

The role of the US DoD (and their co-investors) in "covid countermeasures" enterprise

Intelligence is never artificial

- by *Sasha Latypova*, Dec 28, 2022, [LINK](#)

I asked the AI Chat Bot "What was the role of the DoD in covid-countermeasures?" Here is what it wrote:

"The Department of Defense (DoD) played a significant role in the development of countermeasures against COVID-19, the disease caused by the novel coronavirus. The DoD worked closely with other federal agencies, such as the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), to respond to the pandemic.



One of the main roles of the DoD in the COVID-19 response was to provide logistical support and medical resources to help healthcare workers and first responders on the front lines of the pandemic. The DoD also worked to develop and distribute personal protective equipment (PPE) and other supplies to support the response efforts.

In addition, the DoD provided funding and resources to support the development of vaccines and treatments for COVID-19. The Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), a research arm of the DoD, played a key role in this effort by funding and supporting the development of treatments and vaccines through its "Rapid Response Research" program.

Overall, the DoD played a vital role in the response to the COVID-19 pandemic by providing logistical support, medical resources, and funding for research and development efforts to combat the disease."

AI Chat Bot gets a "F" - for a highly controlled narrative answer, which was fed to all MSM sources, while the "alternative" media did not examine it very much, with some exceptions. It wasn't just logistics or even just lots of funding, and certainly nobody was "combatting disease".

The truth is much more interesting than fiction:

All Covid countermeasures, including the biological warfare agents marketed as "Covid-19 vaccines", were ordered by the US DoD as a "large scale manufacturing demonstration" via Other Transactions Authority contracts.


Hundreds of Covid countermeasures contracts became available via FOIA and SEC disclosures in redacted form. Review of these contracts indicates a high degree of control by the US Government (DoD/BARDA) and specifies the scope of deliverables as "demonstrations" and "prototypes" only. In other words, the US Government and DOD specifically ordered a fake theatrical performance from the pharmaceutical manufacturers. Just to make extra certain that the pharmas are free to conduct the fakery, the contracts include the removal of all liability for the manufacturers and any contractors along the supply and distribution chain under the 2005 PREP Act and related federal legislation.

The contracts are structured under Other Transactions Authority (OTA) - OTA method of contract-

ing allows federal agencies to order otherwise-regulated products bypassing any such regulations, as well as financial accountability mechanisms that cover standard government contracting, and other laws that regulate disclosure and Intellectual Property (IP) derived from publicly funded research.

"Other" is a catchall category that is not a contract, not a research grant, not a procurement, etc.: not any normally regulated/accountable government contracting.

Here is a typical contract scope for "vaccines":




REPLY TO ATTENTION OF 21 July 2020
Army Contracting Command - New Jersey ACC-NJ, Building 9 Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806
SUBJECT: Technical Direction Letter for Medical CRBN Defense Consortium (MDCD). Request
1.2 Scope
The scope of this prototype project is the demonstration by Pfizer of the supply and logistics capability to manufacture and distribute to the Government of 100M doses of a novel mRNA-based vaccine that has received FDA-approval or authorization based on demonstration of efficacy (hereafter FDA-approved or authorized). The criteria for successful Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) are described in Emergency Use Authorization of Medical Products and Related Authorities: Guidance for Industry and Other Stakeholders, January 2017; and Development and Licensure of Vaccine to Prevent COVID-19: Guidance for Industry June 2020. The successful provision of these doses shall establish the effectiveness of a technology capable of potentially providing immediate and long-term solutions to coronavirus infections. While pre-clinical, clinical, and chemistry/manufacturing/controls (CMC) activities are described in the Background section of this Statement of Work, the Parties acknowledge and agree that such activities not related to the large-scale manufacturing demonstration are out-of-scope for this prototype project as Pfizer and BioNTech have and will continue to fund these activities, without the use of Government funding.

1.1.1 BACKGROUND
(b) (4) Pfizer and BioNTech entered into an agreement for the co-development and distribution (excluding China) of a potential mRNA-based coronavirus vaccine aimed at preventing COVID-19 infection (the "Pfizer/BioNTech Agreement"). Under the Pfizer/BioNTech Agreement, (b) (4) for the revention of COVID-19 (b) (4)

Read the whole article of *Sasha Latypova*, [LINK](#)
Here are the final words from the article:

When a known weaponizable tech is given a liability-free, extrajudicial status shielded from all regulations, it's not hard to put 2 and 2 together. The national security, DoD and Intelligence officials absolutely knew all of this. They went ahead and authorized a \$10 billion purchase order of this weaponizable tech from the Fosun-Pfizer-BioNTech enterprise (backed by numerous foreign governments including the Chinese), to deliver and deploy it onto Americans, during the time of war.




I think by now it should become clear that the "5th gen warfare" is not just the use of psyops and total control of social media by the FBI and CIA (that's so last century!) It's also not "profits over safety", "bad FDA overlooked myocarditis" or "big pharma pays politicians for election campaigns". We are way,



way past that. I keep pointing out that if the motive were JUST PROFIT, then the most profitable strategy would have been to ship placebo. They would not be violating any laws by doing so, there would be no adverse events and deaths, the product would look perfectly cGMP compliant, while covid would have gone away quickly by itself. Yet, the governments (plural)-pharma cartel insists on killing and injuring millions of people, obviously limiting the profit potential by doing so.

The current war is the war of the global governments (plural), that only pretend to be at odds with each other, marketing themselves as "left", "right", "communists", "green", "capitalists", "socialists", "populists", "conservatives", etc. etc. in a never ending clown show of the political theater. Behind the scenes, the "official enemies" are partners and co-investors into "joint ventures" against us, people of the world. They use taxpayers' money to fund, develop, then "approve", purchase and deploy prohibited biowarfare agents for killing and injuring their own civilian population, their own armed forces, first responders, healthcare workers, pregnant women and children. To stop this every one of us must start using correct precise language, start calling things what they really are.



Who is REALLY developing and manufacturing these injections?

VIDEO: *Sasha Latypova*, COVID-19 Countermeasures: Evidence of the Intent to Harm, Stockholm, 21 Jan 2023, [LINK](#)

Sasha Latypova worked 25 years in pharmaceutical industry in various roles, countries and ultimately managing her own companies. She worked initially as an econometrics and management consultant, and later as clinical trials contractor for 60+ pharmas, large and small. Her clients included Pfizer, Johnson & Johnson, Novartis, AstraZeneca, GSK, and many smaller biotechnology companies. She worked with "channel partners" such as large contract research organizations (CROs) - Parexel, PPD, ICON, and others.