

#### 4. CHANGING CLIMATE DATA

As unbelievable as it may seem, agencies in the United States, Australia, New Zealand, and other nations have actually changed their own temperature records. Many climate scientists have been surprised and shocked to see later editions of graphs published by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) that were changed from their previous editions.

NOAA moved older temperature records downward and more recent temperatures upward, making it appear that temperatures have risen. In other words, just as in the novel 1984, they've actually changed history. This is not a conspiracy theory but an easily-provable fact, determined by comparing previously published graphs with the newer editions of the same graphs published later by these same agencies after they had changed the data.

Figure 1: 2016 Graph





Figure 2: 2020 Graph. Temperatures were changed to show a warming



You can learn more about how they did this at, [RealClimateScience.com](http://RealClimateScience.com), by Tony Heller, [LINK](#)

#### 5. CLIMATE CHANGE IS A POLITICAL, NOT SCIENTIFIC, ISSUE

A Canadian oilman who made a huge fortune in the US, Maurice Strong established the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) in 1988. A friend of the oil magnate David Rockefeller, Strong was appointed chairman of the 1972 Earth Day United Nations Conference, at which he advocated population reduction and the lowering of living standards in the interest of "saving the environment." His radical viewpoints were revealed years later when he asked, "Isn't the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? Isn't it our responsibility to bring that about?"

Strong helped found the Club of Rome in 1968. The members of this organization claimed that the earth's resources were being used up too fast, especially by the industrialized nations. Many of their beliefs stemmed from the mistaken idea first advanced by Thomas Malthus in his 1798 Essay on the Principle of Population that the world's population was increasing too fast.

The Club of Rome's frightening goal and strategy based on these mistaken ideas was revealed in its book, *The First Global Revolution* (1991), which asserts, "In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of glo-

bal warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill...All these dangers are caused by human intervention...The real enemy, then, is humanity itself". Here they had hit on something for which to make people feel guilty.

History has shown in every instance that when a population's living standards increase, the birth rate decreases. First, the death rate comes down; this is followed in a few years by a decrease in the birth rate. This principle is known as the Demographic Transition. Industrialized civilizations have lower birth and death rates than Third World countries.

A well-known strategy used by governments for grabbing power that has been known and used for a long time is: PROBLEM → REACTION → SOLUTION

Those in power create a problem or crisis. They sell this "problem" to the people using thousands of reports and articles – cleverly contrived propaganda. The public reacts by begging for a solution, which is then provided handily by the people in power.

Because "global warming" is a worldwide "problem", the "solution" is too difficult for nation-states by themselves to handle. Thus, the solution to this particular "problem" is nothing short of totalitarianism on a global scale.

It's no accident that the UNFCCC and the Agenda 21 plan were given birth at the same time. The UNFCCC and Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) would provide the excuse for the establishment of Agenda 21 (now Agenda 30). Strong set up the United Nations Environmental Program (UNEP) and the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) to create the "science" he needed to advance the Club of Rome's political agenda. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) would provide policy recommendations for politicians. Climate scientists were appointed to this agency to research the subject and write reports which would

lend the scientific authority for the policy reports to be handed to individual governments.

The UNFCCC literally controlled the outcome of this research by narrowing its focus. In their research the IPCC scientists were permitted to consider only the IPCC's definition of the term "climate change", which is defined as: "a change of climate which is attributed directly or indirectly to human activity that alters the composition of the global atmosphere and which is, in addition to natural climate variability, observed over considerable time periods." These scientists were not allowed to consider any other possibilities. The IPCC would write its summary report before the scientific report had even been submitted. They would take that report to the scientists and tell them, "Make sure your report agrees with this."

These are just a few of the many lies about "climate change" that have been told by those who are profiting enormously from this horrific scam that has already wasted trillions of dollars and will waste trillions more.

Many good scientists, some of whom have earned Nobel prizes, have spoken out against this travesty. Scientists know that the climate has always been changing without the help of mankind.

You can learn more about this subject by:

- reading [The Green New Deal and Climate Change: What You Need to Know](#), by Lynne Balzer, [LINK](#)
- going to [RealClimateScience.com](http://RealClimateScience.com), [LINK](#)
- and [RealClimateTools.com](http://RealClimateTools.com), created by Tony Heller, [LINK](#)

## RUDOLPH THE RED-PILLED REINDEER



*Look, I know the others laughed and called you names, but need you to pull the sleigh because they've all got myocardit*