The role of the US DoD (and their co-investors) in "covid countermeasures" enterprise PAGE 2 Proposed new legislation in Idaho will make it illegal to administer mRNA injections PAGE 3

OB/Gyn Doctor Announces Findings of a 57 Fold Increase in Miscarriages From COVID Shots

DONATION www.scandianvianfreedom.news

#4 | April 2023

PAGE 4

$\begin{array}{c} \text{Scandinavian} \\ \text{Freedom} \leftarrow \\ \text{N}^{\uparrow} \\ \text{E}^{\downarrow} \\ \text{V} \rightarrow \\ \text{S} \end{array}$

CHD Founder Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. Files to Run for U.S. President

You will eat ze bugs!

PAGE 22

The Climate Con

PAGE 16

Net Zero Will Lead to the End of Modern Civilisation

Now China and Germany Join Russia and Demand an Investigation Into Biden's Connections with Nord Stream 2 Pipeline Sabotage


PAGE 12

UN Security Council Won't Probe Nord Stream Bombing

PAGE 13

IMF Unveils New Global Currency


PAGE 28



"In the end, we will remember not the words of our enemies, but the silence of our friends" Martin Luther King Jr.

How America Took Out The Nord Stream Pipeline

PAGE 8-11




The role of the US DoD (and their co-investors) in "covid countermeasures" enterprise

Intelligence is never artificial

- by Sasha Latypova, Dec 28, 2022, LINK

I asked the AI Chat Bot "What was the role of the DoD in covid-countermeasures?"
Here is what it wrote:

"The Department of Defense (DoD) played a significant role in the development of countermeasures against COVID-19, the dis-



One of the main roles of the DoD in the COVID-19 response was to provide logistical support and medical resources to help healthcare workers and first responders on the front lines of the pandemic. The DoD also worked to develop and distribute personal protective equipment (PPE) and other supplies to support the response efforts.

In addition, the DoD provided funding and resources to support the development of vaccines and treatments for COVID-19. The Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA), a research arm of the DoD, played a key role in this effort by funding and supporting the development of treatments and vaccines through its "Rapid Response Research" program.

Overall, the DoD played a vital role in the response to the COVID-19 pandemic by providing logistical support, medical resources, and funding for research and development efforts to combat the disease."

AI Chat Bot gets a "F" - for a highly controlled narrative answer, which was fed to all MSM sources, while the "alternative" media did not examine it very much, with some exceptions. It wasn't just logistics or even just lots of funding, and certainly nobody was "combatting disease".

The truth is much more interesting than fiction:

All Covid countermeasures, including the biological warfare agents marketed as "Covid-19 vaccines", were ordered by the US DoD as a "large scale manufacturing demonstration" via Other Transactions Authority contracts.


Hundreds of Covid countermeasures contracts became available via FOIA and SEC disclosures in redacted form. Review of these contracts indicates a high degree of control by the US Government (DoD/BARDA) and specifies the scope of deliverables as "demonstrations" and "prototypes" only. In other words, the US Government and DOD specifically ordered a fake theatrical performance from the pharmaceutical manufacturers. Just to make extra certain that the pharmas are free to conduct the fakery, the contracts include the removal of all liability for the manufacturers and any contractors along the supply and distribution chain under the 2005 PREP Act and related federal legislation.

The contracts are structured under Other Transactions Authority (OTA) - OTA method of contract-

ing allows federal agencies to order otherwiseregulated products bypassing any such regulations, as well as financial accountability mechanisms that cover standard government contracting, and other laws that regulate disclosure and Intellectual Property (IP) derived from publicly funded research.

"Other" is a catchall category that is not a contract, not a research grant, not a procurement, etc.: not any normally regulated/accountable government contracting.

Here is a typical contract scope for "vaccines":



The scope of this prototype project is the demonstration by Pfizer of the supply and logistics capability to manufacture and distribute to the Government of 100M doses of a novel mRNA-based vaccine that has received FDA-approval or authorization based on demonstration of efficacy (hereafter FDA-approved or authorized). The criteria for successful Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) are described in Emergency Use Authorization of Medical Products and Related Authorities: Guidance for Industry and Other Stakeholders, January 2017; and Development and Licensure of Vaccine to Prevent COVID-19: Guidance for Industry June 2020. The successful provision of these doses shall establish the effectiveness of a technology capable of potentially providing immediate and long-term solutions to coronavirus infections. While pre-clinical, clinical, and chemistry/manufacturing/controls (CMC) activities are described in the Background section of this Statement of Work, the Parties acknowledge and agree that such activities not related to the large-scale manufacturing demonstration are out-of-scope for this prototype project as Pfizer and BioNTech have and will continue to fund these activities, without the use of Government funding.

(b) (4) Pfizer and BioNTech entered into an agreement for the co-development and interibution (excluding China) of a potential mRNA-based coronavirus vaccine aimed at reventing COVID-19 infection (the "Pfizer/BioNTech Agreement"). Under the Pfizer/BioNTech Agreement. (b) (4)

Read the whole article of Sasha Latypova, LINK Here are the final words from the article:

When a known weaponizable tech is given a liability-free, extrajudicial status shielded from all regulations, it's not hard to put 2 and 2 together. The national security, DoD and Intelligence officials absolutely knew all of this. They went ahead and authorized a \$10 billion purchase order of this weaponizable tech from the Fosun-Pfizer-BioNTech enterprise (backed by numerous foreign governments including the Chinese), to deliver and deploy it onto Americans, during the time of war.




I think by now it should become clear that the "5th gen warfare" is not just the use of psyops and total control of social media by the FBI and CIA (that's so last century!) It's also not "profits over safety", "bad FDA overlooked myocarditis" or "big pharma pays politicians for election campaigns". We are way,



way past that. I keep pointing out that if the motive were JUST PROFIT, then the most profitable strategy would have been to ship placebo. They would not be violating any laws by doing so, there would be no adverse events and deaths, the product would look perfectly cGMP compliant, while covid would have gone away quickly by itself. Yet, the governments (plural)-pharma cartel insists on killing and injuring millions of people, obviously limiting the profit potential by doing so.

The current war is the war of the global governments (plural), that only pretend to be at odds with each other, marketing themselves as "left", "right", "communists", "green", "capitalists", "socialists", "populists", "conservatives", etc. etc. in a never ending clown show of the political theater. Behind the scenes, the "official enemies" are partners and co-investors into "joint ventures" against us, people of the world. They use taxpayers' money to fund, develop, then "approve", purchase and deploy prohibited biowarfare agents for killing and injuring their own civilian population, their own armed forces, first responders, healthcare workers, pregnant women and children. To stop this every one of us must start using correct precise language, start calling things what they really are.



Who is REALLY developing and manufacturing these injections?

VIDEO: Sasha Latypova, COVID-19 Countermeasures: Evidence of the Intent to Harm, Stockholm, 21 Jan 2023, LINK

Sasha Latypova worked 25 years in pharmaceutical industry in various roles, countries and ultimately managing her own companies. She worked initially as an econometrics and management consultant, and later as clinical trials contractor for 60+ pharmas, large and small. Her clients included Pfizer, Johnson & Johnson, Novartis, AstraZeneca, GSK, and many smaller biotechnology companies. She worked with "channel partners" such as large contract research organizations (CROs) - Parexel, PPD, ICON, and others.

Proposed new legislation in Idaho will make it illegal to administer mRNA injections

A new bill introduced to the Idaho state legislature would make it a misdemeanour crime to administer a messenger ribonucleic acid ("mRNA") vaccine within the state. In other words, it would make it illegal to administer mRNA injections.


The new bill states that "a person may not provide or administer a vaccine developed using messenger ribonucleic acid technology for use in an individual or any other mammal in this state."

- by Rhoda Wilson, The Expose, Feb 18, 2023, LINK

Idaho Senator Tammy Nichols and House Representative Judy Boyle are sponsoring the legislation. According to KTVB, the two brought the bill before the House Health and Welfare Committee on 15 February. The new legislation, if passed, would go into effect on 1 July 2023.

Nichols said during her presentation to the committee, "We have issues this was fast tracked ... there is no liability, informed consent or data on mRNA vaccines."

"I think there is a lot of information that comes out with concerns to blood clots and heart issues," Nichols said.



KTVB: Idaho lawmakers introduce legislation to criminalise those who administer covid vaccines, 17 February 2023, LINK

Idaho's ground-breaking legislation would be the first of its kind in the United States. The closest approximation to the bill was the Florida Surgeon General's removal of the recommendation for Covid mRNA shots for individuals ages 18 to 39 years old in October last year.


The recommendation was made after a state-wide analysis of vaccinated Florida residents found there was an 84% increase in the relative incidence of cardiac-related death among men aged 18-39 years old within 28 days following mRNA vaccination.

"Studying the safety and efficacy of any medications, including vaccines, is an important component of public health," said Surgeon General Dr. Joseph Ladapo. "Far less attention has

been paid to safety and the concerns of many individuals have been dismissed – these are important findings that should be communicated to Floridians."

On Wednesday, Florida Health notified the healthcare sector and the public of a substantial increase in VAERS reports from Florida, including for life-threatening conditions.

In Florida alone, there was a 1,700% increase in VAERS reports after the release of the covid-19 injection. The reporting of life-threatening conditions increased by over 4,400%.




Florida Health: Health Alert on mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine Safety, 15 February 2023, LINK

The findings in Florida are consistent with various studies that continue to uncover such risks. To further evaluate this, the Surgeon General wrote a letter to the US Food and Drug Administration and the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention illustrating the risk factors associated with the mRNA covid injections and emphasising the need for additional transparency.



Proposed new legislation in Idaho will make it illegal to administer mRNA injections



Make a **DONATION**

www.scandinavianfreedom.news

Big Pharma pays DOCTORS.
Big Pharma pays RESEARCHERS.
Big Pharma pays POLITICIANS.
Big Pharma pays the FDA.
Big Pharma pays MED SCHOOLS.
Big Pharma pays LAWYERS.
Big Pharma pays LOBBYISTS.
Big Pharma pays the MEDIA.
Big Pharma pays CELEBRITIES.
Big Pharma pays BIG TECH.

Anti-vaxxer nurse who injected up to 8,600 elderly patients with saltwater instead of Covid vaccine walks FREE from court in Germany

By Christian Oliver For Mailonline 14:23 01 Dec 2022, updated 15:17 01 Dec 2022



OB/Gyn Doctor Announces Findings of a 57 Fold Increase in Miscarriages From COVID Shots

Board certified OB/Gyn announces paper that shows that the COVID vaccine is causing an exponential rise in miscarriage and reproductive health issues.

- by American ProLifer, Feb 28, 2023, LINK

From the beginning of the rollout of the COVID shots, some doctors and other COVID vaccine critics have voiced strong opinions on the possible link between COVID shots and a dramatic increase in reported miscarriages.

A new study by Dr. James Thorp, a board certified OB/Gyn, compares VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System) data on the effect of the COVID vaccines on pregnant women with the effect of the adverse events reported from the flu vaccine. The results are alarming.

BREAKING:

New research reveals 57 fold increase in miscarriages & 38 fold increase in still births & foetal deaths after covid mRNA jabs

'The greatest violation of medical ethics & humanity ever' says leading obstetrician.

"This is truly horrific"

pic.twitter.com/gwFFes1s1P

Dr Aseem Malhotra (@DrAseemMalhotra)February 24, 2023, LINK

As Dr. Thorp mentioned on the Tucker Carlson show, the study, which is set to be published in a peer reviewed publication, shows a dramatic increase in reproductive health complications for women and their unborn babies, including a 57x increase in miscarriages, and a 38x increase in stillbirths.

Skeptics of the link between miscarriage and the COVID shot point to deficiencies in the VAERS system as well as several studies that purport to show that there is no link.

In a "Fact Check" article by Reuters from November of 2022, the news publication proposes that the spike in VAERS reports of miscarriages is due to "media coverage" increasing the rate of self-reporting.

Rates of reporting to VAERS can vary from year to year, and from vaccine to vaccine, with many factors, including media coverage of a disease or a vaccine, influencing the number of reports filed. The effect was seen after the U.S. launch of the first HPV vaccine in 2006, which was followed by a spike in VAERS reports associated with that vaccine in 2007 and 2008, then a steady decline in reports even as the number of children getting vaccinated continued to rise (here). VAERS reporting rates in the first two-and-a-half years after FDA approval of the HPV vaccine were also found in a separate study to have tracked with media coverage and internet search activity related to that vaccine, LINK

Reuters: Fact Check-Graph of unverified data is not evidence of increased miscarriage risk with COVID vaccination.

One problem with this theory is that the media coverage has been overwhelmingly supportive of the vaccine's safety, and has succeeded in achieving record numbers of participation in the COVID shots.

Could positive media coverage that has boosted the public's confidence in the vaccine's safety be responsible for an increase in the reporting of adverse events after the injection?

On the VAERS official website, hosted on the Department of Health and Human Services website, the CDC and the FDA, which sponsor VAERS, state the strengths and weaknesses of the VAERS reporting system:

VAERS accepts all reports of adverse health events following vaccinations without judging whether the vaccine caused the adverse health event. Some reports to VAERS repre-

sent true vaccine reactions and others are coincidental adverse health events and not related to vaccination. Overall, a causal relationship cannot be established using information from VAERS report alone.

Strengths of VAERS:

- VAERS collects national data from all U.S. states and territories
- VAERS accepts reports from anyone
- The VAERS form collects information about the vaccine, the person vaccinated and the adverse event
- Data are publicly available
- VAERS can be used as an early warning system to identify rare adverse events
- VAERS is a tool for identifying potential vaccine safety concerns that need further study using more robust data systems

Limitations of VAERS:

- It is generally not possible to find out from VAERS data if a vaccine caused the adverse
- Reports submitted to VAERS often lack details and sometimes contains errors
- Serious adverse events are more likely to be reported than non-serious events
- Numbers of reports may increase in response to media attention and increased public awareness
- VAERS data cannot be used to determine rates of adverse events

https://vaers.hhs.gov/faq.html, LINK


Dr. Thorp and the other authors, including Dr. McCullough recommend a "worldwide moratorium on the use of COVID-19 vaccines in pregnancy is advised until randomized prospective trials document safety in pregnancy and long-term followup in offspring."


On Wednesday, Dr. Thorp will be participating in a webinar on the COVID shot and reproductive



Read the newspaper online in pdf and click the many 'LINK's

www.scandinavianfreedom.news





Joint open letter to Charity Commission

Joint Open Letter from Doctors for Patients UK, HART and the UK Medical Freedom Alliance to Helen Stephenson, CEO, Charity Commission

- by HART group, Feb 1, 2023, LINK

Cc: Dr Charmaine Griffiths, CEO, British Heart Foundation (BHF)

- Prof Charalambos Antoniades, BHF Chair of Cardiovascular Medicine
- Rt Hon Rishi Sunak, Prime Minister
- Rt Hon Steve Barclay, Secretary of State for Health and Social Care
- Mr Andrew Bridgen, MP

Re: Allegations that the British Heart Foundation (BHF) is involved in concealing and withholding important information relating to harms to cardiac function caused by the novel mRNA vaccines

31 January 2023

Dear Ms Stephenson

We wish to express our deep concern, regarding allegations that the British Heart Foundation (BHF) is involved in concealing and withholding important information relating to the potential of the novel m-RNA vaccines to damage cardiac tissue and function.

It was alleged in the House of Commons that staff working in a cardiology research department at Oxford University withheld information, for fear of losing funding from the pharmaceutical industry, and were therefore prioritising funding over patient safety.

Mr Andrew Bridgen MP stated in Parliament on 13 December 2022:

"It has also been brought to my attention by a whistleblower from a very reliable source that one of these institutions is covering up clear data that reveals that the mRNA vaccine increases inflammation of the heart arteries. It is covering this up for fear that it may lose funding from the pharmaceutical industry. The lead of that cardiology research department has a prominent leadership role with the British Heart Foundation, and I am disappointed to say that he has sent out non-disclosure agreements to his research team to ensure that this important data never sees the light of day. That is an absolute disgrace."

It was subsequently asserted on GB News that the research department mentioned above was headed by Professor Charalambos Antoniades whose position is funded by the BHF. Despite GB News approaching Professor Antoniades for comment, he has made no public denial that Non-Disclosure Agreements (NDAs) were entered into by members of his department.

Doctors and the public rely on reputable and well-established charities such as the BHF to provide accurate and up-to-date information, as well as to highlight and investigate potential, novel causes of heart damage and heart disease. Concerns should be raised immediately, whenever there are doubts relating to the safety of any pharmaceutical product, so that administration of the product can be halted, protecting the public from unnecessary harm, while an investigation is carried out.



The BHF rapidly dismissed the allegations made by Mr Bridgen and called for those making the allegation to provide specific and credible information in support of it.

Due to the seriousness of the allegations, and given the absence of any public denial or clarification from Professor Antoniades, we are calling for a full and independent investigation into any suppression of data by the British Heart Foundation itself or by senior BHF grant holders.

There are a significant number of signals that COVID-19 vaccines have led to cardiac pathology, which warrants an urgent review of their safety:

- The Pfizer trial saw four cardiac arrests in the vaccination group but only one in the placebo group after 6 months (although the numbers are too small to be statistically significant, this was a signal that should have been followed up).
- The evidence for vaccine-induced myocarditis is well established and in older patients this may be misdiagnosed as any of the more common forms of heart disease. The rate of myocardial infarction was disproportionately high in the first three days after vaccination.
- Studies in Thailand and Switzerland have shown rises in troponin levels consistent with damaged heart muscle in 3% of those vaccinated. Heart cells cannot be replaced and the resulting scarring can lead to electrical conduction issues and sudden death. 30% of the children in the Thailand study had cardiac signs or symptoms.
- Vaccine-derived spike protein was detected in the heart biopsies of 9 out of 15 patients with post-vaccination myocarditis.
- Vaccinated people had a rise in cardiovascular risk factors that would predict a significantly increased risk of heart disease (from 11% to 25% risk of a heart attack in 5 years). This study has been criticised for not having a control group but is the equivalent of an early phase clinical trial in demonstrating a safety concern.
- An Israeli study showed a 25% increase in acute coronary syndrome and cardiac arrest calls in 16-39 year olds associated with the first and second doses of vaccine but not with COVID-19 infection.
- There were 14,000 more cardiac arrest calls to ambulances in England in 2021 than 2020.

- There has been a rise in cardiac excess deaths and excess deaths have been disproportionately seen in more highly vaccinated groups e.g. less deprived cohorts and people of white ethnicity.
- In a report of 35 autopsies in Germany, there were 5 deaths confirmed as caused by a COVID-19 vaccine and a further 20 deaths where a contribution from the vaccination could not be excluded.
- Post mortem studies have shown inflammation of the coronary arteries after vaccination, causing death four months later.
- A separate post mortem report showed vaccinederived spike protein in heart muscle, in the absence of COVID-19 infection, in a subject who had myocarditis before he died.
- Australian hospitals have experienced intense service pressure since Summer 2021, despite no significant COVID-19 infection rates or reduction in healthcare capacity at that time.
- Australians have seen a similarly timed rise in excess non-Covid deaths, with ischaemic heart disease being the biggest contributor. This was despite no significant volume of COVID-19 cases or reduction in healthcare before Omicron as was seen in the UK.
- Systematic exploratory analysis of the possible causes in the rise in excess deaths by comparing countries, suggests a link to healthcare quality cannot be excluded but there is no link to COVID-19 or Long Covid. There is a weak link to lockdown severity but a strong correlation with vaccination.

Crucially, data has not been shared to counter the hypothesis that the mRNA vaccinations are linked to recent excess deaths caused primarily by cardiac pathology. The ONS were regularly publishing deaths by vaccination status. The last data was released for May 2022 and showed a higher mortality rate for that month in the vaccinated. No data has been shared since.

As medical professionals, and in the interest of patient safety, we demand that the British Heart Foundation immediately release the following information, in the public interest and in accordance with the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA):

- 1. Any and all information and emails regarding potential and actual harms caused by the COVID-19 mRNA vaccines.
- 2. A copy of any Non-Disclosure Agreements that have been sent to people working at, or associated with, the British Heart Foundation and Oxford University, relating to COVID-19 vaccine safety and data.
- 3. A full list of conflicts of interests that the BHF and Oxford University have relating to the COVID-19 vaccines.

We further call upon the Charity Commission to conduct an independent and urgent investigation into these very serious allegations relating to the British Heart Foundation. Suppression of research findings, conflicts of interest and acting in the interests of commercial entities are in direct conflict with the requirements inherent in holding charitable status.

Thank you for your attention. We look forward to receiving a prompt response.

Yours sincerely,

- Doctors For Patients UK (DFPUK- doctorsforpatientsuk.org), LINK
- Health Advisory and Recovery Team (HART hartgroup.org), LINK
- UK Medical Freedom Alliance (UKMFA ukmedfreedom.org), LINK
- Cosignatories (see the list of 100+ doctors), LINK

Australia government numbers confirm rate of serious AE's is >1 in 100 doses

German health minister Karl Lauterbach acknowledged a 1 in 10,000 rate of serious injury from the vaccines. That's nice, but the true rate is well over 100X that. Now there's more proof.

- by Steve Kirsch, Mar 19, 2023, LINK

Executive summary

I wrote recently about how the German Federal Minister of Health, Karl Lauterbach, acknowledged that the rate for serious injury after COVID vaccines is 1 in 10,000.

I pointed out that the rate is at least 100X more than that, i.e., well over 1 serious injury per 100 doses. This of course is a disaster.

There's more proof from Western Australia official government reports that the injury rates are off the charts.

The report

Here's the article you want to read:

West Australian (WA) government finally releases 2021 vaccine safety data: vaccines have been pulled from the market for far less than this, LINK

The key takeaways from the article

It's a long article. Here are some of the highlights:

- 1. There was almost no Covid in WA in 2021, due to WA's extreme zero covid policies. There was just the massive jab rollout in 2021. This makes it an interesting "control" group.
- 2. There were 2.4 cases of anaphylaxis per 100,000 first shots. But we know from the Blumenthal paper that the rate is 10X that number. This means that the injury data is under-reported by at least a factor of 10X for the most serious, obvious events. In my experience, for less obvious events, like death, an under reporting factor of 30X or more would be a good estimate. And for less serious events like myocarditis, we'd expect an under reporting factor (URF) of 100 or so.
- 3. The rates of myocarditis reported on Dose 1 were around 3 per 100,000. But we know from large studies like that in Switzerland that the rates are around 3 per hundred. Whoa!!!! This means that myocarditis was under reported by a factor of 1,000 which is 10X what I estimated in the previous point. So now we know that very serious AE's can be under reported in this report by a factor of 1,000X. That's stunning.
- 4. Check out this table below. It shows that the rate of adverse events reported were nearly 24X higher than for all other vaccines combined. In other words, the COVID vaccine is, roughly speaking, 24X times more "dangerous" than the average vaccine. In other words, it is not a safe vaccine. Not even close. It should be pulled from the market. It is generating adverse events at a rate 24X higher than "average."

Table 14: Numbers of vaccines administered, and adverse events reported, with rate of adverse events, for non COVID-19 vaccines and COVID-19 vaccines, 2021.

Vaccine type	Number of vaccines administered in 2021	Number of adverse events reported to WAVSS	Rate of adverse events per 100,000 doses
Non COVID-19	1,808,050	200	11.1 v 23 s
COVID-19	3,948,673	10,428	264.1

Western Australian Vaccine Safety Surveillance - Annual Report 2021

5. The adverse event reports started to skyrocket the instant the COVID vaccines were rolled out.




Figure 2: Adverse events following immunisation reported to WAVSS by month, 2017-2021, excluding active surveillance reports for routine vaccination

Western Australian Vaccine Safety Surveillance - Annual Report 2021

- 6. The "fact checkers" will argue that there were more events, but none of them were serious. That is patently false as 57% of AEFIs were treated in the emergency department (ED) or in the hospital. That is a DISASTER. It means that over half of the reported events were very serious. And the article notes: "This is in contrast to the TGA's communications with me earlier this year, when a spokesperson stated, "Reassuringly, the majority of adverse event reports for COVID-19 vaccines have been for common, expected and frequently mild reactions."" In other words, it is crystal clear that the health authorities lied to the public.
- 7. Most AEFIs (58%) were self-reported, with only 35% being reported by healthcare providers. In WA, it is a statutory requirement for health professionals to report AEFIs. However, it is likely that as of at least March 2021, medical professionals were reluctant to report AEFIs due to fear of reprisal from the regulator, AHPRA, whose March 2021 position statement expressly forbade medical professionals from taking any actions that could be perceived as undermining the Covid vaccination rollout. So this explains the 1,000 under reporting factor for myocarditis.
- 8. Those aged 30-49 were hit hardest, with AEFI rates of 314-316 per 100, 000 doses. So this is 3 AEs per 100 doses with URF of 10. But as we noted above, for most all events, the URF is likely 30 or more, so we are looking at a rate of adverse events of 1 per 10 shots. And since over half were very serious, we're looking at a rate of at least 5 serious adverse events per 100 doses, i.e., over a 5% rate of serious adverse events. This is a catastrophe. It is comparable to the v-safe numbers where 8% required medical care after vaccination.
- 9. The swine flu vaccine (1976) was withdrawn for a rate of one serious case of Guillain-Barré syndrome per 100, 000 doses. But for the COVID vaccines, the number of people seriously injured or killed is irrelevant if one life might be saved from dying from COVID.
- 10. The report does not raise or address the possibility that an increased reporting rate (not raw numbers, but rate) could partly be due to the fact that the Covid vaccines cause more AEFIs than traditional vaccines. This is clear proof that the people interpreting the data are all brain dead. There is no other explanation. They blame all the numbers on over reporting and cite no evidence to back that up. It's just like what the CDC does.
- 11. The article concludes with the observation that:

"The 2 sigma threshold is a warning signal that was clearly exceeded in 2021. This threshold was chosen by the WAVSS in their 2020 Annual Report, Fig. 2.


The chance of this happening randomly is less than 5% (and perhaps as low as 1.24%). Thus, the Covid Vaccines caused the high AEFI rate for 2021."

Summary

The data from Western Australia is simply more evidence that the vaccines are too lethal to be used and should be immediately withdrawn.

But health decisions are not driven by data anymore.

We are supposed to do what we are told and we are supposed to believe the vaccines work even when they don't.



CHD Founder Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. Files to Run for U.S. President



Robert F. Kennedy, Jr., founder of Children's Health Defense, on Wednesday moved one step closer to seeking the Democratic nomination for U.S. president by filing the requisite paperwork with the Federal Election Commission

- by The Defender Staff, Children's Health Defense, Apr 6, 2023, LINK

Robert F. Kennedy, Jr., founder of Children's Health Defense (CHD), on Wednesday moved one step closer to seeking the Democratic nomination for U.S. president by filing the requisite paperwork with the Federal Election Commission.

As of press time, Kennedy had not made an official announcement.

In an email, **LINK**, sent earlier today by CHD Acting President Laura Bono to CHD members, Kennedy said:

"My top priority is to end the corrupt merger between state and corporate power that has ruined our economy, shattered the middle class, polluted our landscapes and waters, poisoned our children and robbed us of our values and freedom."

Stating that these goals have always been "central to CHD's mission," Kennedy explained that he founded CHD "after parents concerned about the meteoric rise in childhood chronic diseases approached me time and time again, urging me to look beyond what mainstream media and government health agencies were telling the public about what was causing these increases."

He said:

"After carefully reviewing the science, it was clear to me that vaccines and other toxic environmental exposures were inextricably linked to rising rates of autism, allergies, autoimmune disorders and a host of other conditions.

"I knew I could never turn my back on the children and families so profoundly affected by these exposures. My commitment to health freedom, preventing future injuries, unmasking those responsible and holding them accountable will never diminish."


Kennedy, formerly chairman and chief litigation officer for CHD, is now the organization's chairman-on-leave.


He is an environmental activist and attorney and founder of the Waterkeeper Alliance, **LINK**, the world's largest clean water advocacy group with more than 300 local Waterkeeper groups, protecting water on six continents.

Time magazine named Kennedy its "Hero for the Planet" for his success in helping New York's Riverkeeper group, LINK, lead the fight to restore the Hudson River. The New York City watershed agreement, which Kennedy negotiated on behalf of environmentalists and New York City watershed consumers, is regarded as an international model in stakeholder consensus negotiations and sustainable development.

Kennedy has successfully litigated many cases against corporate polluters, including the landmark victories against Monsanto in 2018 and DuPont in 2019, in the contamination case that inspired the movie "Dark Waters" (2019), LINK.

He is the author of more than 10 books, including "American Values, LINK: Lessons I Learned from My Family" and "The Real Anthony Fauci, LINK: Bill Gates, Big Pharma, and the Global War on Democracy and Public Health."





'LINK's in the online PDF

How America Took Out The Nord Stream Pipeline

The New York Times called it a "mystery," but the United States executed a covert sea operation that was kept secret - until now

- by Seymour Hersh, Feb 8, 2023, LINK

The U.S. Navy's Diving and Salvage Center can be found in a location as obscure as its name—down what was once a country lane in rural Panama City, a now-booming resort city in the southwestern panhandle of Florida, 70 miles south of the Alabama border. The center's complex is as nondescript as its location—a drab concrete post-World War II structure that has the look of a vocational high school on the west side of Chicago. A coin-operated laundromat and a dance school are across what is now a four-lane road.

The center has been training highly skilled deep-water divers for decades who, once assigned to American military units worldwide, are capable of technical diving to do the good—using C4 explosives to clear harbors and beaches of debris and unexploded ordnance—as well as the bad, like blowing up foreign oil rigs, fouling intake valves for undersea power plants, destroying locks on crucial shipping canals. The Panama City center, which boasts the second largest indoor pool in America, was the perfect place to recruit the best, and most taciturn, graduates of the diving school who successfully

did last summer what they had been authorized to do 260 feet under the surface of the Baltic Sea.

Last June, the Navy divers, operating under the cover of a widely publicized mid-summer NATO exercise known as BALTOPS 22, planted the remotely triggered explosives that, three months later, destroyed three of the four Nord Stream pipelines, according to a source with direct knowledge of the operational planning.

Two of the pipelines, which were known collectively as Nord Stream 1, had been providing Germany and much of Western Europe with cheap Russian natural gas for more than a decade. A second pair of pipelines, called Nord Stream 2, had been built but were not yet operational. Now, with Russian troops massing on the Ukrainian border and the bloodiest war in Europe since 1945 looming, President Joseph Biden saw the pipelines as a vehicle for Vladimir Putin to weaponize natural gas for his political and territorial ambitions.

Asked for comment, Adrienne Watson, a White House spokesperson, said in an email, "This is false and complete fiction." Tammy Thorp, a spokesperson for the Central Intelligence Agency, similarly wrote: "This claim is completely and utterly false."

Biden's decision to sabotage the pipelines came after more than nine months of highly secret back and forth debate inside Washington's national security community about how to best achieve that goal. For much of that time, the issue was not whether to do the mission, but how to get it done with no overt clue as to who was responsible.

There was a vital bureaucratic reason for relying

on the graduates of the center's hardcore diving school in Panama City. The divers were Navy only, and not members of America's Special Operations Command, whose covert operations must be reported to Congress and briefed in advance to the Senate and House leadership—the so-called Gang of Eight. The Biden Administration was doing everything possible to avoid leaks as the planning took place late in 2021 and into the first months of 2022.

President Biden and his foreign policy team— National Security Adviser Jake Sullivan, Secretary of



State Tony Blinken, and Victoria Nuland, the Undersecretary of State for Policy—had been vocal and consistent in their hostility to the two pipelines, which ran side by side for 750 miles under the Baltic Sea from two different ports in northeastern Russia near the Estonian border, passing close to the Danish island of Bornholm before ending in northern Germany.

The direct route, which bypassed any need to transit Ukraine, had been a boon for the German economy, which enjoyed an abundance of cheap Russian natural gas—enough to run its factories and heat its homes while enabling German distributors to sell excess gas, at a profit, throughout Western Europe. Action that could be traced to the administration would violate US promises to minimize direct conflict with Russia. Secrecy was essential.

From its earliest days, Nord Stream 1 was seen by Washington and its anti-Russian NATO partners as a threat to western dominance. The holding company behind it, Nord Stream AG, was incorporated in Switzerland in 2005 in partnership with Gazprom, a publicly traded Russian company producing enormous profits for shareholders which is dominated by oligarchs known to be in the thrall of Putin. Gazprom controlled 51 percent of the company, with four European energy firms—one in France, one in the Netherlands and two in Germany—sharing the remaining 49 percent of stock, and having the right to control downstream sales of the inexpensive natural gas to local distributors in Germany and Western Europe. Gazprom's profits were shared with the Russian government, and state gas and oil revenues were estimated in some years to amount to as much

as 45 percent of Russia's annual budget.

America's political fears were real: Putin would now have an additional and much-needed major source of income, and Germany and the rest of Western Europe would become addicted to low-cost natural gas supplied by Russia—while diminishing European reliance on America. In fact, that's exactly what happened. Many Germans saw Nord Stream 1 as part of the deliverance of former Chancellor Willy Brandt's famed Ostpolitik theory, which would enable postwar Germany to rehabilitate itself

and other European nations destroyed in World War II by, among other initiatives, utilizing cheap Russian gas to fuel a prosperous Western European market and trading economy.

Nord Stream 1 was dangerous enough, in the view of NATO and Washington, but Nord Stream 2, whose construction was completed in September of 2021, would, if approved by German regulators, double the amount of cheap gas that would be available to Germany and Western Europe. The second pipeline also would provide enough gas for more than 50 percent of Germany's annual consumption. Tensions were constantly escalating between Russia

and NATO, backed by the aggressive foreign policy of the Biden Administration.

Opposition to Nord Stream 2 flared on the eve of the Biden inauguration in January 2021, when Senate Republicans, led by Ted Cruz of Texas, repeatedly raised the political threat of cheap Russian natural gas during the confirmation hearing of Blinken as Secretary of State. By then a unified Senate had successfully passed a law that, as Cruz told Blinken, "halted [the pipeline] in its tracks." There would be enormous political and economic pressure from the German government, then headed by Angela Merkel, to get the second pipeline online.

Would Biden stand up to the Germans? Blinken said yes, but added that he had not discussed the specifics of the incoming President's views. "I know his strong conviction that this is a bad idea, the Nord Stream 2," he said. "I know that he would have us use every persuasive tool that we have to convince our friends and partners, including Germany, not to move forward with it."

A few months later, as the construction of the second pipeline neared completion, Biden blinked. That May, in a stunning turnaround, the administration waived sanctions against Nord Stream AG, with a State Department official conceding that trying to stop the pipeline through sanctions and diplomacy had "always been a long shot." Behind the scenes, administration officials reportedly urged Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelensky, by then facing a threat of Russian invasion, not to criticize the move.

There were immediate consequences. Senate Republicans, led by Cruz, announced an immediate blockade of all of Biden's foreign policy nominees and delayed passage of the annual defense bill for months, deep into the fall. Politico later depicted Biden's turnabout on the second Russian pipeline as "the one decision, arguably more than the chaotic military withdrawal from Afghanistan, that has imperiled Biden's agenda."

The administration was floundering, despite getting a reprieve on the crisis in mid-November, when Germany's energy regulators suspended approval of the second Nord Stream pipeline. Natural gas prices surged 8% within days, amid growing fears in Germany and Europe that the pipeline suspension and the growing possibility of a war between Russia and Ukraine would lead to a very much unwanted cold winter. It was not clear to Washington just where Olaf Scholz, Germany's newly appointed chancellor, stood. Months earlier, after the fall of Afghanistan, Scholtz had publicly endorsed French President Emmanuel Macron's call for a more autonomous European foreign policy in a speech in Pragueclearly suggesting less reliance on Washington and its mercurial actions.

Throughout all of this, Russian troops had been steadily and ominously building up on the borders of Ukraine, and by the end of December more than 100,000 soldiers were in position to strike from Belarus and Crimea. Alarm was growing in Washington, including an assessment from Blinken that those troop numbers could be "doubled in short order."

The administration's attention once again was focused on Nord Stream. As long as Europe remained dependent on the pipelines for cheap natural gas, Washington was afraid that countries like Germany would be reluctant to supply Ukraine with the money and weapons it needed to defeat Russia.

It was at this unsettled moment that Biden authorized Jake Sullivan to bring together an interagency group to come up with a plan.

All options were to be on the table. But only one would emerge.

PLANNING

In December of 2021, two months before the first Russian tanks rolled into Ukraine, Jake Sullivan convened a meeting of a newly formed task force men and women from the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the CIA, and the State and Treasury Departments—and asked for recommendations about how to respond to Putin's impending invasion.

It would be the first of a series of top-secret meetings, in a secure room on a top floor of the Old Executive Office Building, adjacent to the White House, that was also the home of the President's Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board (PFIAB). There was the usual back and forth chatter that eventually led to a crucial preliminary question: Would the recommendation forwarded by the group to the President be reversible—such as another layer of sanctions and currency restrictions—or irreversible that is, kinetic actions, which could not be undone?

What became clear to participants, according to the source with direct knowledge of the process, is that Sullivan intended for the group to come up with a plan for the destruction of the two Nord Stream pipelines—and that he was delivering on the desires of the President.

Over the next several meetings, the participants debated options for an attack. The Navy proposed using a newly commissioned submarine to assault the pipeline directly. The Air Force discussed dropping bombs with delayed fuses that could be set off remotely. The CIA argued that whatever was done, it would have to be covert. Everyone involved understood the stakes. "This is not kiddie stuff," the source said. If the attack were traceable to the

At the time, the CIA was directed by William Burns, a mild-mannered former ambassador to Russia who had served as deputy secretary of state in the Obama Administration. Burns quickly authorized an Agency working group whose ad hoc members included-by chance-someone who was familiar with the capabilities of the Navy's deep-sea divers in Panama City. Over the next few weeks, members of the CIA's working group began to craft a plan for a covert operation that would use deepsea divers to trigger an explosion along the pipeline.

Something like this had been done before. In 1971, the American intelligence community learned from still undisclosed sources that two important units of the Russian Navy were communicating via an undersea cable buried in the Sea of Okhotsk, on Russia's Far East Coast. The cable linked a regional Navy command to the mainland headquarters at Vladivostok.

A hand-picked team of Central Intelligence Agency and National Security Agency operatives was assembled somewhere in the Washington area, under deep cover, and worked out a plan, using Navy divers, modified submarines and a deepsubmarine rescue vehicle, that succeeded, after much trial and error, in locating the Russian cable. The divers planted a sophisticated listening device on the cable that successfully intercepted the Russian traffic and recorded it on a taping system.

The NSA learned that senior Russian navy officers, convinced of the security of their communication link, chatted away with their peers without encryption. The recording device and its tape had to be replaced monthly and the project rolled on merrily for a decade until it was compromised by a forty-four-year-old civilian NSA technician named Ronald Pelton who was fluent in Russian. Pelton was betrayed by a Russian defector in 1985 and sentenced to prison. He was paid just \$5,000 by the Russians for his revelations about the operation, along with \$35,000 for other Russian operational data he provided that was never made public.

That underwater success, codenamed Ivy Bells, was innovative and risky, and produced invaluable intelligence about the Russian Navy's intentions and

Still, the interagency group was initially skeptical of the CIA's enthusiasm for a covert deep-sea attack. There were too many unanswered questions. The waters of the Baltic Sea were heavily patrolled by the Russian navy, and there were no oil rigs that could be used as cover for a diving operation. Would the divers have to go to Estonia, right across the border from Russia's natural gas loading docks, to train for the mission? "It would be a goat fuck," the Agency was told.

Throughout "all of this scheming," the source said,

United States, "It's an act of war."

Nevertheless, in early 2022, the CIA working group reported back to Sullivan's interagency group: "We have a way to blow up the pipelines." What came next was stunning. On February 7,

"some working guys in the CIA and the State

Department were saying, 'Don't do this. It's stupid

and will be a political nightmare if it comes out."

less than three weeks before the seemingly inevitable Russian invasion of Ukraine, Biden met in his White House office with German Chancellor Olaf Scholz, who, after some wobbling, was now firmly on the American team. At the press briefing that followed, Biden defiantly said, "If Russia invades . . . there will be no longer a Nord Stream 2. We will bring an end to it."

Twenty days earlier, Undersecretary Nuland had delivered essentially the same message at a State Department briefing, with little press coverage. "I want to be very clear to you today," she said in response to a question. "If Russia invades Ukraine, one way or another Nord Stream 2 will not move forward."



President Biden on Nord Stream 2 Pipeline if Russia Invades Ukraine: "We will bring an end to it.", LINK

Several of those involved in planning the pipeline mission were dismayed by what they viewed as indirect references to the attack.

"It was like putting an atomic bomb on the ground in Tokyo and telling the Japanese that we are going to detonate it," the source said. "The plan was for the options to be executed post invasion and not advertised publicly. Biden simply didn't get it or ignored it."

Biden's and Nuland's indiscretion, if that is what it was, might have frustrated some of the planners. But it also created an opportunity. According to the source, some of the senior officials of the CIA determined that blowing up the pipeline "no longer could be considered a covert option because the President just announced that we knew how to do it."

The plan to blow up Nord Stream 1 and 2 was suddenly downgraded from a covert operation requiring that Congress be informed to one that was deemed as a highly classified intelligence operation with U.S. military support. Under the law, the source explained, "There was no longer a legal requirement to report the operation to Congress. All they had to do now is just do it—but it still had to be secret. The Russians have superlative surveillance of the Baltic Sea."

The Agency working group members had no direct contact with the White House, and were eager to find out if the President meant what he'd saidthat is, if the mission was now a go. The source recalled, "Bill Burns comes back and says, 'Do it."







THE PLAYERS Left to right: Victoria Nuland, Anthony Blinken, and Jake Sullivan.



The Norwegian navy was quick to find the right spot, in the shallow water a few miles off Denmark's Bornholm Island.

THE OPERATION

Norway was the perfect place to base the mission.

In the past few years of East-West crisis, the U.S. military has vastly expanded its presence inside Norway, whose western border runs 1,400 miles along the north Atlantic Ocean and merges above the Arctic Circle with Russia. The Pentagon has created high paying jobs and contracts, amid some local controversy, by investing hundreds of millions of dollars to upgrade and expand American Navy and Air Force facilities in Norway. The new works included, most importantly, an advanced synthetic aperture radar far up north that was capable of pen-

etrating deep into Russia and came online just as the American intelligence community lost access to a series of long-range listening sites inside China.

A newly refurbished American submarine base, which had been under construction for years, had become operational and more American submarines were now able to work closely with their Norwegian colleagues to monitor and spy on a major Russian nuclear redoubt 250 miles to the east, on the Kola Peninsula. America also has vastly expanded a Norwegian air base in the north and delivered to the Norwegian air force a fleet of Boeing-built P8 Poseidon patrol planes to bolster its long-range spying on all things Russia.

In return, the Norwegian government angered liberals and some moderates in its parliament last November by passing the Supplementary Defense Cooperation Agreement (SDCA). Under the new deal, the U.S. legal system would have jurisdiction in certain "agreed areas" in the North over American soldiers accused of crimes off base, as well as over those Norwegian citizens accused or suspected of interfering with the work at the base.

Norway was one of the original signatories of the NATO Treaty in 1949, in the early days of the Cold War. Today, the secretary general of NATO is Jens Stoltenberg, a committed anti-communist, who served as Norway's prime minister for eight years before moving to his high NATO post, with American backing, in 2014. He was a hardliner on all things Putin and Russia who had cooperated with the American intelligence community since the Vietnam War. He has been trusted completely since. "He is the glove that fits the American hand," the source said.

Back in Washington, planners knew they had to go to Norway. "They hated the Russians, and the Norwegian navy was full of superb sailors and divers who had generations of experience in highly profitable deep-sea oil and gas exploration," the source said. They also could be trusted to keep the mission secret. (The Norwegians may have had other interests as well. The destruction of Nord Stream—if the Americans could pull it off—would allow Norway to sell vastly more of its own natural gas to Europe.)

Sometime in March, a few members of the team flew to Norway to meet with the Norwegian Secret Service and Navy. One of the key questions was where exactly in the Baltic Sea was the best place to plant the explosives. Nord Stream 1 and 2, each with two sets of pipelines, were separated much of the way by little more than a mile as they made their run to the port of Greifswald in the far northeast of Germany.

The Norwegian navy was quick to find the right spot, in the shallow waters of the Baltic sea a few miles off Denmark's Bornholm Island. The pipelines ran more than a mile apart along a seafloor that was only 260 feet deep. That would be well within the range of the divers, who, operating from a Norwegian Alta class mine hunter, would dive with a mixture of oxygen, nitrogen and helium streaming from their tanks, and plant shaped C4 charges on the four pipelines with concrete protective covers. It would be tedious, time consuming and dangerous work, but the waters off Bornholm had another advantage: there were no major tidal currents, which would have made the task of diving much more difficult.

NORD STREAM 2 NORWEGIAN NAVAL BASE NORWEGIAN AIR BASE SOLA RYGGE SWEDEN

RYGGE SWEDEN

RYGGE SWEDEN

RYGGE SWEDEN

RYGGE SWEDEN

BORNHOLM

After a bit of research, the Americans were all in.

At this point, the Navy's obscure deep-diving group in Panama City once again came into play. The deep-sea schools at Panama City, whose trainees participated in Ivy Bells, are seen as an unwanted backwater by the elite graduates of the Naval Academy in Annapolis, who typically seek the glory of being assigned as a Seal, fighter pilot, or submariner. If one must become a "Black Shoe"—that is, a member of the less desirable surface ship command—there is always at least duty on a destroyer, cruiser or amphibious ship. The least glamorous of all is mine warfare. Its divers never appear in Hollywood movies, or on the cover of popular magazines.

"The best divers with deep diving qualifications are a tight community, and only the very best are recruited for the operation and told to be prepared to be summoned to the CIA in Washington," the source said.

The Norwegians and Americans had a location and the operatives, but there was another concern: any unusual underwater activity in the waters off Bornholm might draw the attention of the Swedish or Danish navies, which could report it.

Denmark had also been one of the original NA-TO signatories and was known in the intelligence community for its special ties to the United Kingdom. Sweden had applied for membership into NA-TO, and had demonstrated its great skill in managing its underwater sound and magnetic sensor systems that successfully tracked Russian submarines that would occasionally show up in remote waters

of the Swedish archipelago and be forced to the surface.

The Norwegians joined the Americans in insisting that some senior officials in Denmark and Sweden had to be briefed in general terms about possible diving activity in the area. In that way, someone higher up could intervene and keep a report out of the chain of command, thus insulating the pipeline operation. "What they were told and what they knew were purposely different," the source told me. (The Norwegian embassy, asked to comment on this story, did not respond.)

The Norwegians were key to solving other hurd-

les. The Russian navy was known to possess surveillance technology capable of spotting, and triggering, underwater mines. The American explosive devices needed to be camouflaged in a way that would make them appear to the Russian system as part of the natural background—something that required adapting to the specific salinity of the water. The Norwegians had a fix.

The Norwegians also had a solution to the crucial question of when the operation should take place. Every June, for the past 21 years, the American Sixth Fleet, whose flagship is based in Gaeta, Italy, south of Rome, has sponsored a major NATO exercise in the Baltic Sea involving scores of allied ships throughout the region. The current exercise, held in June, would be known as Baltic Operations 22, or BALTOPS 22. The Norwegians proposed this would be the ideal cover to plant the mines.

The Americans provided one vital element: they convinced the Sixth Fleet planners to add a research and development exercise to the program. The exercise, as made public by the Navy, involved the Sixth Fleet in collaboration

with the Navy's "research and warfare centers." The at-sea event would be held off the coast of Bornholm Island and involve NATO teams of divers planting mines, with competing teams using the latest underwater technology to find and destroy them.

It was both a useful exercise and ingenious cover. The Panama City boys would do their thing and the C4 explosives would be in place by the end of BALTOPS22, with a 48-hour timer attached. All of the Americans and Norwegians would be long gone by the first explosion.

The days were counting down. "The clock was ticking, and we were nearing mission accomplished," the source said.

And then: Washington had second thoughts. The bombs would still be planted during BALTOPS, but the White House worried that a two-day window for their detonation would be too close to the end of the exercise, and it would be obvious that America had been involved.

Instead, the White House had a new request: "Can the guys in the field come up with some way to blow the pipelines later on command?"

Some members of the planning team were angered and frustrated by the President's seeming indecision. The Panama City divers had repeatedly practiced planting the C4 on pipelines, as they would during BALTOPS, but now the team in Norway had to come up with a way to give Biden what he wanted—the ability to issue a successful execution order at a time of his choosing.

Being tasked with an arbitrary, last-minute change was something the CIA was accustomed to managing. But it also renewed the concerns some shared over the necessity, and legality, of the entire operation

The President's secret orders also evoked the CIA's dilemma in the Vietnam War days, when President Johnson, confronted by growing anti-Vietnam War sentiment, ordered the Agency to violate its charter—which specifically barred it from operating inside America—by spying on antiwar leaders to determine whether they were being controlled by Communist Russia.

The agency ultimately acquiesced, and throughout the 1970s it became clear just how far it had been willing to go. There were subsequent newspaper revelations in the aftermath of the Watergate scandals about the Agency's spying on American citizens, its involvement in the assassination of foreign leaders and its undermining of the socialist government of Salvador Allende.

Those revelations led to a dramatic series of hearings in the mid-1970s in the Senate, led by Frank Church of Idaho, that made it clear that Richard Helms, the Agency director at the time, accepted that he had an obligation to do what the President wanted, even if it meant violating the law.

In unpublished, closed-door testimony, Helms ruefully explained that "you almost have an Immaculate Conception when you do something" under secret orders from a President. "Whether it's right that you should have it, or wrong that you shall have it, [the CIA] works under different rules and ground rules than any other part of the government." He was essentially telling the Senators that he, as head of the CIA, understood that he had been working for the Crown, and not the Constitution.

The Americans at work in Norway operated under the same dynamic, and dutifully began working on the new problem—how to remotely detonate the C4 explosives on Biden's order. It was a much more demanding assignment than those in Washington understood. There was no way for the team in Norway to know when the President might push the button. Would it be in a few weeks, in many months or in half a year or longer?

The C4 attached to the pipelines would be triggered by a sonar buoy dropped by a plane on short notice, but the procedure involved the most advanced signal processing technology. Once in place, the delayed timing devices attached to any of the four pipelines could be accidentally triggered by the complex mix of ocean background noises throughout the heavily trafficked Baltic Sea-from near and distant ships, underwater drilling, seismic events, waves and even sea creatures. To avoid this, the sonar buoy, once in place, would emit a sequence of unique low frequency tonal sounds-much like those emitted by a flute or a piano-that would be recognized by the timing device and, after a pre-set hours of delay, trigger the explosives. ("You want a signal that is robust enough so that no other signal could accidentally send a pulse that detonated the explosives," I was told by Dr. Theodore Postol, professor emeritus of science, technology and national security policy at MIT. Postol, who has served as the science adviser to the Pentagon's Chief of Naval Operations, said the issue facing the group in Norway because of Biden's delay was one of chance: "The longer the explosives are in the water the greater risk there would be of a random signal that would launch the bombs.")

On September 26, 2022, a Norwegian Navy P8 surveillance plane made a seemingly routine flight and dropped a sonar buoy. The signal spread underwater, initially to Nord Stream 2 and then on to Nord Stream 1. A few hours later, the high-powered C4 explosives were triggered and three of the four pipelines were put out of commission. Within a few minutes, pools of methane gas that remained in the

shuttered pipelines could be seen spreading on the water's surface and the world learned that something irreversible had taken place.

FALLOUT

In the immediate aftermath of the pipeline bombing, the American media treated it like an unsolved mystery. Russia was repeatedly cited as a likely culprit, spurred on by calculated leaks from the White House—but without ever establishing a clear motive for such an act of self-sabotage, beyond simple retribution. A few months later, when it emerged that Russian authorities had been quietly getting estimates for the cost to repair the pipelines, the New York Times described the news as "complicating theories about who was behind" the attack. No major American newspaper dug into the earlier threats to the pipelines made by Biden and Undersecretary of State Nuland.

While it was never clear why Russia would seek to destroy its own lucrative pipeline, a more telling rationale for the President's action came from Secretary of State Blinken.

Asked at a press conference last September about the consequences of the worsening energy crisis in Western Europe, Blinken described the moment as a potentially good one:

"It's a tremendous opportunity to once and for all remove the dependence on Russian energy and thus to take away from Vladimir Putin the weaponization of energy as a means of advancing his imperial designs. That's very significant and that offers tremendous strategic opportunity for the years to come, but meanwhile we're determined to do everything we possibly can to make sure the consequences of all of this are not borne by citizens in our countries or, for that matter, around the world."

More recently, Victoria Nuland expressed satisfaction at the demise of the newest of the pipelines. Testifying at a Senate Foreign Relations Committee hearing in late January she told Senator Ted Cruz, "Like you, I am, and I think the Administration is, very gratified to know that Nord Stream 2 is now, as you like to say, a hunk of metal at the bottom of the sea."


The source had a much more streetwise view of Biden's decision to sabotage more than 1500 miles of Gazprom pipeline as winter approached. "Well," he said, speaking of the President, "I gotta admit the guy has a pair of balls. He said he was going to do it, and he did."

Asked why he thought the Russians failed to respond, he said cynically, "Maybe they want the capability to do the same things the U.S. did."

"It was a beautiful cover story," he went on.
"Behind it was a covert operation that placed experts
in the field and equipment that operated on a covert
signal."

"The only flaw was the decision to do it."









Now China and Germany Join Russia and Demand an Investigation Into Biden's Connections with Nord Stream 2 Pipeline Sabotage

Along with Russia, China and Germany are now requesting an investigation into the sabotage of the Nord Stream 2 pipe and any connection to Joe Biden

- by Joe Hoft, The Gateway Pundit, Feb 16, 2023, LINK

Sey Hersh dropped a bomb of a report a week ago tying Joe Biden to the sabotage of the Nord Stream 2 pipeline. The pipeline was blown up last fall and Hersh accused the Biden gang of being behind it.

Hersh spoke a few days later about his report and doubled down on his reporting.

People are listening to Hersh. As reported earlier today at TGP, Russia read Hersh's report and is asking the United Nations to look into the report.

If Biden did blow up this pipeline then this could arguably be an act of war that only Congress has the right to make per the US Constitution. This is grounds for immediate impeachment if true. Biden's response is damaging to the country. US's reputation under Biden if failing. This is another act that damages the US and Americans.

China and Germany are now asking for investigations into the pipeline.

According to RT, China is now reporting on Hersh's claim that Biden was involved in blowing up the pipeline.

Beijing has mocked mainstream Western media for its apparent reluctance to look into recent allegations by Pulitzer Prize-winning journalist Seymour Hersh, that the US was responsible for blowing up the Nord Stream undersea pipelines last year.

The sabotage of the natural gas routes last September had a major economic and environmental impact and caused global concern over the safety of cross-border infrastructure, Chinese Foreign Ministry spokesman Wang Wenbin said during a press briefing on Thursday.

China and Russia aren't the only two countries interested in what happened. A German MP is also asking for an investigation into the matter:

Lawmaker Sevim Dagdelen made her appeal after allegations that the US was behind the Nord Stream blasts.

Berlin must not obstruct the creation of an international inquiry into the explosions on the Nord Stream gas pipelines, Sevim Dagdelen, a German MP from the Left Party (Die Linke), said on Tuesday.

The whole world wants to know if Biden was behind the Nord Stream 2 explosion. This is really terrible for the USA.

They Lied to Us About: Iraq, Afghanistan, Syria, Libya, Weapons of Mass Destruction,

Vietnam, Iran, North Korea, Venezuela, Guatemala, Honduras,

Haiti, Cuba, Panama, Nicaragua,

But now they are telling us the truth about Ukraine...







What is the biggest crime ever been done against humanity? Answer: Dresden 13 February 1945



In Dresden the Allies committed the greatest crime ever done in the history of mankind in one day. Some will say it was Hiroshima or Nagasaki, however in Dresden in one day more people were killed than in Hiroshima and Nagasaki together. There is no comparison with the Dresden Tragedy, more than 350,000 to 550,000 civilians were killed. American and British allies committed the largest planned Genocide made in the world and was done in Western Europe.

Historical Revisionism

UN Security Council Won't Probe Nord Stream Bombing

The only members who voted in favor of investigating the sabotage were Russia, China, and Brazil

- by Dave DeCamp, Antiwar, Mar 28, 2023, LINK

The UN Security Council voted Monday against a Russian effort to get an independent investigation into the bombings of the Nord Stream natural gas pipelines that connect Russia to Germany.


The only members of the Council that voted in favor of the resolution were Russia, China, and Brazil. The remaining 12 members abstained from the vote, including the US, the likely culprit of the attacks.

The resolution had little chance of passing since it needed at least nine votes in favor and no veto from any of the five permanent members of the Security Council: the US, China, Russia, Britain, and France.


Russia has been pushing for an international inquiry into the Nord Stream sabotage since investigative journalist Seymour Hersh published a bombshell report in February that alleged President Biden ordered the bombings.

Hersh's report said US Navy divers planted explosives on the pipelines in June 2022 under the cover of NATO exercises in the Baltic Sea. The operation was carried out with Norway, and a Norwegian spy plane dropped a sonar buoy on September 26, 2022, that detonated the explosives.


The US has denied responsibility for the bombing, and The New York Times recently published a story that claimed US officials believe a "pro-Ukrainian group" might be responsible for the sabotage. But according to Hersh, the new narrative was planted by the CIA after members of the spy agency were ordered to concoct a cover story to point responsibility away from the US following a meeting between President Biden and German Chancellor Olaf Scholz.




FOLLOW THE SCIENCE?









No To Whitewashing Of Money With People As Cannon Fodder!

Stop the Globalists' War in Ukraine

- by Ole Skjold, JFK21, Feb, 2023

Currently the most dangerous challenge for us, Danish people, and for the whole world is the danger of an outbreak of a World war caused by the problems in Ukraine.

A quick review of the reasons for the problems actually started in the year 1991 in connection with the dissolution of the Soviet Union. Russia and The United States (NATO) were negotiating conditions for the unification of East- and West Germany and for a lasting peace, in a much controversial agreement between United States Mr. James Baker and Mr. Gorbatjov of Russia. The agreement was that the United States (NATO) under no circumstances would extend their influence eastward, i.e. no expansion of NATO towards the Visegrad countries or any other Eastern European countries, that previously belonged to the Soviet Union. Nevertheless, the first enlargement of 3 countries were already done by 1999 and was in 2004 further extended by 7 countries. In fact, our previous prime minister for Denmark, Anders Fogh Rasmussen organized some of these enlargements. But that did not satisfy The United States and NATO, so in 2004 we experienced the so-called Orange revo-

lution in Ukraine, which appeared to have been organized and financed by the American, originally Hungarian, Jewish billionaire George Soros. Nevertheless, the Russian friendly president Jusjtjenko wan the election and served until 2010, followed by president Janukovitjs.

During 2013 the EU and Janukovitjs were negotiating an agreement between EU and Ukraine. The EU demanded that Ukraine would stop or considerately reduced their cooperation with Russia, which Janukovitjs could not accept. So by the end of 2013 demonstrations started in Ukraine and continued until a culmination on February 20, 2022, where it lead to confrontation and demonstration between supporters and opponents of the agreement. Snipers were shooting into the crowd of both supporters and opponents and created chaos allover Ukraine. Janukovitjs was forced to flee the country and the new government, installed by the American, introduced order and conditions especially towards the Russian speaking population in the eastern part of Ukraine. There were demonstrations allover and very violent behavior by supporters of the new government, especially against the population in the eastern Ukraine. Among others, very violent riots in Odessa, where about 50 Russian speaking demonstrators were lured into a union building, that were set on fire. Everybody who tried to escape the fire

were murdered and the rest perished in the flames. Search under 'Arson in Odessa'.

The unrest and riots continued over the next 8 years with persecution and murder of Russian speaking people in the eastern part of Ukraine. And the Ukrainian military and so called Nazi gangs murdered 14.000 Russian speaking Ukrainians during that period.

Over many years, before these events, the Americans were working very hard to turn peoples minds against Russia and towards the west and EU.

BOB'22
© THE DEPROCACY FIND

Victoria Nuland, the Assistant Secretary of State with responsibility for East European States has in fact confirmed that the United States have invested \$ 5 billion in this project. She is also known for in a telephone conversation with the American embassy in Poland to have said: "Fuck the EU".

During the period 2014 and 2022 our previous prime minister, Anders Fogh Rasmussen has been an advisor for the government of Ukraine. The task has probably not been advising and guidance into creating good and acceptable relations to the Russians!!! Wandering how many millions he made on these

consultancies. I wander if he has paid tax on this or what?

Of course the Russians have been helping the Russian speaking East Ukrainians, but been very reluctant by taking steps to crossing the border. But that then happened on February 24, 2022 caused by provocations of the Ukraine's as well as assisting NATO both with weapons, advising and 'volunteer' soldiers. Some of these were captured prisoners and were apparently executed with short trials and others have fallen in acts of war, so it is well known

that both Americans, Canadian, French and English citizens have taken part on the side of Ukraine. It is unknown exactly why February 24, but some think maybe because of extreme provocations by the Ukraine army. And Russia has presented evidence, that NATO/USA had established and operated 46 laboratories, which have researched and developed chemical and/or biological weapons and so you could risk attack by these.

Our media are telling us, that Russia have been attacking a poor, innocent country. I think we should really modify that that perception and agitation and look at the real facts.

Are the Russians really such stupid pigs because they defend themselves and their fellow compatriots?

Are the Russians really the worst criminal that the rest of the world has to be aware of and that the west (we) have to invest billions and billions in weapon to defend us against?

Did you really think about how many countries **we** have destroyed since 9/11?

Afghanistan, Iraq, Libya, Syria, Yemen, African states and more. Purpose: To steal and oppress? To create democracy? Mention just one example.

Please, use your energy and fortunes to create peace. You cannot do that with weapons. Maybe it is not possible with our Danish prime minister anyway. Well, then let us get rid of her, maybe NATO can use her – or Zelenskyj – in a trench!!!.






OPERATION TURKEY TREMBLORS: Hard evidence points to same powerful DEW geo-weapons DARPA used to trigger the devastating 2010 Haiti earthquake

*ZOG Earthquake Weaponry Strategically Inflicts Overwhelming Catastrophic Damage Across South-Central Turkey and Syria—Whodunit & Why?

*ZOG = Zionist Occupied Government such as those which currently rule the United States, United Kingdom and the State of Israel

- by State of the Nation, Feb 7, 2023, LINK



SOTN Editor's Note: The videos in the article linked at the bottom of this post reveal the sheer enormity and gravity of the immense back-to-back earthquake and aftershock that just struck Turkey and Syria in the red areas shown above.

Because of its highly geostrategic location, there is no doubt that these 7.5 and 7.8 quakes were triggered by highly advanced earthquake weaponry which only very few countries possess.

What that really means is that all the usual suspects — US, UK & Israel — are the most likely perpetrators. How so? Because when the \$64,000 question is asked — "Cui bono?" — those three geoterrorist states always come up first, second and third—THAT'S WHY!

SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH PAPER: Super Energy Weapons, Triggering Earthquakes, Volcanoes and, Other Earth Movements, LINK

The unusually widespread and extraordinary degree of destruction wrought by these earthquakes serves as the first major clues proving that this was yet another barbaric act of Zio-Anglo-American geoterrorism. But why? There are actually many reasons why the *Axis of Evil* is hellbent on bringing Turkey to its knees. As follows:

- Turkey has refused to permit Sweden (and Finland) into NATO pending the outcome of the "harboring terrorists" issue
- Turkey has been working closely with Russia, Syria and Iran to permanently resolve the USsponsored forever war in Syria
- Turkey has been collaborating with Russia all along to help negotiate a peace treaty with Ukraine
- Turkey's territory has become Russia's Plan B
 where it concerns natural gas pipelines that
 were once operative in Ukraine, as well as presenting an alternative to the destroyed Nord
 Stream pipelines
- Turkey has made major purchases of armaments from Russia including the S-400 air defense system against the strict demands of the United States

- Turkey has been in an intensifying cold war with fellow NATO member Greece which is viewed as highly disruptive to the central mission of the *North Atlantic Terrorist Organization*
- Turkey has also recently attacked the Syrian Kurds who are considered the U.S. partner in the fake fight against ISIS (Israeli Secret Intelligence Service)
- Turkey was targeted by the Zio-Anglo-American Axis just last week with economic sabotage after the US and several European nations shut down their consulates in Istanbul after falsely warning of terrorist attacks
- Turkey's Interior Minister recently lambasted US ambassador Jeffry Flake, accused Washington of "working to hurt his country" and incriminated the Western nations for waging "psychological warfare" to undermine tourism in Türkiye, all just 3 days prior to this transparently vengeful geoterrorist attack.

UNPRECEDENTED!

Turkish Interior Minister Suleyman Soylu Slams the US, UK and EU just 3 days before the devastating artificially triggered earthquakes, LINK

These are just a few of the reasons why the *Axis of Evil* is quite unhappy with Turkey. There are, in fact, several other motives for this cataclysmic geoterrorist attack which are well beyond the scope of this brief report. Hopefully the entire world community of nations will finally put two and two together in the aftermath of this transparently manufactured calamity and understand that earthquake weapons are very real and extremely powerful and will be utilized on any rogue client state.

Bottom Line: Every massive tremblor has its own energetic signatures which reveal the true cause of the immense and momentary release of energy that defines a natural earthquake over 7.0 on the Richter Scale. In light of the highly sophisticated diagnostic devices and advanced technologies which measure and analyze seismological movements, the manmade nature of these quakes will soon be confirmed. When the Kahramanmaras earthquake data is fully examined by objective seismologists and competent geologists worldwide, these enormous manufactured tremors will be exposed as an artificially-induced event distinguished by their manmade seismological signatures.

KEY POINTS: Artificially induced earthquakes via DEW geoweapons have been perpetrated by the Western powers over decades. "All the earthquake experts say that with such a large earthquake of almost 8.0 on the Richter scale, these two tremblors were unusually shallow at a depth of only 10 km. Earthquakes of that magnitude usually occur at a depth of at least 30 km. In addition, a pair of large earthquakes of 7.8 and 7.5 in such a short time is also a rare phenomenon. Moreover, huge flashes in the sky were seen by many just before the earthquake. They especially lit up a large part of the sky in that specific area just before the first 7.8 earthquake." Quote Aeronet News, LINK.

A one-two punch geo-weapon strategy was utilized with great precision to first unsettle the foundations of every building in a very large area via the first earthquake which was then followed by a second major earthquake (not just an aftershock) to bring those structures down into their own footprints. Furthermore, this exceedingly heinous act of geoterrorism was executed at 04:17 TRT in the early morning to bring about maximum number of deaths which it has surely done.

As always, the video footage and photos in the post below tell a story which can only be conveyed by clue-laden pictures. For example, the large flocks of agitated birds in the video that follows were clearly trying to tell the local population something is very wrong. Yes, animals always act strange and leave the area before an earthquake but perhaps these birds were being adversely impacted by the super-energy weapons just prior to these 2 big earthquakes in Turkey.



In Turkey, strange behavior was observed in birds just before the earthquake, LINK

List of countries that pulled their ambassadors out of Turkey 24 hours before the earthquake.

- **√** Canada
- √ USA
- **√** Britain
- **√** Germany
- **√** Belgium
- **√** Italy
- **√** Holland
- **√** France

Nothing suspicious here...?,?...



The Climate Con

- by Dave Ball, American Thinker, Nov 27, 2019, LINK

Beware Global Warming! Not because it will consume our planet in fire but rather because it is a Trojan horse concealing a much more real threat, one that will consume our economy, our democracy and our way of life.

Ever since Michael Mann's fantasy "hockey stick" temperature graph was thoroughly discredited and since Climategate outed institutional scale phony climate data a decade ago, the existence of actual global warming has been rendered null. The same is true for the impact of CO2 on climate. No experiment can confirm its impact, models can't predict its influence and collateral data (sea level, animal populations etc.) do not confirm a correlation.

The conclusion must be that man-made climate change and the need to eliminate carbon emissions to avoid climate change simply do not exist. None of the narrative is based on objective science. It is a massive hoax and maybe the biggest con job in history. All the classic elements of a con job are present; the victim (mostly liberals and other virtue signalers), the play (appeal to environmental issues), the rope (emotional foundation and persuasion – the world is coming to an end), the convincer (the way it will work to your benefit – eliminate carbon and all is well) and so on. The dangled payoff is saving the world. As in all con jobs, the con artist gets what he wants and the mark gets nothing.

Like all cons, this one looks good to the rubes. Who doesn't want to save the world and breathe clean air? The basic problem, even if the basic mechanism of eliminating CO2 to stop increasing temperatures were real, is that it would not achieve what its adherents think it would. Let's look at some facts.

What if we could reduce CO2 emissions? The U.S. produces only 15 percent of the carbon emissions in the world. The rest we have no control over. That leaves 85 percent of emissions in place after spending trillions of dollars.

Most, if not all, of the big proposals for reduction of Carbon emissions by reducing CO2 are simply impossible, impractical or ineffective. Eliminating coal fired electrical generating plants in the US is just one example. The cost of shutting down the US coal industry with the attendant loss of jobs and downstream business would be astronomical. What impact would it have globally? Seventy three percent of India's electricity is generated from coal fired power plants. India has no plans to reduce its production and consumption of coal. Coal India Ltd. will produce 660 million tons of coal next year, increasing to one billion tons by 2022 - 2023.

In other words, if the U.S. destroyed its economy and eliminated all coal fired electricity production, whatever CO2 reduction that might net would be offset by the increase in coal consumption by India alone. The Chinese Belt and Road Initiative, the largest civil engineering project in the world, will include 700 new coal fired power stations. When they are all in operation, these plants could consume an incredible 1.8 billion tons of coal a year. So why are the US and the UK risking catastrophe in their economies when whatever they eliminate will be more than replaced elsewhere?

This, then, brings us to the final piece of the global warming con – what role do the Green New Deal and related decarbonization programs play?

The components of the GND are staggering in

magnitude, cost and audacity. They include such "modest" proposals as shutting down the entire coal, oil and natural gas industry, requiring all housing and buildings to be rebuilt and reinsulated, eliminating all gasoline cars and trucks, forcing populations to relocate to urban areas, controlling population by selective abortion and it just goes on.

The reality of many variants of the Green New Deal and all the other absolutely preposterous proposals is that they are not even intended to address environmental issues. Note how often you see the word "justice" associated with certain proposals. Social justice, environmental justice, economic justice and racial justice to name a few. These are code words that lead one back to One World Government socialist theology and redistributive economics. The idea, in a nutshell, is to transfer enormous sums of money and other resources from first world countries in the West to third world and developing nations. Rest assured that a significant portion will find its way into the pockets of the charlatans promoting this con through choking the energy needs of the industrialized nations and transferring that wealth to developing nations. This is done by socialist redistribution in the name of the nebulous concept of sustainable development.

It was, and is, necessary to create the "existential crisis" of global warming in order to scare the multitudes into following the socialist elites blindly down the path of economic destruction to global governance.

Only in the recent round of hysteria have the concepts of Marxist redistribution been introduced and the whole concept of environmental concern been taken over by a political agenda.

If one is to examine the GND closely, it speaks of five goals and three of them are solely focused on some type of social or economic "justice" rather than an environmental outcome. The two environmental goals use language quoted from UN literature. Much of the current virulently Marxist bent of the GND is related directly to the 1992 UN Earth Summit from which came the infamous Agenda 21 that pledged "to change the way people live, eat, learn and communicate, all in the name of saving the earth from mankind's mistakes, particularly global warming." So, tying all of what we have said together let's see what we have.

- There is no demonstrable or provable pattern of net temperature change over a millennium so it cannot be said that we're confronted by catastrophic global warming or cooling.
- While CO2 may have some impact on global temperature, its exact influence is not known and cannot be accurately modeled. In any case, CO2 is not the sole or dominant driver of global temperature so that controlling CO2, if it could be done, would have little predictable impact on temperature.
- No accurate predictive model of global temperature exists because the system is too complex and too many variables are either unknown or their influences and relationships are not understood.
- If spending untold trillions of dollars on reducing CO2 in this country actually did reduce CO2 output, that reduction would be offset many times over by increases from developing nations such as China and India that have every intention of dramatically increasing their CO2 output.
- Reliable engineering calculations show very

- convincingly that the chance of replacing carbon energy sources with renewable energy is exactly zero.
- The current global warming narrative has been hijacked by Marxist One World Order extremists to press their revolution to destroy industrialized nations and to redistribute wealth to developing nations and create a world government.

Within the above context, we can see much more clearly that powerful Marxist forces forces are using the construct of a manufactured climate crisis, populist environmental language, and public fear to prosecute their political agenda which is to destroy the Western world and create a One World Order, nirvana to a Marxist, where a group of elites run the world. That's the con.





MICHAEL BLOOMBERG

Net Zero Will Lead to the End of Modern Civilisation, Says Top Scientist

- by Chris Morrison, The Daily Sceptic, Jan 10, 2023, LINK

A damning indictment of the Net Zero political project has been made by one of the world's leading nuclear physicists. In a recently published **science paper, LINK**, Dr. Wallace Manheimer said it would be the end of modern civilisation. Writing about wind and solar power he argued it would be especially tragic "when not only will this new infrastructure fail, but will cost trillions, trash large portions of the environment, and be entirely unnecessary". The stakes, he added, "are enormous".

Dr. Manheimer holds a physics PhD from MIT and has had a 50-year career in nuclear research, including work at the Plasma Physics Division at the U.S. Naval Research Laboratory. He has published over 150 science papers. In his view, there is "certainly no scientific basis" for expecting a climate crisis from too much carbon dioxide in the atmosphere in the next century or so. He argues that there is no reason why civilisation cannot advance using both fossil fuel power and nuclear power, gradually shifting to more nuclear power.

There is of course a growing body of opinion that points out that the Emperor has no clothes when it comes to all the fashionable green technologies. Electric cars, wind and solar power, hydrogen, battery storage, heat pumps – all have massive disadvantages, and are incapable of replacing existing systems without devastating consequences.

Manheimer points out that before fossil fuel became widely used, energy was provided by people and animals. Because so little energy was produced, "civilisation was a thin veneer atop a vast mountain of human squalor and misery, a veneer maintained by such institutions as slavery, colonialism and tyranny".

This argument hints at why so many rich, virtue-signalling celebrities argue not just for Net Zero but 'Real' Zero, with the banning of all fossil fuel use. King Charles said in 2009 that the age of consumerism and convenience was over, although the multimansion owning monarch presumably doesn't think such desperate restrictions apply to himself. Manheimer notes that fossil fuel has extended the benefits of civilisation to billions, but its job is not yet complete. "To spread the benefits of modern civilisation to the entire human family would require much more energy, as well as newer sources," he adds.

The author notes that the emphasis on a false climate crisis is becoming a "tragedy for modern civilisation", which depends on reliable, affordable and environmentally viable energy. "The windmills, solar panels and backup batteries have none of these qualities," he states. This falsehood has been pushed by what has been termed a climate industrial complex, comprising some scientists, most media, industrialists and legislators. Furthermore, he continues, this grouping has "somehow" managed to convince many that CO2 in the atmosphere, a gas necessary for life on Earth, one which we exhale with every breath, is an environmental poison.

In Manheimer's view, the partnership among self-interested businesses, grandstanding politicians and alarmist campaigners, "truly is an unholy alliance". The climate industrial complex does not promote discussion on how to overcome this challenge in a way that will be best for everyone. "We should not be surprised or impressed that those who stand to make a profit are among the loudest calling for politicians to act," he added.

Perhaps one of the best voices to cast doubt on an approaching climate crisis, suggests the author, is Professor Emeritus Richard Lindzen of MIT, one of the world's leading authorities on geological fluid motions:

HIDDEN FACT



Overpopulation is a myth. In fact, there is so much room on Earth that, if everyone lived as densely as New York City, the entire world population of 7.8 billion people could fit into the state of Alaska and give them all an acre of land and everyone would fit nicely.

Overpopulation is a lie just like everything else. The lie that we are overpopulated is spread to induce fear and scarcity over the masses, and to justify ways to "lower" the population. It is a statistical fact that 50% of humans live on less than 1% of the land, and if a fraction of lawns were turned into gardens, food scarcity would be non-existent.



What historians will definitely wonder about in future centuries is how deeply flawed logic, obscured by shrewd and unrelenting propaganda, actually enabled a coalition of powerful special interests to convince nearly everyone in the world that CO2 from human industry was a dangerous planet-destroying toxin. It will be remembered as the greatest mass delusion in the history of the world – that CO2, the life of plants, was considered for a time to be a deadly poison.

Much of Dr. Manheimer's interesting paper debunks many of the fashionable nostrums surrounding politicised 'settled' climate science. It is an excellent read. Discussing some of the contrary opinions that debunk obviously false claims, he says it is "particularly disheartening" to see learned societies make definitive claims when so much contrary information is readily available. He points out that over the last 10,000 years, the Earth has almost certainly been warmer. There have been warmer and colder periods, just like today.

To find the off-narrative information, even Google can be used, Manheimer says – though he does note that the company warns it will not provide information on "claims denying that long-term trends show that the global climate is warming".





I promised to give you Hell

Editor-in-Chief Pål Steigan's speech at the Hell Conference on Feb 11, 2023

- by Pål Steigan, Feb 12, 2023, LINK



Hellkonferansen on Feb. 11, 2023. From left Trond Andresen, Pål Steigan, Helge Lurås, Reidun Kjelling Nybø and Vebjørn Selbekk / Photo: Bente Haarstad

I would like to thank the Hellkonferansen and the organizing committee for inviting me here to criticize the Norwegian media. And I would like to thank the editor-in-chief of M24 for attacking this and thereby demonstrating one of my points, namely that in Norwegian media you want a ceiling height that is such that adults cannot stand upright.

In terms of circulation and readership, Steigan.no is a medium-sized online newspaper. We had 32 million page views last year. Before Facebook choked us in April, we reached 340,000 people in a four-week period. Our subscription numbers increased by 50% last year. We have published over 500 writers from five continents and we are downloaded worldwide.

But first I want to grill you over slow heat. You have sinned against the ten commandments of journalism, and committed the seven deadly sins of journalism, so it is necessary to clean up. When I say "you", I don't mean all of you, but only the 95% of you who have made yourselves microphone stands for the authorities, failed to dig into obvious unfairness and allowed gross violations of laws and rights to go unchallenged.

So my dear friends: I have promised to give you Hell!

At the very end, I will show you a way out of this misery, a way to the salvation of your journalistic soul

- You closed your eyes and looked the other way
 when the CIA staged a coup d'état against the
 legally elected president of Ukraine in close collaboration with Hitler-type Nazis. Had the press
 done its job at the time, we might have avoided
 the world war we are now unfortunately in.
- You shut up and let your keyboard rest when the Nazis in Ukraine massacred and burned to death Russian activists in the House of Trade Unions in Odessa and committed pogroms elsewhere.
- You have almost totally failed to reveal that many years ago Ukraine turned genocidals and Nazi collaborators into national heroes and their ideology into state ideology. You are not alone here. If it can be any consolation, Bernt Hagtvet and the HL center on Bygdøy have also kept quiet about this.
- The Norwegian media and the majority of Norwegian foreign journalists have been silent and lied about how the Gulf States, the US and NA-TO have used jihadists to destroy Syrian society and inflict enormous suffering on ordinary Syrians. The war has been going on for almost twelve years and the Norwegian media that have

taken the trouble to visit Syria can be counted on one hand.

- You have been largely silent on the horrific genocide in Yemen, and in particular on the responsibility of our allies for it.
- You have failed to dig into what happened when international finance capital decided to dump the biggest financial crisis in history on the people of the world to make themselves richer than ever
- When Health Director Guldvog declared a de facto state of emergency in the form of an unprecedented closure of Norway, violated the Constitution on many points, set aside the rule of law and introduced the state of infection control, you did not ask a single critical question.
- You enthusiastically supported the lockdown, which cost Norwegian society hundreds of billions in losses. Similarly, you supported the orders to close schools and to introduce compulsory masks. This despite the fact that no respiratory virus in history has been stopped by lockdowns, school closures and face masks.
- Now that Elon Musk has opened Twitter files, we know that the CIA, the FBI and the Biden administration ordered the tech giants and social media to censor, remove and discredit some of the world's foremost specialists in immunology. You allowed it to happen and helped whip up unwarranted fears in society. The media played a central role in the fear campaign. Without you, it would never have gotten as bad as it did.
- The Norwegian media's resume through the corona crisis is one long and shameful betrayal of everything called free, independent and critical press. You should set up the journalists' own corona commission to investigate this tragedy.
- Just think of how you sat around lighting candles and seriously publicizing the Prime Minister's absurd order on how many people you were allowed to invite to a Christmas party or the Health Minister's promise that we will soon be allowed to hug each other again. It was pure madness you should have made a laughing stock.
- When the gas pipeline to Germany in which Russia had invested 15 billion euros was blown up, most of you managed to write that "Putin did it", even though the US president had promised to stop this pipeline.
- Now the greatest living journalist, the man who exposed My Lai, Abu Ghraib and the secret arms shipments to the jihadists in Syria, Seymour Hersh, has revealed that the US and Norway were behind it. None of us can match that man in terms of investigative journalism.
- And what does the Norwegian press do? You do the only thing you can: They attack Hersh as a person and try to make people forget his documentation.
- We are now de facto in the Third World War. It won't take much before it becomes a nuclear war that can wipe out humanity and civilization as we know it.
- And what does the press do? You are stirring up war, demonizing Russians and Putin in a way that we have hardly seen since the violent war campaign in England before the First World War.
- Many of you have made yourselves tools of the military-industrial complex and are thus complicit.

But I promised you a way to salvation. And it is simple.

- Stop being microphone stands for power.
- The more power people have, the more critical you should be.
- Follow the money to see who is profiting from this misery.
- Be as open-minded as possible. Invite opposing views to wrestle with each other.
- In short: become journalists again. Comply with the letter and spirit of the Code of Conduct.

You will find it liberating and satisfying.

There is a catch, of course, and that is that the capital groups that own their media, many of whom also own the war industry, will not be too happy.


Welcome back to journalism, as you have promised readers and viewers to practice the profession.

When your conspiracies ruin a conversation, but you know it was well worth it..



NEVER WORRY
about who will be
offended if you
speak the TRUTH.
Worry about who
will be misled,
deceived & destroyed
if you don't.





A message to Patriots from JFK Jr.

- You need to give yourself more credit. !!
 Yes YOU.!!
- Some of you, today are doubting what you've done to fight this fight.
- Some of you, today, are wishing you'd stepped up sooner. Pushed back harder. Fought more battles.
- I'm telling you, you need to give yourself more credit.
- You're here.
- You didn't get the vaccine.
- You stopped wearing the masks.
- You told your friends and family what you REALLY think.
- You tried to help them see things too.
- You might've lost friends.
- Some of you lost spouses.
- Some of you haven't spoken to your children in far too long.
- Some of you haven't spoken to your siblings or parents in far too long.
- Not because you chose to, but because they left you.

- They thought you'd lost your mind that you supported a "racist" – that you peddled conspiracy theories, that you were endangering their lives.
- You've been kicked off platforms.
- Some of you have lost your jobs or been pushed so hard you had to find new ones.
- Some of you have been fined, harassed and even assaulted.
- Yet you're STILL here.
- You're still here.
- You're fighting for the truth. You're searching for the truth. You don't care how ugly it is. No subject is off limits for you. All you want to do is know what's true and to be left TF alone to live in your God given freedoms.
- You pray. Not just for yourself, but for your enemies too.
- Your heart cries out in pain because you FEEL. You still feel and you see the world suffering.
- Give yourself credit.

- You may not have gone to a protest. You
 may not have called a congressman. You
 may not have gone to a school board
 meeting. You may not have sent money to
 someone in need.
- But you ARE still here. And you need to know that you matter, and you are still making a difference. Give yourself credit for that.
- Just don't go back to sleep. Don't tune everything out. Don't get the jab. Don't wear the masks. Stand your ground in the ways you've ALREADY done. You've PROVEN you can do it. Keep doing it.
- You know you're on the side of truth and guess what? That's God's side. God made it clear that we must always seek what is true. Do you doubt the truth?
- You know you don't.
- So don't doubt yourself either. You've got this. We've got this. But most of all, GOD'S got this!
- Don't give up now. Keep your head up warrior. You've done more than you give yourself credit for.





All You Can Do Is Warn Them



If They Won't Listen Then Move On And Warn Others

Are you under hypnosis, then WAKE UP NOW and fight for Humanity

You who has the power and influence. I have some questions which I have also sent to the Danish Parliament, the Mayors and many journalists. Only very few have answered me but I hope that at least they THINK about them:

- by Lene Bang, JFK21, Apr 8, 2023
 - 1. I wonder how far we are with **15-minutes** cities like Oxford, Barcelona and many others. It sounds so good with easy access to all necessities and with a fence around it for your "safety". In reality it is a concentration camp, where the surveillance is complete and where you have to show a digital ID to move in and out. People are protesting in many cities, throwing over the fences again and again. They don't want to go to prison. They are fighting for their right to move freely. Through the infrastructure the politicians want to force people to use bikes through under the pretext of green transition. Car driving, which gives us personal freedom, is limited by eliminating parking places and shaming of "fossil fuels" (an expression made up by the multi billionaire Maurice Strong to give people the idea that there is a shortage of it, which is wrong).
- 2. World Health Organization (WHO) is presently taking over the power of all nations in the world. Their General director, **Tedros** Adhanom Ghebreyesus is accused of genocide in his homeland, Ethiopia. He can declare a pandemic and force everybody to accept a "treatment", the nature of which he can singlehandedly decide on. What will you do to prevent this crazy transfer of power so that we can keep our sovereignty over our own body and keep the **globalist capitalist interests** in the shape of privately owned Medical Companies and non-democratic NGOs completely out of Denmark? We have already had the dry run in the shape of the "corona" where we have spent fortunes on dangerous mRNA vaccines and other "corona" implementations, which have made us poor in so many ways. Will you work for the stepping out of the corrupt WHO of your country so that we can keep the right to our personal freedom?
- 3. The entire humanity is under attack by the WHO, who wants to decide that a woman should have the sovereignty to decide if she wants to have an abortion right up to birth, even if both the woman and the child are healthy. This means that only women have influence on the possibilities for the next generations to come into the world. In several states in the USA it is permitted to "abort" the child after birth! In Denmark the 12 weeks limit for abortion is under a massive pressure due to women's unlimited rights.
- 4. The WHO promote **gender confusion in the name of inclusion**. That it should be allowed
 to change the gender of a child right from birth
 and that we can have an unknown number of
 different genders and that you should avoid
 using the words **mother and father** in
 Copenhagen Municipal Office. That men can
 give birth to children is a statement which you
 are not allowed to doubt. **The Transagenda** is
 being pushed forward and we are now being
 groomed into looking at satanic rituals on TV
 and in the Music Industry. Libraries and culture

- centers offer entertainment with drag queens for very small children while they are encouraged to "chose" their own family. WHO encourages sex teaching for even very small children. A gift for the pedophiles. At the same time the Authorities refuse to consider the problem that so many people are not comfortable in their own body or whose genitals are malformed. Could that arrive from hormone disruptors in our surroundings, radiation or something else? Here a serious active action is really necessary at the fertility is dangerously low and the sex confusion is being pushed politically instead of investigating and preventing.
- 5. World Economic Forum (WEF), which is not democratically elected and whose leader Klaus Schwab in his book "The Great Reset" explains into which creepy directions he is leading the world. His cartoon-like appearance as a super-villain and his weird little helper Yuval Harari (humans are hackable animals) belong to the world of thrillers and it is unbelievable that any respectable person will have their name associated with them. Klaus Schwab boasts about having "infiltrated" the governments of the entire world and his visions override in terror the book "1984" by George Orwell, which was obviously not fiction but a manuscript and a warning.
- 6. Is it loving towards other people when Young Global Leader in the WEF Ida Auken says that we will own nothing and be happy, because an extreme shared economy removes all private property and private life? Not everybody thinks that this life as a slave will mean being happy. Her absurd article about this has not been removed and she has not excused having threatened all of us with stealing all our properties and she is still a member of the WEF. We therefore must assume that her statement is still in power. At the same time we see more and more people loosing everything, while people find being happy more and more difficult.
- 7. It is difficult to understand why most **politicians and CEO's** in the multinational companies as well as the Royalty are following **the evil agenda of the WEF** which will lead to our doom. They have themselves children and grandchildren who will have to live in such a dystopian nightmare.
- 8. Why do politicians get so angry when anyone questions the narrative that climate changes should be our fault because we exhale CO2. Is is not normal that the climate changes like you and I change all the time. Everybody knows that the plants live from CO2 and the more CO2, the better for the plants. Where did the global warming disappear? Replaced by the words "climate changes" which can be used as a practical weapon against humanity and which is used to limit our freedom to move, eat disgusting, harmful bugs, plunder us for our hard earned tax money etc. I wonder who are those behind the "experts" promoting

- the climate fear scenario which we are not allowed to discuss. I wonder if there can be money in the green agenda? Actually we are heading towards a colder period a grand solar minimum of 400 years cycle. Right now we are in the smallest sun cycle 25 of 11 years where even NAZA and NOAA expect a very low level of activity by the sun. That has already caused phenomena like extreme cold (records), snow (records), volcanic activity etc.
- 9. Weather manipulation, which has taken place for many years and with which the sun has been hidden by chemtrails, (Stratospheric Aerosol Injection) which are sprayed out on a clear blue sky and widens into a chemical porridge. Why are we not allowed to talk about it, but get a standard reply about water mist, although anyone with eyes in their head can see it is going on. Why are we not informed about the weather weapon H.A.A.R.P. which can cause weather catastrophes like earthquakes and wildfires and which can easily be taken for "climate change"?
- 10. If you worry about **radiation from 5G** or any kind of radiation which has ruined the lives of people and animals for years, then you are being laughed at and called names. We have extremely low fertility, but we are not allowed to talk about how radiation affects sperm quality. I wonder why?
- 11. The threat of a digital passport which can register everything about us, our economy, our traffic on the social media, our CO2 pressure and vaccination status is just around the corner just waiting to be presented as a good thing, but in reality it is the basic of enslaving all humanity. We are already forced to have a Smart meter and smart ID, so that your use of electricity and money is under surveillance. What will you do to stop it so that we can have the respect for our privacy back?
- 12. The fact that we already have a serious and rising excess mortality and serious side-effects after we have started to "vaccinate" with the non-approved liquid with at least 1,190 possible side-effects. And the fact that less children than usual are born. How is that explained by the authorities. One explanation is that people have eaten too many grapefruits. The sperm quality is bad and people need medical assistance to get pregnant.
- 13. Why were people absolutely **forced** to take the "voluntary" vaccine in order to be able to keep their job, which was a political and not medical decision and why should our **private health information** suddenly be a public matter? Do you still believe the public explanation which claims that it was in order to protect others? That explanation has long been destroyed since the "vaccine" does **NOT** prevent infection and disease. We are being told that we get **less sick** with the vaccine than without it. How can you measure that?
- 14. Many studies document that it is not healthy for the climate inside our lungs to wear a mask

for many hours and having stuff put far up your nose. We have warned the Danish Health Authorities many times, but they have continued their **harmful practices**. Here we still need an excuse for all the pain and suffering as well as for our financial loss when "we" have to get rid of tons and even more tons of protective materials in excess purchases. Plenty of vaccines are now passing the expiration date and have to be discarted but "we" are still tied to "agreements" wherefore "we" have to buy even more "vaccines" although people have become much more careful not to take them. A purchasing manager in a private firm had long been fired for making such bad dispositions. But now it is only about yours and my money.

- 15. One would think there was a plan to make life as sour to us humans as possible. A plan of biblical dimensions where we have to be tormented with giant electricity, gas bills and food prices. Where food shortages are occurring for one reason or another. Prices were already increasing before we heard about Ukraine. On the other hand, the multinational corporations have record high profits.
- 16. Lea Wermelin, Environmental Minister and Young Global Leader in Klaus Schwab's World Economic Forum, has allowed an exception from the EU rules, so that it is allowed to use the toxin Reglone on agricultural land in Denmark. I have written to all the political parties' environmental spokesmen, but only Susanne Zimmer from the Free Green took the matter seriously. Will you as a politician again allow it at the next spray season, or will you help to get it banned? Will you as a journalist write about it? What about the environment, where the groundwater is filled with PFAS, which has a negative effect on sperm quality and our health?
- 17. Is it really **green politics** that small towns now have to lose their super markets, small schools and bus connections, so that people have to move away from their homes where they have so far been able to cultivate the land and live a sensible life? Where digital stores are ready to **scan your digital passport**, which can detect whether you have been obedient enough to be allowed to shop, and are now replacing the cozy country grocer where you could get a gossip or where the young people could get a job after school. How we are obviously being **deprived of our basis of life**, have to borrow money from the state and thus become **slaves** of the state.
- 18. Do the green parties intend to create **poverty** houses for people who succumb to the "green" conversion? Apartments in the cities are too expensive. What do you really think? Do people need to be bound to their unsaleable houses or sleep on the street when all homes need a top climate mark, in order to be inhabited, sold or loaned? What do you do to stop this creepy development? Why does the Epidemic Act contain a provision on expropriation? Should our homes be expropriated and owned by the state, or be acquired by faceless foreign companies?
- 19. How do we regain our **freedom of expression** back so that we can have a sensible dialogue and questions of this kind are not immediately censored in the media due to "Community rules". And various legislation, including The Impact Law, which shuts the mouths of those who ask questions about the politicians' maltreatment of our security and our money. Is it at all legal that all the media are owned by the same **black organization** which decides what is right and wrong to talk about? Where your account is deleted or where you

- can never get a critical comment printed in a newspaper?
- 20. How vaccine skeptics are being shamed and given mental diagnoses after which they can be blocked (on their digital ID), have all legal security removed and forcibly "be treated". Shamed in the press as the new hate objects, like we remember it during the war. Jews, gypsies, blacks and gays all know about being bullied. Now the turn has come to those who dared to say no.
- 21. How people who are **critical of all the abovementioned conditions** may risk getting their children kidnapped by the state because they are labelled as irresponsible when they are not unconsciously following the state's instructions. This real threat causes many to bend their necks and submit to the "system".

 One should not believe that this occurs in our "democratic and free" country, Denmark, but it does, unfortunately. And the less people oppose, the worse it gets.
- 22. How the entire matter of **children being removed from their homes forcefully**, absolutely needs a serious review. It is necessary to shift the focus from the individual child to helping families with their problems. At the same time, **privacy** should be respected. Also it is necessary to remove the massive promotion of pedophilia, satanism and perversity in the public. How the Minister for the children still allows the **maltreatment of children by allowing circumcision** of small boys although the majority of the population is against it.
- 23. How disgusting it is that the EU approves more and more insect species as filling in foods even though they are disgusting, harmful and totally unsuitable for human food, as they are only tolerated by birds and reptiles due to the substance chitin in their skeletons and that they are full of parasites. If you write this on Facebook and bring a link, you get a warning. Then you know that you went straight against the agenda. Our food is heavily contaminated with chemicals with unhealthy E-numbers. Whose wish is it, that we shall be eating disgusting, sickening food?
- 24. Why is no one talking about **peace** negotiations, but we still have to send money and weapons in endless amounts out of the country without us, who are paying, ever seeing an inventory of what the money is used for? Now we just have to work even harder to send even more weapons off. The many wars by the **globalists** over time have only led to death and destruction. We humans hate war but are sent to death because of their lies. In no time, Ukraine, in the public consciousness, went from being a mafia-state in our consciousness where money laundering and human trafficking flourished, to be our sweet, democratic, completely innocent friends. As always, it is globalists' exploitation of the population we are experiencing, and we still have to see if the money we send there is going to help the population, or end up in the bottomless pockets of the globalists.
- 25. Why do we allow the banks to loot us in interest and the state to plunder us in taxes. "The state" has taken the "right" to use our tax dollars at will, while we have no "right" to interfere. We miss seeing some accounts that show exactly how our tax money are used. And the right to complain when they are not used in a proper manner.
- 26. Why are we not told who bombed the gas pipeline close to Bornholm? The highly acclaimed journalist Seymour Hersh concludes that US and Norway are behind it. We

- have to talk about that, because if that is true, then we are exposed to **terror from NATO**. But would we rather allow this to happen and try to silence it to death than acknowledge that we are under **attack** by someone who we otherwise consider an ally?
- 27. Why have we been told for years, over and over again, that there are **too many people on the earth**? Why do e.g. Jyllands-Posten write that you should consider not having more than two children? This is an **attack on humanity**. Why would Jyllands Posten be interested in giving advice as to how many children people should have? Jyllands-Posten also consist of people who would like to decide for themselves how many children they want.
- 28. The Church of Denmark which should be the church for the people and the libraries are now misused for arrangements where **drag queens entertain children**. And for political purposes where certain groups of people are being shamed and where war is saluted. Where the corona fascisme was a religion with limits to the number of guests, coronapass, masks and where any speech of peace is a town in the country which you are not allowed to mention At the same time a quiet censorship on books about alternative treatments is taking place and the new, unnatural world order is sneaked in without the knowledge and acceptance of the public.


Really many important questions have been **censored and ignored** for a long time, therefore there is a lot of investigation for you. I (and many others) look forward to that you will relate to all these questions and will send me an answer or a comment. It is incredibly important that we stand together as humans in these biblical times when **humanity is under attack** from many sides, so that we can guard our country from being plundered by foreign powers. Therefore, it would be desirable with a proper dialogue between politicians and those who can see the problems.

You can find many links here:

links.jfk21.dk, LINK With best regards,

Lene Bang

There is no evidence of Mass Formation



The biggest threat to humanity doesn't come from its tyrants, but from obedient sheeple

You will eat ze bugs!

EU allows house crickets and lesser mealworm larvae in food products

- by Manuel Petitat, StrangeSounds, Jan 23, 2023, LINK

Coerced bug-eating is part of a wider neo-Malthusian program that goes back a hundred years. (Malthus 1766-1834 English economist best known for his theory that the amount of food cannot keep up with population growth and that reproduction of the population should therefore be limited). The WEF is currently its biggest and most successful purveyor, following in the footsteps of the Rhodes roundtable groups, the CFR, and Trilateral Commission, among others. The basic overarching goal: undo the developed world, and

keep the developing world from developing. Economic development is not "sustainable," you see.

The European Union recently allowed Acheta Domesticus, better known as the house cricket, to show up on European Union consumers' tables. The newly approved regulation will allow food producers to introduce the partially defatted powder of Acheta Domesticus to the E.U. food market.

The E.U. Commission passed the application presented in 2019 by the Cricket One Company. Now, food producers can use

the powder in the production of several foods, including pizza and pasta-based products, nuts and oilseeds, snacks and sauces, meat preparations and soups, multigrain bread and rolls, crackers and breadsticks, cereal bars, dry pre-mixes for baked products, biscuits, processed potato products, legume- and vegetable-based dishes, whey powder, maize flour-based snacks, beer-like beverages and chocolate confectionery.

The go-ahead came on the heels of the scientific opinion expressed by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), which verified and approved the safety of the new powder.

EFSA also approved the powder production process, which includes a 24-hours fasting period for the insects before they are frozen, washed, thermally processed, have their oil extracted and, finally, transformed into dried-up powder.

The march of house crickets into European kitchens will not be completed alone. On January 6th, the E.U. Commission also approved the introduction of the frozen, paste, dried and powdered forms of Alphitobius diaperinus larvae, also known as the lesser mealworm, to the consumer food market.

Lesser mealworm larvae have also been found safe by the EFSA and, in the approved forms, are now allowed as ingredients in several food products destined for the general population. The powder of the mealworm larvae will also be used as a food supplement.

Food containing the insect products will require appropriate labels. Some researchers believe that these food items could cause reactions in consumers allergic to crustaceans, mollusks and dust mites.

The two insect preparations will join the list of E.U.-approved insect foods, including dried Tenebrio Molitor mealworm and the dried powder of the migratory locust.

Besides such approvals, eight other applications for insect foods have been presented to the European Union and are currently under evaluation.





The E.U. Commission's dedicated website explained that "the consumption of insects (...) contributes positively to the environment and to health and livelihoods." The E.U. executive branch also noted that insects "are a highly nutritious and healthy food source with high fat, protein, vitamin, fibre and mineral content. Therefore, they are an alternative protein source facilitating the shift towards healthy and sustainable diets."


The new Acheta and Aplhitobius regulations will take effect at the end of the month.



'LINK's in the online PDF







The Intentional Destruction of White Birth Rates: Leaked reports from Planned Parenthood, the Rockefeller Foundation, World Bank, US NSC (incl. CIA, military etc.)

- by Thuletide, Jun 11, 2021, LINK

A declassified trio of documents, all produced around 1970, prove that White birth rates have been intentionally destroyed throughout the West. You can find the full reports online at the following links, but highlighted versions are included at the end of this short article:

- 1. Population Planning, United Nations' World Bank (1972), LINK
- 2. Implications of Worldwide Population Growth ('The Kissinger Report'), US National Security Council (includes CIA, military, etc.) (1974), LINK
- 3. Activities Relevant to the Study of Population Policy for the U.S ('The Jaffe Memo'), Planned Parenthood on behalf of John D. Rockefeller's Population Council (1969), LINK

The reports explicitly detail techniques to intentionally reduce the birth rates of a population. Almost all of these techniques have been openly implemented throughout the West, excluding one or two of the most extreme options (e.g. forced sterilization), which they may attempt to implement covertly or through a trojan horse.

This means that the Replacement Migration agenda was a stereotypical Problem-Reaction-**Solution:**


- Globalists want to transform White civilization into multi-racial-mixed-race civilization.
- Globalists intentionally destroy White birth rates via techniques documented below.
- Globalists tell us that we need mass migration of non-Whites to "fix" our birth rates.
- Globalists get their multi-racial society.

Key points found throughout all documents include:

- Contraception to be made easily and readily available
- Expand range of contraceptives available
- · Position water with chemical birth control
- Promote and encourage sterilization
- "Indoctrination" of children
- Use state education to promote a family limitation message
- Use non-state propaganda to shrink "ideal family size" in public image
- Encourage homosexuality
- Delay the age of marriage (via social pressure or
- Encourage women to work and seek higher
- Encourage women to be politically active
- Discourage private home ownership (encourage
- Taxation and income redistribution

Make a **DONATION** www.scandinavianfreedom.news

THE FORMULA: White family + anti-family propaganda message.





theguardian

World Bank's: 'Population Planning' - "describes the Bank's efforts to help member countries reduce population growth rates" http://archive.vn/ZNBRO

I recently asked a panel of distinguished experts to review activities in the population field within the World Bank.

29 Reasons I Envy People Who Decided Not

Prof: I Chose Not To Have Children,

Because They'd Be White

To Have Kids

They took a hard look at everything we have been doing since 1969, and they rightly reproached us for a tendency to treat population too much in isolation from our other activities.

In short, they asked us to think about the problem in a more omprehensive way-and deal with it accordingly.

They were right. And that is exactly what we plan to do.

Let me, now, summarize and conclude the central points I ave made this evening.

mportance. It would mean that the period of rapid acceleration n the rate of growth of the world's population has finally eached its peak and is now definitely moving downward:

But as welcome as this is, the fact remains that the current rate woid their ultimately arriving at stationary populations far in excess of acceptable levels.

ccelerate the reduction in fertility, the global population may not stabilize below 11 billion. That would be a world none of us

But governments can take action, and can accelerate the pro-

The critical point is this: for every decade of delay in achieving et reproduction rate of 1.0—replacement-level fertility—the mate steady-state world population will be approximately 15% greater.

Governments, then, must avoid the severe penalties of procrastination, and try to hasten the process forward.

The factors that appear to be the most important are: health, education, broadly distributed econor and the enhanced status of women.

These factors are at work in the developing world today, but

There are two broad categories of interventions that govern desire smaller families; and those designed to provide parents with the means to implement that desire.

The first set of interventions sets out to alter the social and economic environment that tends to promote fertility, and by altering it to create a demand among parents for a new and smaller family page.

To create the demand for a change in family norm, governents should try to:

The New York Times

Opinion: Western men's sperm

counts are falling, and we oug to be concerned. The Middle E

can help.

· Reduce current infant and child mortality rates sharply.

Expand basic education and substantially increase the proportion of girls in school.

 Increase the productivity of smallholders in the rural areas, and expand earning opportunities in the cities for lowincome groups.

Put greater stress on more equitable distribution of income and services in the drive for greater economic growth.

And above all else, raise the status of women socially, economically, and politically.

To satisfy the demand for a change in family norms, govern

ments and the international community should:

- · Provide a broad choice of the present contraceptive tech-
- Improve the delivery systems by which parents can get the
- · And expand present levels of research seeking better tech-

New energy requirements may cost DKK 120 billion: The families will end up with unsellable homes

According to several stakeholders, the EU pushing 800,000 Danish families to improve the energy efficiency of their homes will have serious consequences

- by Kristoffer Brahm & Jakob Martini, Finans, Oct 2, 2022, LINK

In the effort to reduce the climate impact and to get rid of Putin's natural gas about 800.000 families have to spend DKK 120 billion for energy renovation of their homes.

This is the consequence, according to Jyske Bank, of a proposal by the EU commission, which several actors call extremely far-reaching and which will make many Danish homes unsellable.

"It is an incredibly ambitious proposal, which will have serious consequences for the Danish housing market. Many properties have to be energy renovated so much, that it would hardly be realistic. In reality they would have to tear them down. That is dramatic", says Mikkel Høegh, head of department in Jyske Bank.

The requirements for energy renovation is part of the big EU climate plan towards 2030, and at the same time a central element to become independent of Russian gas. In short the EU commission will introduce an energy marking system in order to classify the homes in various countries, which will have markings from A to G. The about 15% least energy effective homes will be placed in category G and must by 2030 be renovated to category F. By 2033 all homes must be renovated to category E.

According to an analysis by Jyske Bank 30% of the Danish housing stock must be energy improved by 2033.

By a conservative estimate the bank recons a cost of DKK 150.000 per home, according to a total investment of DKK 120 billion.

"Apart from the fact that the building industry hardly has the capacity for this enormous task – many places in Denmark – especially in the country-side – it would probably not be realistic to lift the value so much," says Mikkel Høegh.

"This will almost pull the rug from under the housing market. It sounds almost like a cartoon series and is really very difficult to imagine, but the consequence would probably be that bulldozers would run over big parts of Denmark," he added.

"If you have an old leaky house, where the energy renovation does not pay by itself, it would be very difficult to carry it out," according to Kim B. Wittchen, senior researcher, Aalborg Uni.

Finans Danmark is also critical about the EU proposal, and call it far reaching although the financial sector association approve the ambition to improve the Danish housing sector.

"It may be very difficult to sell properties that does not live up to these standards. ½What would then be the consequence? To tear them down? How to this in practice? With a bulldozer? We need to have the politicians to relate to this, because there is not much time to think about it", said Ane Arnth Jensen, deputy managing director in Finans Danmark.

This is how the EU demand hits Danish homes

- As part of the new building directive the EU will introduce a new energy marking system in order to classify the individual countries by marking them from A to G. The about 15% least energy effective homes are placed in category G. Properties living up to especially criteria will be placed in group A. The remaining homes will be distributed evenly in categories B to F.
- According to the proposal the buildings in group G must be energy renovated to minimum category F before 2030. By 2033 all buildings in

groups G and F have to be renovated to group E.

- The categorization will be country by country. In that way it is assured to get every country's worst buildings renovated. Otherwise you are not getting all the worst buildings renovated across the EU, because those are mainly in South and Eastern Europe, but Danish homes f.inst. are in average in better a condition.
- The new EU directive for buildings is a central part both of the climate plan 'Fit for 55' and the plan 'Power EU' to make Europe independent of Russian gas.

Jyske Bank points out that mortgage companies are allowed only to finance legitimate homes. And homes that do not comply to the future regulation in respectively 2030 and 2033 will according to the EU proposal not be legitimate.

"Accordingly they are not sellable and consequently people are stake bound," says Mikkel Hoegh.

Therefore the EU proposal may already have consequences far ahead of these demands being valid in eight years.

"Future home buyers will focus on this, and if the home does not comply with the future regulations it may be difficult to sell," says Ane Arnth Jensen.

Kim B. Wittchen, senior researcher with the Institute Build in the University of Aalborg agree with the worry, that a number of house owners maybe will be trapped, if the building directive is being agreed in the present form.

According to him several homes may move up one or two steps on the energy labelling by f.inst. insulation the roof, replacing the windows or replace the gas boiler with an electric heat pump. But in most cases the energy renovation may not pay by it selves, unless these actions are followed up in connection with a general renovation of the home.

"If you are left with an old leaky home, where the energy renovation does not pay by itself it may be very difficult to do. In such a case the cheapest solution may be to renovate by removing the house with a bulldozer, to put it that may," says Kim B. Wittchen.

Same melody sounds from the green think tank Concito.

Even if the think tank is an eager promoter of energy effectivity the politicians need to consider the situation of the homeowner, who might be squeezed by the legislation, it sounds from Michael H. Nielsen, senior advisor by Concito and former director by Dansk Byggeri.

"In fact there is a considerable number of homes, where the mortgage value will not allow to investing in them and where the homeowner cannot afford to make the needed energy improvements. Even though we would like very much to speed up the energy improvements, there is no point in turning the blind eye on this challenge.

The proposal still needs to be negotiated with by the EU Parliament and Council, but there are many indications that the mayor part will be approved", says Michael H. Nielsen.

"The EU have become pretty firm about the question of energy. They are looking with great concern about the question of supply of energy, so consequently their proposal presumably maybe will go through in one form or another. Often there is a certain artistic freedom when the single countries are to implement the proposal, so here the Danish politicians have a chance to think creatively about the energy renovation in order to avoid, that Danish people are forced from



their homes", says Michael H. Nielsen.

"The consequence may be, that bulldozers will be running over big areas in Denmark", says Mikkel Høegh, head of department in Jyske Bank.

Morten Helveg Pedersen (R), EU politician and vice chairman in the industry- and energy department, that are working for even scrapper claims than the Commission have proposed. 40% of the EU energy consumption go to buildings and houses and that is why you need massive energy improving, it reads.

"The whole intention with the building directive is to avoid the self-created plague that we are in now, that we are depending on Putin and his gas supply. We need to push harder to get the renovation started and with the prices we have at the moment there will be really many renovations that will pay by itself in relatively short time", says Morten Helveg Pedersen.

There is great concern that there will be relatively many homes, where the renovation does not pay. And if you stay in an over mortgaged house in the countryside it is really difficult to borrow money to such a renovation. What will be the consequence for them?

"Of course it is necessary to pay attention to what we call energy poverty. There is also going to be EU programs and subsidy possibilities in the single countries, where you can apply for assist financing. Anyway, what remains is that it is a declared political wish to get out of Putin's gas", says Morten Helveg Pedersen.

In Jyske Bank Mikkel Høegh is making no secret of the fact that not only homeowners maybe hit.

The building directive may also have far-reaching consequences for the mortgage companies if a part of the houses that they have given a loan to may become not sellable and consequently more or lees worthless.

"It reduces the values extremely, if a new buyer cannot borrow money to buy a house. So the value is simply going to zero. Then the mortgage companies consequently will have to reserve extremely big amounts. This also looks completely unrealistic", says Mikkel Høegh.

The Financial Supervisory, which is the watchdog over the financial sector will not form an opinion about the consequences of the EU initiative for the mortgage companies because the proposal is being negotiated at this moment.

It is expected that the EU Parliament and Council of Ministers will present their positions about the proposal before the end of the year, where after negotiations will take place in the coming year.

The CO2 Narrative: The Truth About 'Net Zero': A Diabolical Agenda Sold as a Saviour Formula

- by Julian Rose, Global Research, Mar 14, 2023, LINK

'Net Zero', what does it mean? Does anyone know? Who dreamt-up this slogan?

Put together, these two words don't actually have any meaning. 'Net' is usually used as a shortened form of 'netto' (netto/brutto) a term used in accountancy describing a sum of money remaining after tax or expenses have been deducted.

So what could 'Net Zero' possibly mean? That nothing will be left once zero carbon has been achieved?

The term seems to ape, no doubt for good reason, the one chosen to describe the blackened hole in the ground left after the devastation of 9/11: Ground 7ero

Look at it this way, by reducing carbon dioxide to nil (zero carbon) all plant life dependent for its growth on this natural gas, will die. By extension, all humans and animals dependent upon the oxygen that plants produce, via the conversion of carbon dioxide into oxygen, will also die. Basic biology reveals that is indeed the case

So what the inventors of 'Net Zero' seem to be suggesting is that the objective is to end all plant, animal and human life by 2050. Or have I got something wrong? Have 'they' quietly dropped CO2 as the arch baddie of the past three decades – and are now trying to make simple 'carbon' the source of all our woes?

This is, after all, what they did by surreptitiously shifting 'global warming' into 'climate change' a couple of decades ago. A classic slight of hand by the cabal spin doctors.

Let's scrutinise the history a little more thoroughly. The World Economic Foundation (WEF) is acting as lead player of the project known as 'Stop Global Warming'. A project which states that a deadly form of anthropogenic 'warming' is being caused by the burning of fossil fuels, and that the stated need is therefore to completely dispense with all fossil fuels by 2050.

But doing a little elementary research reveals that what one sees coming out of factory chimneys, in ubiquitous media photographs, is not CO2. It is mostly water vapour, plus nitrous oxides, carbon monoxide, methane, water vapour and various forms of particulates, with noxious CO2 forming less than 5% of these emissions.

This corroborates with scientific tests done on the composition of the upper atmosphere, which find that man made CO2 makes a contribution of just 0.04% above natural atmospheric CO2.

So what the perpetrators of 'net zero' are doing is to take an essential component of nature, without which neither we nor plant life could survive, and make it into a demon, responsible for causing catastrophic changes to the world's climate.

This is, of course, an outrageous conclusion to come to; but should its outrageousness cancel out its logic? Could it be that all two thousand 'scientists' employed by the International Commission on Climate Change' (IPCC) failed to get a pass in biology at secondary school – and then went on to become Emeritus experts on climate change?

The fact is that 'Net Zero' is telling us that 'we the people' are to be wiped-out, along with the flora and fauna of the planet; while the elite cabal running this deception racket have created their own unique CO2 subterranean storage ecosphere, of thriving plants, pure water and all the nutrients needed to carry on pretty much as before. Maybe better?

If psychopaths form a majority of the cabal that runs this planet – and that looks probable – then announcing that The Great Reset/Green New Deal has adopted 'Net Zero' by 2050, has a certain logic. Because to a psychopath, sentient people are strange unreal beings, their emotions and feelings being incomprehensible and alien.

Therefore, looked at from the perspective of the psychopath, among the first thing to be done to 'save the planet' would be to find a good reason to get rid of the anthropogenic (human) causal agent behind the 'destruction of the planet' wouldn't it?

But in the meantime, Mr Schwab and his aspiring team of henchmen want us 'to be happy', and have therefore found it helpful to remove all our private property and wealth and keep it for themselves – once the depopulation process is well enough advanced and provided there is little or no resistance to their ploy forthcoming.

Our 'happiness' will of course, be due to the fact that Herr Schwab and his main advisor Noah Yuval Harari, have studied the bible, and taken note of the words of Jesus Christ "It is easier for a camel to pass through the eye of a needle than for a rich man to enter the Kingdom of Heaven."

So they will kindly do the removal of the riches job for us, liberating us from our private wealth and therefore releasing us from the material ties that prevent us attaining a higher state of consciousness.

One can see by uncle Klause's patronising attitude to his hand picked dictators that he is proud of having found such a convenient way of opening heaven's gates for humanity and thereby simultaneously 'saving the world from global warming'.

Killing two birds with one stone is a sought after achievement for the soulless psychopath.

Achieving 'Net Zero' must be done with a smile. After all, making people believe that 'to save the world' they must first of all abandon their accustomed diets and instead chew on greatly superior laboratory raised and processed chicken thighs, garnished with a sprinkling of ground insect bodies and a special side dish of genetically modified hydroponically raised tomatoes – may not be easy. So a big American style smile should do the trick.

However a frown may be necessary to convey the seriousness of the fact that if cows are allowed to remain part of the farm animal kingdom, their survival will depend upon wearing Covid style 'methane blocking' masks recently awarded a special environmental prize by King Charles 111 for their contribution to slowing global warming.

But 'a smile' may once again be necessary to convey the fact that farmers who tend the fields are to be replaced by armies of robots, leaving the human element to be 'cared for' by 5/6G powered Smart Cities. Places in which every need will be catered for, by an all seeing all doing digitalised electro magnetic grid known as the 'internet of things'. An electro magnetically charged version of Big Brother which will monitor human activities 24'7 and no doubt administer a sharp shock on anyone who steps out of line.

All this, you understand, is just the precursor for we 'non psychopaths' to be upgraded into chipped and cloned cyborgs, known as Transhumans.

Selling this one may not be so difficult, as the

sales slogan will be "Let us do your thinking for you." And since a rather significant proportion of mankind seems largely incapable of meaningful thought, it may be quite easy to sell them the added convenience of letting a piece of tech take over what's left of the onerous task of having to activate one's brain cells.

By 2050 these Transhumans will be needed as servants in the psychopaths' underground palaces. The psychos having drained the planet of oxygen and having already killed-off a large percentage of humans via weaponised vaccines and a plethora of special laboratory designed diseases.

Not a pretty tale to tell, I'm afraid. But can anyone categorically tell me I've got it all wrong? That it is not the elite cabal dream goal to have a clinically sterilized and 'purified planet' by 2050 – in what amounts to a kind of 'eugenics of man and nature'?

Is this the image that Net Zero is supposed to conjure-up? To sufficiently incite us to give-up our lives for whatever it is supposed to stand for? Ground Zero mark 2?

There are demons on the loose. They thrive on chaos and fear. They muddle-up greenhouse gases, methane, carbon and whatever other elements of nature they can sell as speeding-up the arrival of Armageddon. It's a sort of game – in which, at any time, any one factor can be pointed-up as the evil agent of planetary destruction.


They get their greatest kicks form subverting the trajectory of human life into becoming the reverse of what evolution intends. They like to distort language and the meaning of words so as to create a twisted version of reality.

Thus, 'Net Zero' is a diabolical agenda sold as a saviour formula.

But once we know this, we are more than half way towards defeating it. Awareness is the crucial first step of our collective liberation.



UK Net Zero, Climate & Green Hoaxes Exposed! Godfrey Bloom short video, LINK



From the Maastricht Treaty to CBDCs with Arno Wellens

"Is it healthy that a small group of companies have so much control over the government?" ~ Arno Wellens

- by Catherine Austin Fitts, Solari Report, Feb 21, 2023, LINK

This week, Dutch writer and financial journalist Arno Wellens joins me to help situate the push for central bank digital currency (CBDC) in its historical context. Solari subscribers who have watched Solari's pick for 2022 Documentary of the Year, State of Control, will already be familiar with Wellens, who has a deep knowledge of the European Union and a deep understanding of the threats posed by CBDCs and digital IDs.

A Short Preview:



With a degree in business administration, Wellens first worked as a banker and accountant before branching off into investigative financial journalism. His journalistic beat covers the EU, international real estate and investment fraud, tax evasion, and money laundering through the banking system, among other "financial quandaries." His 2014 book, The Euro Evangelism, traced the history of the European monetary union, the banking crisis, and unsustainable debt levels.

Full Interview:



In this interview, we discuss how Wellens came to understand CBDC risks and the dangers of central financial control, as well as what the EU and European Central Bank (ECB) are doing to roll out CBDCs, despite significant resistance from both the public and some leaders. Both of us agreed that our conversation barely scratched the surface—stay tuned for a "Part II" later this year.

Arno Wellens:



Arno Wellens is a Dutch publicist, writer and financial journalist who writes mainly on economics and the European Union. Wellens worked for Quote for four years. Before that, he studied business economics and worked a total of four years in business and banking, LINK



Wernher von Braun: "Aliens will be the last card!"

Operation Paperclip scientist Wernher von Braun's former assistant Carol Rosin says that von Braun described the lies they were planning on telling us.

- by The Tanster, Jun 2, 2021, LINK



Following text is a transcription of the video above, LINK

"The enemy at first he said, the enemy against whom we're going to build a space based weapons system --- considered the enemy --- then terrorists would be iden-



tified and that was soon to follow --- then we were going to identify third world crazies, we now call them nations of concern --against asteroids we're going to build space based

weapons. First the Russians are going to be the next enemy then asteroids. And the funniest one of all, was against what he called aliens, extraterrestrials, that would be the final card. And over, and over, and over during the four years that I knew him and was giving his speeches for him, he would bring up that last card. 'And remember Carol, the last card is the alien card. We're going to have to build space based weapons against aliens,' and all of it, he said, is a lie."



Wernher von Braun (1912-1977) in Peenemünde with high rank Nazi officials.



"We'll know our disinformation program is complete when everything the American public believes is false."

CIA Director, William J. Casey, 1981

"I am the source for this quote, which was indeed said by CIA Director William Casey at an early February 1981 meeting of the newly elected President Reagan with his new cabinet secretaries to report to him on what they had learned about their agencies in the first




couple of weeks of the administration. The meeting was in the Roosevelt Room in the West Wing of the White House, not far from the Cabinet Room. I was present at the meeting as Assistant to the chief domestic policy adviser to the President. Casey first told Reagan that he had been astonished to discover that over 80 percent of the 'intelligence' that the analysis side of the CIA produced was based on open public sources like newspapers and magazines. As he did to all the other secretaries of their departments and agencies, Reagan asked what he saw as his goal as director for the CIA, to which he replied with this quote, which I recorded in my notes of the meeting as he said it. Shortly thereafter I told Senior White House correspondent Sarah McClendon, who was a close friend and colleague, who in turn made it public." Barbara Honegger



Hans Speidel, Nazi Field Marchall Erwin Rommel's Chief of Staff became Commander in Chief of NATO forces in central Europe 1957-1963.



Adolf Heusinger, Nazi dictator Hitler's Chief of Staff became chairman of the NATO Military Committee, 1961-1964.



Johannes Steinhoff, Famous Nazi fighter pilot for the German Luftwaffe became Chairman of the NATO Military Committee, 1971-1974.



Ernest Ferber, Lt. Col. of Nazi Wehrmach General Staff became Commander in Chief of NATO forces in Central Europe 1973-1975.



Franz-Joseph Schulze, Senior Lieutenant of the Nazi Luftwaffe became Commander in Chief of NATO forces in Central Europe 1977-1979.



Ferdinand von Senger und Etterlin, Adjutant to the Nazi Wehrmacht High Command became Commander in Chief of NATO forces in Central Europe 1979-1983.



Wernher von Braun second row from top in the middle.

IMF Unveils New Global Currency Known As The "Universal Monetary Unit" To "Transform" World Economy

A new global currency just launched, but 99 percent of the global population has no idea what just happened

- by Tyler Durden, ZeroHedge, 15 Apr, 2023, LINK Article authored by Michael Snyder via The Economic Collapse blog.

The "Universal Monetary Unit", also known as "Unicoin", is an "international central bank digital currency" that has been designed to work in conjunction with all existing national currencies. This should set off alarm bells for all of us, because the widespread adoption of a new "global currency" would be a giant step forward for the globalist agenda. The IMF did not create this new currency, but it was unveiled at a major IMF gathering earlier this week...

Today, at the International Monetary Fund (IMF) Spring Meetings 2023, the Digital Currency Monetary Authority (DCMA) announced their official launch of an international central bank digital currency (CBDC) that strengthens the monetary sovereignty of participating central banks and complies with the recent crypto assets policy recommendations proposed by the IMF.

Universal Monetary Unit (UMU), symbolized as ANSI Character, Ü, is legally a money commodity, can transact in any legal tender settlement currency, and functions like a CBDC to enforce banking regulations and to protect the financial integrity of the international banking system.

As the press release quoted above indicates, this new "Universal Monetary Unit" was created by the Digital Currency Monetary Authority.

So who in the world is the Digital Currency Monetary Authority?

Honestly, I had no idea until I started doing research for this article.

The press release says that the organization consists of "sovereign states, central banks, commercial and retail banks, and other financial institutions"...

The DCMA is a world leader in the advocacy of digital currency and monetary policy innovations for governments and central banks. Membership within the DCMA consists of sovereign states, central banks, commercial and retail banks, and other financial institutions.

Basically, it sounds like a secretive cabal of international banks and national governments is conspiring to push this new currency down our throats.

We are being told that the "Universal Monetary Unit" is "Crypto 2.0", and those that created it are hoping that it will be widely adopted by "all constituencies in a global economy"..., LINK

The DCMA introduces Universal Monetary Unit as Crypto 2.0 because it innovates a new wave of



cryptographic technologies for realizing a digital currency public monetary system with a widespread adoption framework encompassing use cases for all constituencies in a global economy.

I don't know about you, but this sounds super shady to me. Of course the Digital Currency Monetary Authority is not the only one that has been working on a new digital currency. The UK has also been working on one. The same is true for the European Union. And would it surprise anyone that the Biden administration is touting the potential benefits of a "digital form of the U.S. dollar"? The following comes from the official White House website..., LINK

A United States central bank digital currency (CBDC) would be a digital form of the U.S. dollar. While the U.S. has not yet decided whether it will pursue a CBDC, the U.S. has been closely examining the implications of, and options for, issuing a CBDC. If the U.S. pursued a CBDC, there could be many possible benefits, such as facilitating efficient and low-cost transactions, fostering greater access to the financial system, boosting economic growth, and supporting the continued centrality of the U.S. within the international financial system.

I don't think that it is a coincidence that governments all over the western world are simultaneously developing CBDCs. And the IMF has actually already put together an extensive handbook "to assist central banks and governments throughout the world in their CBDC rollouts"..., LINK

The International Monetary Fund (IMF) is putting together a Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC) handbook to assist central banks and governments throughout the world in their CBDC rollouts.

Published publicly on April 10, the "IMF Approach to Central Bank Digital Currency Capacity Development" report outlines the IMF's multi-year strategy for aiding CBDC rollouts, including the development of a living "CBDC Handbook" for monetary authorities to follow.

A lot of people out there will cheer when these digital currencies are introduced. But it is imperative to understand that once everyone is using them, your financial privacy will be almost totally gone. Authorities will be able to track virtually everything that you buy and sell, and I am sure that they won't hesitate to use that information against you.

Needless to say, the potential for tyranny in such a system is off the charts.

Can you imagine a world in which you are restricted from buying meat for a while because you have already used your "carbon credits" for the month?

Your "financial privileges" could potentially be restricted at any time at the whim of a government bureaucrat, and if you are a big enough trouble-maker you could be "deplatformed" from the system permanently. Of course in order for such a system to have real teeth, cash and other forms of payment will need to be phased out, and that is precisely



Deputy Managing Director IMF Bo Li: "A CBDC can allow government agencies and private sector players to program, to create smart contracts, to allow targeted policy functions."

what is happening right now in Europe. The following comes from the official website of the European Parliament..., LINK

To restrict transactions in cash and crypto assets, MEPs want to cap payments that can be accepted by persons providing goods or services. They set limits up to ϵ 7000 for cash payments and ϵ 1000 for crypto-asset transfers, where the customer cannot be identified.

Ultimately, they will just keep lowering the limits until the use of cash is almost completely eliminated. Everyone will be slowly but surely forced on to the new digital system, and it will be a system that they control with an iron fist. And most people will willingly go along with it. These days, most people are just scraping by from month to month and one recent survey found that 70 percent of all Americans are "financially stressed" LINK, at this point...

Inflation, economic instability and a lack of savings have an increasing number of Americans feeling financially stressed. Some 70% of Americans admit to being stressed about their personal finances these days and a majority — 52% — of U.S. adults said their financial stress has increased since before the Covid-19 pandemic began in March 2020, according to a new CNBC Your Money Financial Confidence Survey conducted in partnership with Momentive.

Most Americans simply do not care that these new digital currencies could open a door for great tyranny. They just want to be able to pay the bills and take care of their families, and if our politicians tell them that this new system is good for the economy they will be all for it. But those of us that are awake know that more globalism doesn't lead anywhere good. Concentrating even more power in the hands of the international elite is always a bad idea, and hopefully we can start to get more people to understand this.

Michael's new book entitled "End Times" is now available in paperback, LINK, and for the Kindle on Amazon.com, LINK, and you can check out his new Substack newsletter right here, LINK

A DIGITAL ID IS NOT TO IDENTIFY YOURSELF



IT IS TO TRACK AND TRACE
YOUR EVERY MOVE

This is scary

This is my guess what will happen:

- Editorial Comment by Mads Palsvig

I estimate that they will use Central bank controlled digital currency to make an Orwellian dystopian dictatorship, where everyone who has nothing to say is satisfied, because all criticism of the government will be banned. Where everyone who trusts,



that vaccines help also does not care that everyone should be forcibly vaccinated, because they have taken the vaccine because it "is safe" and "protects against infection". Where all vegans do not care, that you are not allowed to eat meat, and everyone who obeys everything does not care that system critics are not allowed to travel more than a few kilometers from their de facto "house arrest"/prison, as long as they themselves can come to a concert/beach holiday once a year.

When the cash is gone, the state can not only see everything you spend money on, they can also decide what you are allowed to spend your money on. They can simply code each person's account with individual permissions and individual restrictions. Thus," good " citizens will be able, for example, to travel, eat meat and go to cultural events, while people who do not follow the "recommendations" of the government and the health authorities will suddenly not be able to spend their money.

An example from real life, right now:

Russians living in the West have had their bank accounts expropriated/frozen, Russian tourists with valid visas cannot use their credit cards in Denmark and they cannot even exchange the euros they brought with them as soon as they show their Russian passport, the Danish bank says: "Njet!"

This is the case everywhere in Western Europe. A resident Russian, who has lived in Denmark for 30 years and has been married to a Dane, was told that the reason they did not expropriate/freeze his/her account was that there was only EUR 1000 in the account. Russians, who love the West, want their children to grow up in the West, have bought a house or an apartment, have had their bank accounts blocked/closed in the west, without warning and the

possibility of taking the money out and back to Russia. Their deposits are simply expropriated/"frozen". They can't even make wealth management and, for example, sell their shares if they think the market will go down.

At the same time, 96% of Western companies are still in Moscow, no restrictions on them, no consequences for companies that violate the sanctions, only on people of flesh and blood. Russians living in the West, Danes and for that matter of all nationalities, cannot send money to Russia to their family and friends, cannot send a package and cannot even travel from Western Europe to Russia, but must travel via Istanbul or Dubai. But as mentioned above, those people who are not Russians or have Russian family are totally indifferent and do not lift a finger. And the deep state knows that. They systematically take away our freedoms one at a time. People are amazingly passive.

With the introduction of the central bank digital currency: then the dictatorship is an inevitable reality.

Thus, the next time they call the annual flu for "corona" or spread a biological weapon and call it a pandemic, then the state will de facto be able to forcibly vaccinate the entire population. They otherwise simply just close your account, if you don't comply. The most frightening thing is that those who want a central bank controlled currency believe depopulation is a good thing and that humans are "useless eaters". For example, one of the effects of the corona vaccination is that fertility has decreased significantly. And there is an excess mortality in the west of over 10% since the corona vaccination program started.

VelstandsPartiet.dk/The Prosperity Party, LINK

is 100% against central bank controlled currency as long as it can be designed to limit the user's options and completely full personal control of the money.

Digital currency is simply dictatorship on steroids.

My guess is that there will be no economic collapse. The Deep state will bribe people to give up their cash and the freedom cash gives people. My guess is that people will get, for example, EUR 2,000 a month in a digital currency that cannot be saved, but must be used every month.

People will accept the bribe, because after all they are not critics of the system/have nothing to say/for all the vaccines of the state/do not eat meat anyway/ or are not Russians.

And when they have accepted the bribe..... then they are hooked....."mwahahahaha", laughs "you know who".



The Electromagnetic Body with Dr. Tom Cowan

"There has been a revolution in how we perceive the body. What appears to be an object, a three-dimensional anatomical structure, is actually a process, a constant flow of energy and information." ~ Deepak Chopra

- by Catherine Austin Fitts, Solari Report, Feb 28, 2023, LINK

Dr. Tom Cowan has spent a lifetime trying to understand the human mind and body and teach people how to be healthy. He is well known for his excellent books on heart health and the role of water in our bodies.

Tom joined me on the Solari Report in June 2020 to discuss the fallacies of germ theory. As a founding board member and supporter of the Weston A. Price Foundation (WAPF), Tom co-authored The Contagion Myth with WAPF founder and president Sally Fallon Morell, who also joined us on the Solari Report at the time of the book's publication in September 2020.

The topics addressed by Tom and Sally are important, as our history is filled with one virus fraud after another—frauds used to justify or cover up all manner of poisonings and financial frauds. As I see it, virus frauds are, among other reasons, stealth tools that central bankers use to engineer deflation and centralize ownership of assets without having to raise interest rates so high that they inspire mobs with pitchforks to come after them. They also raise important questions on the mysterious ingredients in pharmaceuticals and what they have to do with transhumanism and the smart grid.

When I was in Knoxville at the excellent Weston A. Price Foundation conference last fall, Tom's wonderful son Asher, who runs their Dr. Cowan's Garden business, engaged with me in open discussion at our Solari gathering about whether viruses exist and whether settling that debate is a good use of our time and resources.

When I first investigated the issue, I listened to Tom and Jon Rappoport argue that viruses don't exist. I also listened to numerous doctors and scientists for whom I have the greatest respect argue that they do. At the time, I concluded two things—first, that to study and get to the bottom of the debate sufficient to form an independent educated opinion would take me a great deal of time, and second, that doing so would not be a good use of my time. I decided to focus on bringing transparency to what I call the "great poisoning," including virus frauds (which can occur whether viruses exist or not), highlighting their relationship to the financial sy-

stem and the governance system that engineers them, and working to prevent the control grid from maturing into a slavery system. I preferred to spend my time helping people resist the conversion of the financial system into a control grid.

Meanwhile, Tom and I continued our conversation online, and he now joins me again on the Solari Report to keep the discussion going. Tom believes passionately that viruses do not exist and is convinced that bringing transparency to that fact will decimate the virus frauds and the push for central control. As a tactical matter, I do not agree.

Where we do agree is that the virus debate is part of a much bigger issue. At this time, when efforts to integrate numerous systems and "harvest" the human body in entirely new ways are accelerating - including proposals to launch CBDCs and link them to the human body as a "battery"—we urgently need a paradigm that recognizes our body as both physical and electromagnetic. After Tom and I concluded our discussion, I decided to ask Ulrike Granögger to join me for our discussion of "The Economy of the Energy Body" to provide critical background on some of these issues. Given the enthusiastic subscriber response to that interview, it seems that many understand the importance of this topic.


In short, Tom and I both agree that significant breakthroughs in our understanding of our electromagnetic body are desperately needed and can serve the dual causes of personal health and freedom. That said, as you will see in our interview, our debate about tactics continues—respectfully anchored in our mutual love of free speech.

A Short Preview:




Dr. Tom Cowan has been one of the leading voices speaking out against the mainstream medical narrative and coordinated agenda of masking, social distancing and forced vaccinations. His messages of health freedom and personal autonomy have resonated with millions of people around the world. Dr. Cowan challenges conventional medicine to explore health and wellness in holistic terms, seeking to provide a collaborative forum for the exchange of knowledge, products and practices that enable us to forge a new world together, governed by truth. Explore this website for a wealth of free content (podcasts, blogs, videos, etc.), join Dr. Cowan's subscriber community of like-minded individuals seeking to survive and thrive in our rapidly changing world, and check out his books and the products he has used personally and with his patients over 37 years of medical practice, including EMF mitigation products, water structuring, and supplements for detoxification, healing and support, LINK





A team of American and Canadian researchers have developed a battery that could be used in cars and power tools using hemp bast fiber — the inner bark of the plant that usually ends up in landfill. They "cooked" the woody pulp and processed them into carbon nanosheets, which they used to build supercapacitors "on a par with or better than graphene" — the industry gold standard.



VIDEO



NORTHERN LIGHT CONVENTION

Took Place 13th-15th May 2022 in Malmö, Sweden

Watch the Live Streams From the Convention www.scandinavianfreedom.events/video

SPEAKERS

Our Guest Speakers include Highly Acclaimed International Scientists, Lawyers, Economists, Politicians, Health Advocates and Leading Activists.



Christine ANDERSON
Member of the European
Parliament since 2019



Xavier AZALBERT

Econometrician, Economist

Statistician



Prof. Sucharit BHAKDI I Prof. Emeritus of Medical Microbiology and Immunology



Dr. Thomas BINDER MD Specialized in Cardiology and Internal Medicine



Dr. Donald Glenn DORMEI B.A. (Hon) Psychology + B.Sc. (Hon) Life Sciences, Queen's University; M.S.W.



Catherine Austin FITTS

President of Solari, Inc.,
publisher of the Solari Report



GRIESZ-BRISSON

holds degrees in Medicine as
well as Pharmacology from



Dr. Alexandra HERION-CAUDE Geneticist and a molecular



Mary HOLLAND
President of Children's Health



Dr. Maria HUBMER-MOGG General Practitioner, Resilience Coach, Herbalist & Arthrist



President of Waterkeeper Alliance, Chief Legal Counsel for Children's Health Defense



Philipp KRUSE Swiss Attorney



Dr. Nils LITTORIN PhD
Founder of Doctor's appeal
"Läkaruppropet"



Dr. Meryl NASS MD ABIM Epidemic and Anthrax Expert, Internist



Dr. Jacob NORDANGÅRD

Researcher and author with a
PhD in Science & Technology

Studies



Former Investment Banke Credit Suisse First Boston



James PATRICK

Author, economist and investigator. Founder of



Prof. Christian PERRONNE Infectiologist, Former VP of WHO European Advisory Group of Experts on



Dr. Heiko SANTELMANN M.D. German medical doctor now working in Norway



Heiko SCHÖNING MD

Research Specialist. Authorthe book "GAME OVER –
COVID-19 / ANTHRAX-01"



Dr. Torkel SNELLINGEN MD, MPH, PhD, DCEH Postgraduate resident program in Vision Sciences



Astrid STUCKELBERGER MSc PhD PD International health scientist, researches from clinical to enidemiological studies



John TITUS

Attorney and bachelor's /
master's degrees in electrical
engineering



Justyna WALKER
Activist, Verax, One of the
Founders of World Wide



Prof. Richard A. WERNER

DPhil (Oxon) | Linacre

College, University of Oxford



Agnieszka WILSON Humanitarian, human rights advocate and a social

Gain understanding of initiatives and thinking how we may put a hard stop to the technocratic agenda that is being implemented in full force.

Explore realistic and necessary actions that may halt the accelerated deployment of the power grab by the globalist elites.

Find out where and how you may be able to contribute and help restore the sovereignty of individuals and nations that has been practically demolished over the past 2 years.







VelstandsPartiet

Earth Freedom Knowledge

Power, money and health back to the people!

Danish Political Party he Economic Solution

people's government based on respect for human rights and people's commitment







ECONOMY

- The control and profit of money creation must be returned to the people.
- All tax on human beings shall be removed.
- Tax revenue shall come from tax on transfers of money, import tax is "climate friendly" and estate tax on all property except peoples main dwelling.
- Interest-free mortgages for people's main family home.

www.velstandspartiet.dk

