermeasures" enterprise. (substack.com)

Militarized Healthcare with Sasha Latypova - RFK Jr Podcast | Podcast on Spotify

The "Lockdown Files" Emphasize the Scam Behind COVID-19 - The HighWire

Tabletop Exercise Event 201, centerforhealthsecurity.org

Pandemien var nøye forberedt: Her er informasjon om de som stod bak. - Derimot

Event 201 Pandemic Exercise: Segment 4, Communications Discussion and Epilogue Video - YouTube

JUST IN: Rand Paul Asks Samantha Power: 'Did USAID Fund Coronavirus Research In Wuhan China?' - YouTube

Why was Covid so deadly for African Leaders? (substack.com)

Pandemic Treaty, WHO's new plan to control the world:

FOLLOW THE MONEY (jamesroguski.substack.com)

Exit The WHO (jamesroguski.substack.com)

The WHO Pandemic Treaty will change EVERYTHING | Redacted with Clayton Morris

Justin Trudeau: "Unvaccinated people are taking up space." | Youtube

Top 10 Reasons to #ExitTheWHO James Roguski (substack.com)

Amendments to WHO's International Health Regulations: An Annotated Guide - Brownstone Institute

The Lies:

What? 'Federal Public Health in Canada 'Knew' the Vaccinated Could & Do Carry the Same COVID Viral Loads as Unvaccinated Before Mandates Imposed'; so why the hell was the COVID vaccine MANDATED?

Moderna CEO Caught Admitting 'Covid Was an Inside Job' to Inner Circle - The People's Voice (thepeoplesvoice.tv)

Gravejournalist: Kontrollorganer som EMA er betalte og korrupte (hemali.no)

10 myths told by COVID experts -- now debunked (nypost.com)

Horowitz: They knew: FOIA document shows government anticipated mass vaccine injuries, then observed them from day one - Conservative Review

Vaccine injuries and deaths:

Pfizer Knew Pregnancy Risks - Vox Popoli (voxday.net)

Covid injections linked to increase in miscarriages and decline in birth rates (expose-news.com)

'Waiting to drown': Covid vaccine injury class action filed against federal government (news.com.au)

7% of vaccinated Americans have suffered major side effects from COVID-19 jab: poll - LifeSite (lifesitenews.com)

The disturbing truth about 'safe' vaccines for mothers-to-be (conservativewoman.co.uk)

UK GOV. confirms Unvaccinated had lowest Mortality Rate per 100k in 2022 – The Expose (expose-news.com)

Australia sees 63% drop in births after introduction of covid "vaccines" – what will the government's excuse be? – NaturalNews.com

Denmark's Pandemic of the Vaccinated – The Expose (expose-news.com)

WHO Confirms 'Staggering' One in Six People Have Fertility Issues (thenationalpulse.com)

To All Funeral Directors and Embalmers Worldwide Listen Carefully!! (rumble.com)

Injury figures in DK after the alleged vaccines. 7/5 2023 Pfizer-Tozinameran = 90.738 Moderna-Elasomeran = 22.666 A - Z-chadox1 ncov - 19 = 88.587 J&J-Ad26.cov2.s = 1480 Total = 203,471 - according to the Danish Medicines Agency's interactive side effect system. An increase from last month of just under 3000 injuries, where the figure was 200,637. 20.5 million of the alleged vaccines have been purchased for the autumn campaign and right now it is actually also possible to get jabbed in 23 different places in this country. The alleged vaccines still do not give immunity and everyone can then still get infected, infect others and die from the vaccination. The alleged vaccines do not work! Quote: Kent Nielsen

Science behind Covid:

Victory in Court! Virology Indefensible → Case Against Marvin Dropped (christinemasseyfois.substack.com)

Unvaccinated Blood Is Now in Very High Demand (theepochtimes.com)

New Idaho Bill to Charge Those Who Administer mRNA Vaccines with Misdemeanor - DailyClout

How the Vaxx Causes Cancer - Vox Popoli (voxday.net)

New 2023 Documentary Premiere: COVIDISM – Contagious Deception (healthimpactnews.com)

Video: CDC Director Admits For The First Time That Vaccinated People Can Spread COVID – Summit News

Vaxxed: From Cover-Up to Catastrophe (rumble.com)

Show Me The "Virus" Christine Massey (www.bitchute.com)

The Dark History of Vaccines: The Manmade Origin of AIDS and Other Diseases – Forbidden Knowledge TV

Where Did All The "Trust the Science" People Go? - YouTube

Masks:

EXCLUSIVE: Face masks may raise risk of stillbirths, testicular dysfunction and cognitive decline due to build-up of carbon dioxide, disputed study claims (dailymail.co.uk)

Possible toxicity of chronic carbon dioxide exposure associated with face mask use, particularly in pregnant women, children and adolescents – A scoping review: Heliyon (cell.com)

Masks in hospitals made 'no difference' to Covid infection rates, study finds | Daily Mail Online

Court cases:

Australian government is hit with class action lawsuit over Covid vaccines | Daily Mail Online

Marvin Haberland's Huge COURT WIN: "Measures Based On Imaginary Viruses Are Not Justifiable" (rumble.com)

India charges WHO Scientist Soumya Swaminathan for Mass Murder: The beginning of Accountability - Herland Report (hannenabintuherland.com)

Original COVID-19 shots no longer authorized | The Hill

Belgian lobbyist sues von der Leyen over Pfizer contracts – EURACTIV.com

On COVID Committee draft report: we are witnessing the biggest corruption coverup in the EU history - YouTube

MUDDY WATERS, THE ORIGINS OF COVID-19 REPORT, Executive Summary (marshall.senate.gov)

Government Vaccine archives in flames in Madrid as court demands answers for the vaccine genocide according to news

EU Commissioner refuses to answer on Ursula von der Leyen's C-19 contracts! - YouTube

Crime Scene: 'Mass Murderer' Walensky's Resignation Came Days After Incriminating Report Emerged - DailyClout

Economy

New Financial Centre, from Anglosaxon US and Uk to China:

Argentina to pay for Chinese imports in yuan rather than dollars (reuters.com)

Argentina says will pay for Chinese imports in yuan instead of dollars (insiderpaper.com)

India Signs Deal To Adopt Moscow's SPFS System For Banking Payments To Russia (greatgameindia.com)

Banks eye yuan-baht trade payments (bangkok-post.com), The Bank of Thailand is in discussions with China's central bank over supporting the use of yuan-baht settlement

Digital ruble to be introduced no sooner than 2024, Bank of Russia says Nabiullina also stressed that the testing phase of the digital ruble will take as long as necessary, but at least until the end of 2023.

EU's Largest Economy Can't Do Without China – Mercedes CEO Cutting ties with China would put most of Germany's industry at risk, the CEO of luxury carmaker Mercedes-Benz warned on Sunday. Europe depends on China for 98% of its rare-earth elements.

EU Reliant On Rebadged Russian Oil From India – Bloomberg The EU is still powering its economy with Russian oil despite sanctions, Bloomberg reported this week.

Official data shows that for the first time, China's native yuan overtook the US dollar as the most-used currency for cross-border trade in March

The State Duma proposed to remove English from the school curriculum and increase the number of hours to study Chinese and Spanish

Nineteen countries are lining up to join BRICS as the group forges ahead with de-dollarization and the restructuring of the world order.

Indonesia About To Ditch Visa And Mastercard – Media

South Korea, Indonesia c.banks agree to promote local currency transactions (reuters.com)

Trade transactions between Indonesia and South Korea will start to use rupiah and won as substitutes for the US dollar.

Foreign Firms Leaving Russia Face Windfall Tax – media The Russian Ministry of Finance is preparing regulations which would oblige companies to pay a windfall tax on excess profits made in 2021–2022, Bloomberg reported on Thursday. According to an earlier report by the Izvestia newspaper, the levy will target any businesses whose profits in 2021-

2022 exceeded 1 billion rubles (\$12 million). They will be charged 10% on any excess profits earned during that period compared with the preceding two years. The levy would present another hurdle for firms leaving Russia. The departing companies are already required to sell their Russian assets at a 50% discount, the need to obtain government permission for the sale, and a mandatory contribution to the Russian budget, amounting to at least 10% of half the market value of the company's assets in the country.

G7 Announces Terms For Unfreezing Russian Assets: Russian assets frozen by the G7 nations will remain "immobilized" until the Ukraine conflict is resolved and Moscow pays reparations.

The Kiev School of Economics (KSE) analyzed 3,157 foreign firms that operated in the Russian market before the start of Moscow's military operation in Ukraine as part of its Leave Russia project. According to its calculations, only 213 of these companies have divested from the sanctioned country in the past year, which is roughly 6.7%. Some 473 have announced plans to leave but haven't executed them. More than 2,400 companies continue to do business in the country, despite roughly half being forced to curtail their operations to various extents.

Economic sanctions imposed on Russia and other countries by the United States put the dollar's dominance at risk as targeted nations seek out an alternative, Treasury Secretary Janet Yellen said Sunday.

De-dollarization kicks into high gear (mronline.org)

Robert F. Kennedy Jr: The Neocon projects in Iraq and Ukraine have cost \$8.1 trillion, hollowed out our middle class, made a laughingstock of U.S. military power and moral authority, pushed China and Russia into an invincible alliance, destroyed the dollar as the global currency, cost millions of lives and done nothing to advance democracy or win friendships or influence".

TUNISIA PRESIDENT to IMF: "You want us to sell Tunisia? Tunisia is not for sale. Foreign diktats that will lead to more poverty are unacceptable. The world must understand... human beings are not figures or a set of numbers for subtraction and addition." Tunisia is now considering submitting an application to join BRICS.

Russian Car Sales Triple Sales of new passenger cars in Russia jumped 2.7 times in April compared to the same period in 2022, data from analytical agency Avtostat showed. According to the report, more than 75,000 new vehicles were sold last month, 8% more than in March. Avtostat said that 44% of the cars sold were Chinese brands, while another 35% were Russian-made. The combined share of South Korean, Japanese, European, and American automobiles fell to just over 20%.

Chinese Foreign Minister Qin Gang assured his Indian and Russian counterparts that bilateral ties would strengthen and "coordination and cooperation" would increase.

WESTERN Retailers LYING about Leaving Russia just to PANDER? You Bet! - YouTube

World's Top Currency: China Takes Yuan Global in Bid to Repel US Dollar (USD) - Bloomberg

Pakistan Switching To Yuan To Buy Russian Oil — Media

New World Order:

Russia Joins Centre for the Fourth Industrial Revolution Network > Press releases | World Economic Forum (weforum.org)

World Economic Forum announces 'Summer Davos' is coming to China (dossier.today)

"The rise of the United States in fact became such a threat to the British Empire that it orchestrated a civil

war in order to break the Union into two smaller, weaker client states that could be set one against one another and kept weak and easily dominated. Empires do not suffer rivals and prefer that the earth be covered by uneducated and disorganized masses whose sole worth would be as a source of cheap, or preferably free labor used to extract their countries' resource wealth and transfer it to "the nobles and sovereigns of Europe." These would be the very stakeholders of George Soros' open societies, who congregate in Davos and fantasize about turning the whole of humanity into a flock of "hackable animals" with no free will.[...] But the occult oligarchy behind the open societies has already lost their global war. They predicated their plans on achieving total domination of the whole world. The emergence of a multi-polar order entirely collapses their plans. How can you force everyone to rely on windmills and solar panels if your rivals are happily burning oil and gas and running their steel-producing furnaces? Without steel, you can't build modern weapons. How can you coerce the "hackable animals" to subsist on insects if people in closed societies enjoy traditional foods? How do you force 7 or 8 billion people to take up your vaccines and carry vaccine passes if other nations opt for your rivals' vaccines? That ship had sailed - it simply cannot be done." Alex Krainer

Plenty of energy in the BRICS countries:

The Turkish president is set to speak later at the inauguration of his country's first nuclear power plant, built in collaboration with Russian nuclear energy firm Rosatom.

Syria is demanding compensation from the United States for the economic damage caused by the illegal export of oil from the fields in the northeast of the country. This statement was made by the Ministry of Foreign Affairs (MFA) of the Arab Republic. For more than five years the US troops have occupied practically half of the territory of Syria and are exporting Syrian oil.

German Interview, by Michael Hudson - The Unz Review (unz.com)

IN-DEPTH: The Real Reason Behind China's \$10 Billion Offer to Taliban for Lithium (theepochtimes.com)

Germany shuts down three last nuclear reactors to end the reliance on atomic energy in Europe's biggest economy.

Saudi Arabia is Buying Russian Oil at Record Levels and Laundering it Onto the European Market (watcher.guru)

CBDC = Central Bank Digital Currency:

Robert F. Kennedy Jr. expresses strong disapproval of CBDCs, Digital IDs (insiderpaper.com)

It's STARTING! This is how they will track everything you do (rumble.com)

State of Control - Digital ID & Passport, CBDCs, Total Control (snaphanen.dk)

"Transparency" is reserved for the proles (edwardslavskvat.substack.com)

Digital ruble to fight corruption and at the same time politicians no longer has to disclose their income and real estate holdings.

Russia, China, and India are collaborating to create a digital currency, challenging the global financial system and reducing reliance on the US dollar.

Economic Solutions that creates a win win for everyone:

Corona Investigative Committe with Vivianne Fischer, Wolfgang Vodarg and Mads Palsvig (rumble.com)

Velstandspartiet - Jorden Frihed Kundskab (rumble.com)

Food

WHO's Pandemic Accord Will Give It Control Over U.S. Livestock and Food Supply – Site Title (earlking56.family.blog)

Meat is crucial for human health, scientists warn (telegraph.co.uk)

Kiev Decries EU Restrictions On Ukrainian Imports The Ukrainian authorities have sent notes of protest to the Polish and EU embassies, decrying the restrictions on imports of the country's agricultural products to the bloc.

You will eat ze bugs! EU allows house crickets and lesser mealworm larvae in food products (strangesounds.org)

Yes, mRNA Vaccines Are Being Used on Animals in the US Food Supply (thenationalpulse.com)

Six years ago, Putin initiated a program to eliminate pesticides and genetically engineered crops from Russia's fields. Monsanto had planned to open its first plant in Russia, but in June 2016 Russia's State Duma adopted a government bill banning the cultivation and breeding of genetically modified plants and animals, except as used for scientific research purposes. A few weeks later, Putin signed federal law No. 358 prohibiting cultivation of genetically engineered crops. The law also made it illegal to breed genetically engineered animals on the territory of the Russian Federation. Putin had said he envisioned a future in which Russia would become "the worlds largest supplier of ecologically clean and high-quality organic food." He called on the country to become completely self-sufficient in food production: "We are not only able to feed ourselves taking into account our lands, water resources; Russia is able to become the largest world supplier of healthful, ecologically clean and high-quality food which the Western producers have long lost, especially given the fact that demand for such products in the world market is steadily growing".



If you homeschool your kids then they won't fit in with society.



Correct!



LGBT+

Normalizing and legalizing pedophilia:

Guf for børnelokkere (document.dk)

The United Nations says that we should decriminalize all sex and drug-related activity in the name of human rights, including sex with minors. Oh and they want you to be able to poop on the street. Is this progress?



targeting children

BEN GARRISON
©2023WWW.FOX.COM

This comes from a United Nations organization called UNAIDS in a report:

New legal principles launched on International Women's Day to advance decriminalization efforts (unaids.org)

...released on March 8 to honor Women's Day. It is sort of a best practice suggestion for international communities on how to police "conduct associated with sex, reproduction, HIV, drug use, homelessness and poverty." The basic suggestion is: you don't. This is a strange document but the strangest is Principle 16 which suggests no age limit for consensual sex. It also suggests no criminal penalties for sex work, buying or selling, and no criminal consequences for people who make money by other people's sex work. We used to call these pimps. Do we still? The report further suggests decriminalizing all drug use and drug possession as well as leaving homeless people where they are to poop in the street. And your right to live in places where people do not do drugs, poop on sidewalks, sell other people for sex, and have sex with minors? You don't have that right apparently. It sure does seem like the UN is in favor of social collapse, doesn't it?

8-MARCH-Principles-FINAL-printer-version-1-MARCH-2023.pdf (icj2.wpenginepowered.com)

UN Issues Report Pushing for Normalization and Decriminalization of Pedophilia | The Gateway Pundit | by Joe Hoft

UN Report Says Minors Can Consent to Sex with Adults (thenationalpulse.com)

UN, Globalists Seek To Decriminalise Sex With Minors – Summit News

Bill Gates Plows Millions into Group Claiming Kids Are 'Sexual Beings' - Slay News

Incest a 'fundamental right', German committee says (telegraph.co.uk) - Germany to legalize incest

Chelsea Clinton Comes Out In Favour Of Porn For School Kids – Summit News

Democrat Party-Approved: 8-Year-Old Girl at Border Found With 67 Different DNA Samples Inside Her (rumble.com)

Should children be allowed to mutilate themselves: Washington to Castrate Minors without Parental Consent as U.N. Pushes Legalized Pedophilia – Forbidden Knowledge TV

'LINK's in the online PDF

Whistleblower to tell House that US govt is 'middleman' in multibillion dollar migrant child trafficking op

Whistleblower on child migrant trafficking comes as Biden admin faces scrutiny over handling of forced labor

By Adam Shaw | Fox News

"The leader of the Alliance for a Safe Texas is pretty open about making categorical statements that the government has become a catalyst in a massive multibillion-dollar child trafficking operation that specifically transports migrant children across the border into Mexico for the purpose of forced labor."

Gender bending chemicals in food:

Warning over chemical in food as EU officials warn current 'safe' limit is 20,000 times too high | Daily Mail Online

Horror Stories: Trans Kids At Highest Risk of Suicide & Regret From Body Mutilation (infowars.com)

Documentary:

SATANISM & OCCULT PERVERSION IN THE U.S. MILITARY (KAY GRIGGS INTERVIEW PART 1) - Fearless Nation (www.bitchute.com)

SATANISM & OCCULT PERVERSION IN THE U.S. MILITARY (KAY GRIGGS INTERVIEW PART 2) - Fearless Nation (www.bitchute.com)

They really addressed #Adrenochrome on Turkish TV!!

<https://twitter.com/MazvitaJames/status/1277648938527490048>

[Eng. subs] <https://twitter.com/Kungpowchicke15/status/1479981487399313409>

Media

"There is no time in history where the people who were censoring speech were the good guys."

- Robert F. Kennedy, Jr.

Vakstidende our Danish Newspaper:

OUR 4th NEWSPAPER "VaksTidende" IS OUT in ENGLISH and in DANISH:

For Vakstidende gælder:

- Alle aviser på site, avis.jfk21.dk

- Specifik avis på site fx Vaks#4, avis.jfk21.dk/vaks04

- Direkte link til specifik avis fx Vaks#4, avis.jfk21.dk/downloads/vaks04.pdf

- Direkte link til specifik side i avis fx side 18, avis.jfk21.dk/downloads/vaks04/18.pdf

- VAKS Skabelon og vejledning til egen avis, avis.jfk21.dk/skabelon

For English NEWSPAPER:


- All newspapers on this site, scandinavianfreedom.news

- Specific newspaper on for example NEWS#4, scandinavianfreedom.news/04

- Direct link to specific newspaper NEWS#4, scandinavianfreedom.news/downloads/news04.pdf

- Direct link to specific page e.g. page 18, scandinavianfreedom.news/downloads/news04/18.pdf

- NEWS Template to make your own newspaper, scandinavianfreedom.news/template



Truthbombs:

Elon Musk Destroys BBC Live On Twitter (BRUTAL!) - YouTube

The Origins and Legacy of MK-ULTRA - Probablyalexandra (substack.com)

U.S. argues for immunity in MK-ULTRA mind control case before Quebec Court of Appeal | CBC News

Censorship:

Klaus Schwab Hire Millions Of 'Information Warriors' To 'Seize Control Of The Internet' DisclosureLibrary (bitchhute.com)

OMG - Did You Realize What's Being Passed into LAW? Truly Shocking! - YouTube

Norway State Media Behind Facebook Censorship Of Seymour Hersh Over Nord Stream Explosion (public.substack.com)

2020 Was Just a Big Mess!! - YouTube. Department of defense had weekly meetings with Twitter

The Media Matrix | Full Documentary - The Corbett Report

Twitter File Release #8 - Evidence Showing Pentagon/State Dept using Twitter for Propaganda, Release Curated to Protect Barack Obama - The Last Refuge (theconservativetreehouse.com)

House Democrat Introduces Legislation to End Free Speech for White People (trendingpoliticsnews.com)

THE CENSORSHIP-INDUSTRIAL COMPLEX - by Matt Taibbi (substack.com)

This Shadowy Group Ran The Government's Censorship Scheme (thefederalist.com)

The Washington Post's One-Sided Assessment of Disinformation - CounterPunch.org

“For my entire life I’ve watched the corporate media sell us war after war. Always telling us who our enemies are, which countries need saving & which governments should be overthrown. And *every single time* it turns out they lied.”

@ml_maria_



Media Lies:

50 CIA agents lied about hunter biden laptop that it was Russian disinformation “The Biden campaign-backed Hunter Biden 2020 intelligence letter was crafted by the same “Beacon Global Strategies” intel officials involved in the 2016 Hillary campaign effort declaring Bernie as a threat to national security... This means that, in two consecutive presidential elections, the Democratic candidate generated fake claims about Russia, spread them through intel officials and media stenographers, and did so to deflect from factual disclosures about their corruption ... We saw that in 2016, when the DNC emails were released and the Clinton campaign, via its contractor CrowdStrike generated the unsupported claim that Russia was behind those emails and also, via a different contractor, FusionGPS put out a conspiracy theory that Trump was a Russian conspirator. And now we have in 2020 the same Russia Gate playbook, now you have the Hunter Biden laptop showing corruption by Hunter Biden, and what does the Biden campaign do? Ask their intelligence community friends to put out a fake claim that Russia is behind all of this.”

Hunter Biden investigation: Ex-CIA chief says Blinken ‘triggered’ letter to discredit laptop (washingtonexaminer.com)

Video about Russian misinformation. The former CIA chief Mike Morell has admitted that he helped spread lies about Hunter Biden's laptop to help Biden win the 2020 presidential election. Morell admitted to drafting a letter signed by 51 U.S. intelligence officials claiming the laptop was Russian disinformation. The US congressional oversight committee now has questions for Anthony Blinken, who allegedly called Morell. Since it has now been proven that the laptop specifically belonged to Joe Biden's son and that Russia had nothing to do with it.

AI chatbot ‘hallucinations’ perpetuate political falsehoods, biases that have rewritten American history - Disclose.tv

Trusted News Initiative led by BBC News contributed to 2 Million Excess Deaths across the West by enforcing Censorship of the Dangers of COVID Vaccination – The Expose (expose-news.com)

Requiem for a Smear Victim: Clemens Arvay (substack.com)

Ownership:

Blackrock Owns 15.1% of the Fox Corporation (substack.com)

Alternative Media:

Meet the So-Called Independent Alternative Media - Amazing Polly (rumble.com)



Ireland Passes Law Making It ILLEGAL To Read Non-Mainstream News Sources (thepeoplesvoice.tv)

New World Order

“No matter how paranoid or conspiracy-minded you are, what the government is actually doing is worse than you can imagine.” ~ William Blum

“The main mark of modern governments is that we do not know who governs, de facto any more than de jure. We see the politician and not his backer; still less the backer of the backer; or, what is most important of all, the banker of the backer.” ~ G.K. Chesterton

“The law in Socialist society is not above political considerations.” ~ Karl Marx



The New World Order Proponents:

Do You Have the JFK Secret Society Speech? - Questions For Corbett #099 - The Corbett Report

George Orwell in 1984: *“The Party seeks power entirely for its own sake. We are not interested in the good of others; we are interested solely in power. Not wealth or luxury or long life or happiness: only power, pure power. What pure power means you will understand presently. We are different from all the oligarchies of the past, in that we know what we are doing. “All the others, even those who resembled ourselves, were cowards and hypocrites. The German Nazis and the Russian Communists came very close to us in their methods, but they never had the courage to recognize their own motives. They pretended, perhaps they even believed, that they had seized power unwillingly and for a limited time, and that just round the corner there lay a paradise where human beings would be free and equal. “We are not like that. We know that no one ever seizes power with the intention of relinquishing*

it. Power is not a means, it is an end. One does not establish a dictatorship in order to safeguard a revolution; one makes the revolution in order to establish the dictatorship.”

Strategic Intelligence (weforum.org) - World Economic Forum

The Fourth Industrial Revolution, by Klaus Schwab | World Economic Forum (weforum.org)

Jacob Nordangård: Elites Seek Tyrannical World Government, We’re Living In A Bond Movie (geopoliticsandempire.com)

European Confederation (1943) - Wikipedia An idea by German Minister of Foreign Affairs Joachim Von Ribbentrop

Klaus Schwab Is The Great Barker Of The Fourth Reich (aim4truth.org)

Dystopian Global Influence of The World Economic Forum: WEF Young Global Leaders have infiltrated Governments to enforce The Great Reset (expose-news.com)

The World Economic Forum Says It Will ‘Accelerate’ Implementation of Globalist Agenda 2030. (thenationalpulse.com)

Spanish Bishop: Behind Agenda 2030 lies an attempt to change civilisation, a new world order that will change people’s beliefs – The Expose (expose-news.com)

Russia is still complicit in the Great Reset – OffGuardian (off-guardian.org)

The Old Empire wants us back in Chains (substack.com)

Towards a Church of the British Empire: World Government as British Empire Revivalism (thelastamericanvagabond.com)

How ‘Tools of the New World’ Align with the Great Reset, Merge with the IoB (sociable.co)

“The Trilateral Commission is intended to be the vehicle for multinational consolidation of the commercial and banking interests by seizing control of the political government of the United States. The Trilateral Commission represents a skillful, coordinated effort to seize control and consolidate the four centers of power political, monetary, intellectual and ecclesiastical. What the Trilateral Commission intends is to create a worldwide economic power superior to the political governments of the nation states involved. As managers and creators of the system, they will rule the future.” U.S. Senator Barry Goldwater “With No Apologies” 1964.

‘We need a single global order’ says Macron at APEC summit | AFP - YouTube

Golitsyn: The Key to Understanding Today’s Unfolding World Revolution (theepochtimes.com)

Important: Communist World Take Over. Russia and China are hiding their alliance in order to get investments from the West and get the West to take its guard down, so they can be demoralized from within with the Woke Agenda. Russia bribed Hillary Clinton, paid her USD 145 Mio for her to sell Russia 20% of US stock of Uranium. Biden Family gets millions from China.

The Secret Contacts: Zionism And Nazi Germany, 1933-1941 - Klaus Polkehn (ia903002.us.archive.org)

APRIL FOOLS DAY

Is cancelled this year cause no made up prank could match the unbelievable shit going on in the world right now



Corruption:

[DoJ is concealing documents that lay bare Hunter and Jim Biden's payoffs with China and Russia | Daily Mail Online \(dailymail.co.uk\)](#)

[Biden Family Investigation - United States House Committee on Oversight and Accountability \(oversight.house.gov\)](#)

[Report on the Biden Laptop - Marco Polo \(substack.com\)](#)

Depopulation:

[Shocking plans to implement depopulation and a worldwide totalitarian system revealed more than 50 years ago \(expose-news.com\)](#)

[Club of Rome founder Aurelio Peccei in "The Chasm Ahead" London 1969 Peccei gives 3 "solutions" to the problem of "surplus humans" in 1969:](#)

1. Biological "germ or virus"
2. "Mass sterilization"
3. "Elimination of all surplus humans"

[The depopulation agenda, Part 1: - The Conservative Woman](#)

[The depopulation agenda, Part 2: Creating food scarcity - The Conservative Woman](#)

[The depopulation agenda, Part 3: Vaccines and infertility - The Conservative Woman](#)

[The depopulation agenda, Part 4: Toxins in our food and water - The Conservative Woman](#)

[H.G. Wells: Darwin's Disciple and Eugenicist Extraordinaire | Answers in Genesis](#)

[The Intentional Destruction of White Birth Rates: Leaked reports from Planned Parenthood, the Rockefeller Foundation, World Bank, US NSC \(incl. CIA, military etc.\) - Thuletide \(wordpress.com\)](#)

[Never Again is Now Global \(neveragainisnowglobal.com\)](#)

[Sri Lanka's Collapse Over UN/WEF Ag Policies Should Be A Warning To The World \(technocracy.news\)](#)

[Shocking plans to implement depopulation and a worldwide totalitarian system revealed more than 50 years ago - The Expose \(expose-news.com\)](#)

[Deagel population forecast of nearly 70 percent fewer Americans by 2025 is starting to look prophetic - LeoHohmann.com](#)

[Deagel 2025-prognose genopstået \(nobulart.com\)](#)

[Population Decline Will Change the World for the Better - Scientific American](#)

Dictatorship:

[WHO Power Grab - A Presentation for the Attention of Lawyers and Legislators | Philipp Kruse CHD Europe \(childrenshealthdefense.eu\)](#)

[The World Economic Forum & the World Health Organization Are Elevating Themselves Above the World's Governments \(paulcraigroberts.org\)](#)

[RED ALERT: New WHO pandemic treaty in final stages, would overrule the US Constitution and unleash medical dictatorship, warns Dr. Francis Boyle \(naturalnews.com\)](#)

[Vaccine passport has same digital platform as Chinese SOCIAL CREDIT system: Comply or be denied access to society \(newstarget.com\)](#)

[James Roguski: "They're just gonna implement a Global Digital Health Certification Network." \(rumble.com\)](#)

[State of Control \(snaphanen.dk\)](#)

[Förslag kan ge WHO överstatlig makt i hälsokriser | Epoch Times](#)

[FBI/CIA/DHS circumvented the Constitution to censor ACCURATE information online. NIH circumvented Congressional oversight to continue gain of function in Ukraine/Wuhan. Biden admin circumvented Congressional approval for war with RUSSIA. Laws don't apply to the regime.](#)

[Pandemic Round 2: The CCP Is Using the WHO to Implement Worldwide Tyranny \(theepochtimes.com\), Important: Communist World Take Over](#)

[How 'Tools of the New World' Align with the Great Reset, Merge with the IoB \(sociable.co\)](#)

[NYHEDER: Danmark støtter oprettelsen af en EU-hær \(24nyt.dk\)](#)

[Læser PETs idiotiske rapport - YouTube politimanden Lars Andersens syn på PET's "antimyndighedsekstremisme"](#)

Order ab Chaos:

[George Soros's Open Society Foundation Puts Millions Behind Pro-Amnesty, Pro-Illegal Immigration Groups \(breitbart.com\)](#)

[UN Extends Authority To Manage "Extreme Global Shocks" \(technocracy.news\)](#)

[BIPARTISAN TREASON: Obama, Clinton, and Bush partner with American Express to fly illegal aliens into American communities - NaturalNews.com](#)

[JUST IN: Massive Group of Military-Age Males Storm Port of Entry in El Paso in Effort to Get Into US \(VIDEO\) | The Gateway Pundit | by Cristina Laila](#)

[How To Create A Socialist State by Saul Alinsky](#)

According to Alinsky there are eight levels of control that must be obtained before you are able to create a socialist state.

1. Healthcare - Control healthcare and you control the people.
2. Poverty - Increase the Poverty level as high as possible, poor people are easier to control and will not fight back if you are providing everything for them to live.
3. Debt - Increase the debt to an unsustainable level. That way you are able to increase taxes, and this will produce more poverty.
4. Gun Control - Remove the ability to defend themselves from the government. That way you are able to create a police state.
5. Welfare - Take control of every aspect of their lives (Food, Housing, and Income).
6. Education - Take control of what people read and listen to - take control of what children learn in school.
7. Religion - Remove the belief in God from the government and schools.
8. Class Warfare - Divide the people into the wealthy and the poor. This will cause more discontent and it will be easier to take (Tax) the wealthy with the support of the poor.

[Top Whistleblower Dr. Rima Laibow Warns Globalists Preparing New Bio Attack / Learn the Secret History of COVID \(madmaxworld.tv\)](#)

[Is 'Extra-Terrestrial Invasion' the next chapter in The Megalomaniac's Handbook? \(substack.com\)](#)

[The Biden 10-Step Plan for Global Chaos > American Greatness \(amgreatness.com\)](#)

[Understanding this weird, corporate, cultural revolution all around us \(substack.com\)](#)

[The Plot Thickens... - James Roguski \(substack.com\)](#)

[Israel 'marriage ban' views Palestinian spouses as security threat | RT \(gab.com\)](#)

[Mao Survivor Warns of Parallels With Cultural Revolution in America Today | NTD](#)

[EU finansierer slaveri i Algeriet \(document.dk\)](#)

[Elon Musk tells Bill Maher that the woke mind virus has infiltrated schools and teachers are indoctrinating American youth \(thepostmillennial.com\)](#)



War

"The perverse are unwilling to be corrected, and the number of the foolish is boundless."

Ecclesiastes 1:15 CPDV - bible.com

Mainstream media: *"False flags don't exist"*. Now Russia did it to themselves. Which one is it?



Money laundering, from tax payers to the military industrial complex:

[Sam Bankman-Fried is DENIED bail in the Bahamas | Daily Mail Online](#)

[Bankman-Fried extradited to US to face fraud charges over FTX collapse - Insider Paper](#)

[FTX was HEAVY into funding vaccine research, biotech engineering and other globalist depopulation agendas through the "scientific research" community - NaturalNews.com](#)

[FUNNEL OF FRAUD - FTX MONEY LAUNDERING SCAM \(rumble.com\)](#)

[TRADING WITH THE ENEMY - Seymour Hersh \(substack.com\)](#)

Peace:

[Robert Kennedy: I'll CLOSE all the military bases and bring the troops home! | Redacted News](#)

East vs West:

[Sergei Lavrov EXPOSES CNN "Journalist" - YouTube](#)


[Jeffrey Sachs - Horrible Clash Between Russia And The USA - YouTube](#)

[In conversation with Jeffrey Sachs - YouTube:](#)

"Not one inch to the East", said Nato 1989. The mainstream media wants you to believe that the Russia/Ukraine conflict is the result of an unprovoked invasion by Russia. This fundamental lie about the conflict clearly stands in the way of understanding the war and of finding a peaceful end to the conflict. Jeffrey D. Sachs is a world-renowned economics professor, best-selling author, innovative educator, and global leader in sustainable development. From 2001-18, Sachs served as Special Advisor to UN Secretaries-General Kofi Annan (2001-7), Ban Ki-moon (2008-16), and António Guterres (2017-18). He was also an advisor to the last leader of the Soviet Union, Mikhail Gorbachev as well as to the first president of the Russian Federation, Boris Yeltsen.

[Russians take language test to avoid expulsion from Latvia | Reuters, The new jews...](#)


Ukraine vs Russia:



How did it go after the West spread "freedom" and "democracy in Eastern Europe in 1989?"

Please note Ukrainians had most children in the late 1980's when (unfounded) optimism and expectation of the soon to come fruits of capitalism, economic freedom and opening up to west was at its peak. Then came the western investment banks and Harward University and spread their draconian neoliberal economic policies in Russia and Ukraine, that literally led to starvation and economic collapse in both Russia and Ukraine. Privatisations, high interest rates "to curb inflation", "Independent Centralbank" was "a good thing", a centralbank that reports to BIS in Basel and can only be sued in a court in the State of New York, low money creation (just as in all former colonies in Africa for example, with the exception of Libya, which was why it became so wealthy), cut backs in the employment in the public sector. In short a recipe for stagnation, economic collapse, wealth transfer to the west and depopulation. Then around the turn of the millenium it gradually improved with the arrival of Putin in Russia and pro-Russian governments in Ukraine and reaches its peak in 2014 with the European Football Championships being played in Poland and Ukarine. But 2014 sadly also saw the CIA instigated coup d'etats/"Victoria "-we paid USD 5 Bio for regime-change Nuland", since then the birthrate has litterally collapsed.

In Russia below we see a similar pattern: In 1989 the birth rate reaches a peak. In the Mid 1990's when men's life expectancy fell to 49 years and an estimated 6 Mio people died of starvation, disease and suicide we see the lowest birthrate ever. The current low birth rate is partly a function of fewer potential parents since so few kids were born in the 1990's-.



[Ukraina er ikke klart for noen offensiv, men vil ikke ha noe valg - \(steigan.no\)](#)

[Putin: Coronavirus was part of the biological weapons program in Ukraine \(rumble.com\)](#)

[Russia's ex leader calls for Zelensky to be KILLED in revenge for drone strikes at the Kremlin \(dailymail.co.uk\)](#)

[Russia says Ukraine tried to kill Putin with nighttime drone attack on Kremlin | Reuters](#)

[Alexander Mercouris Really Doesn't Understand What Prigozhin and Other Russian Patriots Are Saying \(substack.com\)](#)

[Wagner's Prigozhin Issues Most Dire Warning Yet Ahead of Ukrainian Counterattack \(substack.com\)](#)

[Nettavisen om de «gode nazistene» - steigan.no](#)

[Maybe Prigozhin is just really stressed out? \(substack.com\)](#)

Middle East:

[The Geopolitical Revolution in the Middle East – Authenticity \(home.blog\)](#)

In an unannounced visit to Saudi Arabia earlier this week, CIA Director William Burns expressed frustration with the Saudis, according to people familiar with the matter. He told Saudi Crown Prince Mohammed bin Salman that the U.S. has felt blindsided by Riyadh's rapprochement with Iran and Syria—countries that remain heavily sanctioned by the West—under the auspices of Washington's global rivals.

[Saudi, Iranian Foreign Ministers Agree to Resume Flights After Beijing Meeting | The Wall Street Journal \(wsj.com\)](#)

[USA started wars:](#)

[History of US-NATO Military Campaigns \(1991-2023\) - LewRockwell.com](#)

[Americans Who Tell The Truth \(americanswhotellthetruth.org\)](#)

Terrorism:

[How America Took Out The Nord Stream Pipeline \(substack.com\)](#)

[Secret Team: The Nord Stream Pipeline Sabotage Revisited - 21st Century Wire](#)

[Opfølgnig På Seymour Hersh Og Nordstream-Sabotagen – NewSpeek.info](#)

[Nord Stream 2 - YouTube](#)

[In Explosive New Interview, Sy Hersh Details His Allegations of the Nord Stream 2 Sabotage \(VIDEO\) | The Gateway Pundit | by Joe Hoft](#)

[More Facts Uncovered About The NORD STREAM Explosion - YouTube](#)

[OPERATION TURKEY TREMBLORS: Hard evidence points to same powerful DEW geo-weapons DARPA used to trigger the devastating 2010 Haiti earthquake | SOTN: Alternative News, Analysis & Commentary \(stateofthenation.co\)](#)

[Hvordan Barack Obama bombede "Afrikas Paris" ind i et helvedeshul af mord, slavehandel, sexhandel og massesult | CovertAction Magazine](#)

Libya. One witness statement from an NGO worker (Amnesty international): "Gaddafi is killing his civilian population" was enough to destroy Libya. The testimony was later retracted by the Amnesty employee: he had made "a mistake". And why would they bomb the Great Man Made River with uranium depleted bullets? How do you spread democracy and freedom and save the civilian population by flying hundreds of miles into the desert and bombing the country's water supply? And why did Denmark, the United States and England bomb the factory that produced spare parts for the huge irrigation system that Libya had paid American companies usd 60 billion to make?

[Obama approves airstrikes against Libya, March 19, 2011 \(politico.com\)](#)

Make a DONATION

www.scandinavianfreedom.news

Read the newspaper online in pdf and click the many 'LINK's

www.scandinavianfreedom.news

Literature

People:

- Amazing Polly, Journalist
- Alexandra Henrion-Caude, Dr
- Andrew Bridgen MEP UK
- Andrew Kauffman, dr
- **Archbishop Vigano ***
- Astrid Stuckelberger, Dr
- Benjamin Fulford, journalist
- **Bobbie Ann Flower-Cox, Lawyer ***
- Catherine Austin-Fitts, former Assistant Secretary of Housing
- Christian Perrone, Professor
- Christine Anderson MEP EU
- **Christine Massey ***
- Del Bigtree, journalist
- Dolores Cahill, Professor
- Donald Trump, President
- Edward Griffin, forfatter
- **Elizabeth Lee Vliet, Dr ***
- Ellen Brown, forfatter
- Elon Musk, Twitter ejer
- Gemma O'Doherty, journalist
- **Gerry Brady, Dr ***
- **Glenn Dormer, Dr ***
- Ivor Cummins
- **J J Couey, Dr ***
- Jacob Nordengaard, professor
- James O'Keefe, journalist
- **James Patrick, film producent ***
- **Janis Plavins, Lawyer ***
- Jeffrey Sachs, dr
- Jim Jordan, US Congress
- Jimmy Dore, journalist
- Joe Rogan, journalist
- **John Beaudoin, Bsc Computer Science ***
- John Campbell, Dr
- **John Kitson, politician ***
- John Titus, investment banker
- **Johnny Wedmore, journalist ***
- Jon Rappoport, journalist
- Jordan B Peterson, Dr
- **Judy Mikovits, dr ***
- Karen Kingston, dr
- **Katherine Watt, paralegal ***
- **Kevin Corbett, Dr ***
- Lara Logan, journalist
- Laura Aboli, blogger
- **Lee Merritt, Dr ***
- Malcolm Roberts, Senator AUS
- Mark Steele, Weapons Expert
- **Mads K ie Levi Palsvig, investment banker***
- **Maria Hubmer-Mogg, Dr ***
- Mary Holland, lawyer
- **Mascha Orel ***
- Meryl Nass, professor
- Mike Adams, Natural News
- **Monica Smit, activist AUS ***
- Naomi Wolff, dr
- **Nigel Utton ***
- **Paul E Valleley, Major General US Army ***
- **Peter Chambers, Lieutenant Colonel US Army ***
- **Philipp Kruse, Lawyer ***
- **Phillip Altman, dr ***
- **Rima Laibow, dr ***
- **Roger Hodgkinson, dr ***
- Robert F Kennedy, Lawyer
- Robert Malone, dr
- Pascal Najadi, lawyer
- Paul Craig Roberts, former Assistant Treasury Secretary
- Patrick Wood, forfatter
- Philipp Kruse, lawyer
- Richard Werner, Professor
- Roger Hodgkinson, dr
- **Sarah Westall, journalist ***
- Sasha Latypova, forsker
- Seymour Hersh, journalist
- Sherri Tenpenny, dr
- **Sucharit Bhakdi, dr ***
- **Stephen Frost, dr ***
- **Sue Grey, lawyer ***
- Tess Lawrie, dr
- Thomas S Cowan, dr
- **Todd Callender, lawyer ***
- Tucker Carlson, Fox News
- **Ulf Bejerstrand ***
- **Warner Mendenhall, lawyer ***
- **Willem Engel, msc pharmaceutical science ***
- Xavier Azalbert, dr
- Yuriy Bezmenov, journalist

Authors:

- A. Ralph Epperson
- Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn
- **Alex Krainer ***
- Alexandre Lebreton
- Alfred W. McCoy
- Anatolij Golitsyn
- Andrew M Lobaczewski
- Andrew Wheatcroft
- Annie Jacobsen
- Anthony Sampson
- Anthony Sutton, Professor
- Arthur Firstenberg
- Arthur Horn
- Barry Lynes
- Bob Lewis
- C. Wright Mills
- Carroll Quigley
- Charlotte Thomson Iserbyt
- Christopher J Petherick
- Cleon Skousen
- Colin A Ross
- **Daniel Estulin ***
- David Duke
- David Irving
- David Livingstone
- Debbie Bookchin
- Douglas Valentine
- E. Richard Brown
- Edmond Paris
- Edward Griffin
- Edwin Black
- Eleanor McBean
- Eric John Phelp
- Etienne de Boitie
- Eustace Mullins
- F. William Engdahl
- Francois du Cluzel
- Frank Anstey
- Fritz Springmeier
- Gary Allen
- George Armstrong
- Gerard Menuhin
- Gustave Le Bon
- Hans Rosling
- Heiko Schoening, Dr
- Ion Mihai Pacepa
- Jack Roth
- James Bacque
- James H Billington
- James Jackson
- Jay Dyer
- Jim Keith
- Jim Marrs
- Jim MacGregor
- Joel Bainerman
- John Coleman, Dr
- John Hamer
- **John Leake ***
- John Perkins
- John Stockwell
- John Taylor Gatto
- Joost A. M. Meerloo
- Judy Mikovits
- Juri Lina
- Karen Wetmore
- Kerry Bolton
- Klaus Schwab
- L. Fletcher Prouty
- Larken Rose
- Leo Lyon Zagami
- Leonard G Horowitz
- Linda Baletsa
- Lynne Viola
- **Mark Bailey, Dr ***
- Mark Dice
- Martin Short
- Mattias Desmet
- Maurice Pinay
- **Meredith Miller ***
- Michael A Hoffman
- Michael Hudson
- Michael Jones
- Mihajilo Mesarovic
- Michel Chossodovsky
- Mikkel Clair Nissen
- Muammar Al-Gaddafi
- N.W. Collins
- Nicholas Hagger
- Nikolay Starikov
- Noel Malcolm
- Pedro Banos
- Peter Dale Scott

- Peter Kirby
- Philip Agee
- Report from Iron Mountain
- Richard Wurmbrand
- Robert Dudley
- Robert F Kennedy
- Robin Feldman
- Rosa Koire
- Servando Gonzales
- Shaun Attwood
- Silent Weapons for Quiet Wars
- Stanley Monteith
- Stephen A. Zarlenga
- Stephen Mitford Goodson
- **Sucharit Bhakdi, Dr ***
- Sun Tsu
- Thomas S Cowan
- Tom O'Neill
- Tricia Jenkins
- Victor Marchetti
- W. H. Bovart
- Walter Bauer
- Whitney Webb
- William Blum
- William Bramley
- William Cooper
- William F Jasper
- William Guy Carr
- William J Bernstein
- William P Trebing
- Zbigniew Brzezinski
- * = **Will attend the Folkem de 15 - 17 June on Bornholm, which incidentally is the closest island to the terror attack on Nordstream 1+2**

Web Pages:

- <https://blog.nomorefakenews.com>
- <https://brownstone.org>
- <https://childrenshealthdefense.org>
- <https://co2coalition.org>
- <https://dailymail.co.uk>
- <https://ellenbrown.com>
- <https://expose-news.com>
- <https://forbiddenknowledgetv.net>
- <https://globalresearch.ca>
- <https://jfk21.dk>
- <https://kanekoa.substack.com>
- <https://karenkingston.substack.com>
- <https://www.lewrockwell.com>
- <https://naturalnews.com>
- <https://thepeoplesvoice.tv>
- <https://patrickwood.substack.com>
- <https://paulcraigroberts.org>
- <https://rwmalonemd.substack.com>
- <https://scandinavianfreedom.news>
- <https://steigan.no>
- <https://stopworldcontrol.com>
- <https://technocracy.news>
- <https://telegraph.co.uk>
- <https://thegatewaypundit.com>
- <https://thehighwire.com>
- <https://trunews.com>
- <https://usawatchdog.com>
- <https://vaccineimpact.com>
- <https://velstandspartiet.dk>
- <https://vtforeignpolicy.com>
- <https://voxday.net>
- <https://worldcouncilforhealth.org>

Research

(look into the following:)

- Project Bluebird
- Project Bluebeam
- Project Evergreen
- Project Artichoke
- MK-Ultra
- MK-Naomi
- Project Monarch
- Operation Chaos
- Operation Gladio
- Operation Mockingbird
- Operation Paperclip
- Operation Northwoods
- Operation Ranch Hand
- Operation Popeye
- (Doomsday Project)
- Cointelpro
- Operation Project Seal
- Operation Stargate
- Operation Highjump
- Operation Delirium
- Project Rainbow
- Operation Midnight Climax
- Project Woodpecker
- Project Stagate - Grill Flame, Sun Streak
- Operation Cloverleaf
- Operation Fishbowl
- Project Bluebook
- Project Coast.
- Musical Control (Rockefeller)
- Project Groom Lake
- Jekyll Island.
- The creation of the Federal Reserve.
- Fiat currency.
- Operation High Jump.
- Rear Admiral Richard Evelyn Byrd.
- Agartha.
- Operation Paperclip.
- Flouridation effects.
- Agenda 21.
- Agenda 30.
- Monsanto.
- Aspartame.
- The Getty Research Institute.
- B G H (Bovine growth hormone).
- Rothschild's family history.
- Albert Pike.
- Adam Weishaupt.
- P.N.A.C
- Council of Foreign Relations
- (CFR).
- Committee of 300.
- 13 families.
- Skull and Bones Society.
- The Bush family's business dealings.
- General Wesley Clark.
- Bill Cooper.
- William Guy Carr.
- MILABS.
- Annunaki.
- Nephelim.
- Nibiru (Planet X).
- Ninth Circle Cult.
- Nazi eugenics.
- Council of 13.
- Council of Nicea.
- Library of Alexandria.
- Vatican Catacombs.
- Emperor Constantine.
- Bloodlines of the Illuminati.
- Freemasonry.
- Knights of Malta.
- Plantagenets.
- Merovingians.
- Jesuits.
- Sabbatean Frankists.
- D.U.M.B.S
- Phil Schneider.
- Protocols of the learned Elders of Zion.
- Tavistock Institute.
- Frankfurt subversion techniques.
- Fabian society.
- Satanic ritual abuse.
- Adrenochrome.
- Elm St Guest house.
- 788 - 790 Finchley Road, Hampstead.
- Christchurch Primary School, Hampstead.
- The Samson Option



I Am Trying To Follow The Science But It Keeps Leading Me Back To The Money




@just_an_artist_questioning

FEMINISM FRAUD



Nicholas Rockefeller asked me, "Hey Aaron, what do you think women's lib was about?" I had conventional thinking about it, so I said, "well, it gave women the right to work, have equal pay with men and gave them the right to vote!" He (Nicholas) laughed at me and said "You're an idiot! Let me tell you what that was about. We the Rockefellers funded women's lib. There were two primary reasons: So we could tax the other half of the population and we could get their kids in public school to indoctrinate them. The state would then become the parents of the children." Up until that point, I thought women's lib was a noble and righteous movement. - Aaron Russo



Oh I Can't Be Bothered To Do All That Research




I Know What It Is Just Call You An Anti-Vax Tinfoil Hat Wearing Flat Earth Conspiracy Theorist Instead!




Hate Speech is Violent-...

No, This is Violence!





AL GORE CASHES IN





Northern Light Convention

15th-17th June 2023 in Bornholm, Denmark

40+ International Speakers

Read More

Donation

Please Make a Donation to Help Cover the Costs of the Event!

Our 40+ Guest Speakers include two US Army Generals, Archbishop, numerous doctors, authors, politicians, activists and

lawyers who are currently working on all fields including legal action against the deep state criminals.

- Alex Krainer, Author and Investment Manager (Croatia), The Conflict between Russia and the West
- His Excellency Archbishop Carlo Maria Viganò (Vatican)
- Bobbie Anne Flower-Cox, the lawyer who recently won a crucial case in the Supreme Court of New York State (USA)
- Christine Massey (CAN), Virology on Trial
- Daniel Estulin, Dr Political Science, author of 18 books, professor (Mexico and Canada), Global Projects at War
- Professor Dolores Cahill (Ireland), All Men & Women are liable for their actions/omissions
- Dr Elizabeth Lee Vliet MD, American physician and founder of Truth for Health Foundation (USA)
- Dr Gerry Brady, (Australia), Proper practice of medicine
- Glenn Dormer MD (SWE), False pandemic to real slavery
- Dr J J Couey advisor to Robert F Kennedy Junior (USA), Control of human beings through medical tyranny
- James Patrick, Planet Lockdown Filmdirector, Economist (USA), National Sovereignty vs. Globalism
- Janis Plavins, Lawyer, dipl.iur., MBA (Latvia), Globalist Terror Reparations Act. Legal framework
- John Beaudoin Sr, Bsc Computer and Systems Engineering, MBA (USA), Government Abuse and Corruption
- John Kitson, politician (ENG), Digital ID. The Final Lockdown
- John Leake (USA) author, The courage to face Covid-19

- Johnny Vedmore, Journalist (Chile and UK), The Gain of Function Industry
- Dr Judy A Mikovits (USA), Plague of Corruption
- Katherine Watt, Nat Science Degree, Paralegal (USA), WHO/BIS/DoD initiatives that undermine sovereignty
- Dr Kevin Corbett (UK), The history of AIDS and its links to COVID-19
- Kynthea, Annetta Driscoll and Timothy Saunders (USA, USA and Turkey), Boycott tyranny. The Other Side of The News Show
- Dr Lee Merritt MD (USA), Ohio Chemical Spill - It's Not What You Think
- Dr Mark Bailey, Author (New Zealand), The Covid19 Fraud and War on Humanity
- Dr Med Maria Hubmer-Mogg (Austria), From masspsychosis to crowdresilience
- Mascha Orel founder of We for Humanity (Germany and former Soviet Union), There is no freedom without free media
- Meredith Miller, Author and Holistic Coach (USA), Psychological torture and Stockholm Syndrome
- Monica Smit, Managing Director (Australia), Reignition of democracy and global walkout
- Major General US Army Paul E Valleley (USA), Americas Endgame for the 21st Century and The Mindless War
- Nigel Utton, Head Teacher (ENG), Education Charter of World

Freedom Alliance

- Lieutenant Colonel Peter C Chambers, American military medical doctor, US Army Special Forces (USA)
- Dr Phillip Altman (Australia), The safety and efficacy of Covid-19 jabs
- Philipp Kruse, Lawyer (Switzerland), WHO: Hope or threat for health, for human rights and for democracies?
- Dr Rima Laibow (USA), WHO: All American Death Star
- Dr Roger Hodgkinson MD, is a medical specialist in pathology, a graduate of Cambridge University, (Canada and UK)
- Sarah Westall, journalist (USA), Sarah Westall Show
- Professor Sucharit Bhakdi (Germany), RNA-injections: the path to heaven or to hell?
- Sue Grey, Lawyer, Bsc Microbiology (New Zealand), Strategic and legal advice in the battle for human rights
- Dr C Stephen Frost BSc MBChB Specialist in Diagnostic Radiology (UK and Sweden), Is globalism good for humanity
- Todd Callender, Lawyer (USA), Smoking Gun Proof of Biden's Treason?
- Ulf Bejerstrand chairman of pol party Knapptryckarna (Sweden), Believe in yourself and fellow human beings
- Lawyer Warner Mendenhall (USA), How to bankrupt Pfizer
- Willem Engel, Msc Pharmaceutical Science (Holland), One health, the trojan horse for social engineering

Gain understanding of initiatives and thinking how we may put a hard stop to the technocratic agenda that is being implemented in full force.

Explore realistic and necessary actions that may halt the accelerated deployment of the power grab by the globalist elites. Find out where and how you may be able

to contribute and help restore the sovereignty of individuals and nations that has been practically demolished over the past years.





VelstandsPartiet
Earth Freedom Knowledge

Power, money and health back to the people!

Danish Political Party

The Economic Solution

A people's government based on respect for human rights and people's commitment



ECONOMY

- The control and profit of money creation must be returned to the people.
- All tax on human beings shall be removed.
- Tax revenue shall come from tax on transfers of money, import tax is "climate friendly" and estate tax on all property except peoples main dwelling.
- Interest-free mortgages for people's main family home.

www.velstandspartiet.dk

VelstandsPartiet - Earth Freedom Knowledge

Read more about the economic program: www.velstandspartiet.dk | info@velstandspartiet.dk

Read, share and download this and previous papers: www.scandinavianfreedom.news

