

96% of NOAA heat measurement stations are corruptly placed to support climate change hoax

by *Ethan Huff*, 3 aug. 2022, [LINK](#)

It turns out that almost every temperature station operated by the National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is corruptly placed to make the country appear “warmer” than it actually is, effectively amplifying the “global warming” hoax.

An astounding 96 percent of NOAA’s temperature stations fail to meet the agency’s own “uncontaminated placement” standards, according to research compiled by the Heartland Institute. Most of them are located near objects that trap or produce heat, corrupting their readouts.

Others are located in areas that, since the time of their placement, have become far more urbanized. This, too, is increasing the heat readouts and making it seem all across the board that “climate change” is real. (Related: The biggest heater of the planet is the sun, not cow flatulence or gas-powered vehicles.)

“With a 96 percent warm-bias in U.S. temperature measurements, it is impossible to use any statistical methods to derive an accurate climate trend for the U.S.,” says Heartland Institute Senior Fellow Anthony Watts, the study’s director.

“Data from the stations that have not been corrupted by faulty placement show a rate of warming in the United States reduced by almost half compared to all stations.”

A press release from the Heartland Institute further explains the standards that NOAA is supposed to use to decide whether or not a temperature station is placed correctly for optimal accuracy – standards that the agency is not currently following.

“NOAA’s ‘Requirements and Standards for [National Weather Service] Climate Observations’ instructs that temperature data instruments must be ‘over level terrain (earth or sod) typical of the area around the station and at least 100 feet from any extensive concrete or paved surface,’” the release states.

“And that ‘all attempts will be made to avoid areas where rough terrain or air drainage are proven to result in non-representative temperature data.’”

If NOAA were following its own guidelines, average temperatures across the United States would be far lower than what is being reported. It would also be revealed based on accurate data that the country is not, in fact, warming.

2009 study similarly found that vast majority of NOAA temperature stations are producing inaccurate temperature readouts

The Heartland paper follows an earlier one published back in 2009 that similarly points out the flawed data coming from NOAA temperature stations.

Entitled “Is the U.S. Surface Temperature Record Reliable?” that study looked at more than 1,000 surveyed stations and found that 89 percent of them



have heat-bias issues.

For at least 13 years, in other words, it has been known that NOAA temperature data is inaccurate and unreliable, and yet the climate change narrative has continued on in the name of “science.”

The Heartland Institute’s press release addresses this earlier study, pointing out that the situation with NOAA’s temperature stations has only gotten worse over the years. And there does not appear to be any plans in place to fix the problem.

“The original 2009 surface stations project demonstrated conclusively that the federal government’s surface temperature monitoring system was broken, with the vast majority of stations not meeting NOAA’s own standards for trustworthiness and quality,” the announcement states.

H. Sterling Burnett, director of the Heartland Institute’s Arthur B. Robinson Center on Climate and Environmental Policy, commented that after personally surveying NOAA surface stations back in the spring, he can confirm the 2009 report findings.

“This new study is evidence of two things,” Burnett is quoted as saying.

“First, the government is either inept or stubbornly refuses to learn from its mistakes for political reasons. Second, the government’s official temperature record can’t be trusted. It reflects a clear urban heat bias effect, not national temperature trends.”

Government weather agencies around the world manipulate temperature measurements to suit the political agenda of 'CO2 Global Warming'

by *Jimmi Roger Pedersen*, aug 2022

In only a few areas of the world, such as the US, do we have solid and comprehensive measurements over 100 years to say anything about changes in temperatures. In the US it has gotten colder over the last 100 years, and the last decade has seen a myriad of snow and cold records. In the 1930s in particular, the US climate was hot and fierce, and from then on it has only slowed down and become cooler.

Below we see an example of how curves and bars change as measurements are adjusted by NOAA.

Image on the left shows in red the raw temp. data measurements and in purple co2 (PPM) content in the air. On the right you see again the same raw data in red, and the manipulated temp. in purple.

In this way it matches the fraud political agenda on global warming.

